

1	2	3	4	5
269	श्री सिकन्दरलाल, सी/ओ मैसर्स पोपुलर मेटल वर्क्स, सायन, बम्बई	व्यक्ति	68-69	1,14,179
270	श्री सतपाल, सी/ओ मैसर्स पोपुलर मेटल वर्क्स, सायन, बम्बई	एच० यू० एफ०	68-69	1,25,746
271	श्री एस० एम० नाडकरनी फारमे- स्युटिकल सी/ओ मैसर्स चैमो दादर, बम्बई	व्यक्ति	65-66	1,15,470
272	श्री एस० जी० खन्ना खन्ना कन्स- ट्रक्शन वरली बम्बई	"	65-66	2,22,308
273	सेवाराम धवन पैडर रोड, बम्बई	"	66-67	2,00,400
274	मैसर्स सूर्यकान्त भाईलाल प्रो० भाई लाल हरीलाल, 21 बम्बई टिम्बर मार्केट, बम्बई-10	"	65-66	1,00,000
275	मि० श्री वल्लभ करवा सी।ओ मैसर्स श्री वल्लभ महेश्वर 27 भमणवाडा रोड, बम्बई	"	65-66	1,08,158
276	श्री ताहेरभाई ए० ताम्बावाला सी।ओ मैसर्स वैस्टर्न इंडिया सेल्स एजेन्सी, रे रोड, बम्बई	"	65-66	1,62,810
277	श्रीमति ऊषादेवी के० अग्रवाल गुप्ता मिल्स एस्टेट, रे रोड, बम्बई	"	65-66	1,34,292
278	वी० ऐ० ओझा एग्जीक्यूटिव टू बी एस्टेट आफ ऐ० पी० ओझा (स्वर्गीय) दारुखाना, बम्बई	"	65-66 66-67 67-68 68-69	2,78,630 3,24,130 1,87,480 1,27,830
279	श्री वी० वी० गुलवे दारुखाना, बम्बई	"	65-66	1,48,626
280	श्री वी० के० घोले सी/ओ मैसर्स बम्बई फाइन आर्ट्स आफसेट लियो वर्क्स 10 सुसेक्स रोड, बम्बई	"	65-66	1,01,497
281	श्री वी० बरकी सी।ओ मैसर्स सिवरी इंजीनियरिंग वर्क्स, 158 परेल टैंक रोड, बम्बई	"	65-66	1,21,141

1	2	3	4	5
282	श्री ए० जे० खान सी/श्री मैसर्स ए० जे० खान एण्ड कं० 49, सी पाली नाका बांदरा बम्बई	व्यक्ति	68-69	1,11,368
283	श्री बावरोव एच० चैम्बूरकर जस- वन्त सायन ट्रोम्बे रोड, चैम्बूर, बम्बई	"	65-66	4,75,880
284	विशनदास सी० धीगरा सी/श्री मैसर्स भारत टैक्सटाइलस इन्डस्ट्रीज, नारायण नगर, घाटकोपर, बम्बई	"	65-66	1,09,280
285	श्री ख्वाजा मोहम्मद दलाल सी/ श्री मैसर्स मोहम्मद ख्वाजा एंड सनस जी० बी० रोड, बांदरा, बम्बई	"	65-66 67 68	1,04,866 1,00,362
286	श्री जयचन्द हाकिमराय थू लीगल- हेयर सी/श्री मैसर्स हाकिम- राय जयचन्द भांडूप बम्बई	"	65-66	3,00,994
287	श्री के० जी० चावड़ा प्रो० मैसर्स रिपयूट इंजीनियरिंग एण्ड गल- गलवनाइजिंग वर्क्स अंधेरी कुरला रोड, कुरला, बम्बई	"	65-66	1,40,380
288	श्री के० एन० सावत सी/श्री मैसर्स भारत उद्योगालय टरनर रोड, बम्बई (बांदरा)	"	65-66	1,20,343
289	श्री मधुसुधन एन० पटेल एम० जी० रोड, घाट कोपर, बम्बई	"	66-67	1,11,219
290	श्री एन०एस० सेथना 46, पाली हिल रोड, बांदरा, बम्बई	"	69-70 68-69	1,04,465 1,09,866
291	मिस मीनाभैर प्रताप रंजन वाटर रोड, बांदरा बम्बई	"	69-70	1,39,777
292	श्रीमती एस०एम० पटेल बी० ओपवा कोअपरेटिव एच० सोसायटी, बांदरा बम्बई	"	67-68	2,86,930

1	2	3	4	5
293	श्री पी० खेरात सी/श्री मैसर्स पुरुषोत्तम खेरात एंड कं०, 1822, एम०जी० रोड, मुन्द बम्बई	व्यक्ति	65-66	1,04,500
294	श्री पी०एन० सिंगटे सी/श्री मैसर्स एस०एस० पटेल एंड कं०, कुरला, बम्बई	"	65-66	1,44,210
295	श्री प्रताप नरसी रंजन वाटर- फील्ड रोड, बांदरा बम्बई	एच०यू०एफ०	65-66	1,28,247
296	श्री आर०एस० मेघनानी 1 फ्लोर, बेल वियु बिल्डिंग, चैम्बुर बम्बई	व्यक्ति	65-66	3,56,860
297	श्री रतीलाल चतुरभुज सी/श्री मैसर्स शान्तिलाल चतुरभुज एंड कं०, बांदरा, बम्बई	"	69-70	19,08,521
298	श्री वी०एल० रोण सी/श्री मैसर्स रोण एंड बर्देस, चिमभाई रोड, बांदरा, बम्बई	"	65-66	1,30,916
299	श्री वी०जे० रादिया सी/श्री मैसर्स डीबी इन्डस्ट्रीज, 167, बी एस रोड, बम्बई (दादर)	"	62-63	1,00,464
300	श्री एम०एम० आंगा प्रो० वरुतावर ब्राइस फैक्टरी, सयानी रोड, बम्बई-25	"	65-66	1,59,830
301	श्री कान्तिलाल ए० शाह सी/श्री मैसर्स एच० ए० शाह एंड कं० 470-71 वरली रोड, बम्बई	"	65-66	1,05,990
302	श्री एस० ए० शाह सी/श्री मैसर्स एच० ए० शाह एंड कं०, 470-71, वरली पार्क रोड, बम्बई	"	65-66	1,11,170
303	मिसस निरमला एस० तिलक शिवाजी पार्क, दादर बम्बई-28	"	65-66	1,02,730

1	2	3	4	5
304	श्री के० आर० भट्ट सी०ओ मैसर्स विजय इंजी० एंड ट्रेडिंग कं०, क्वीनस रोड, बम्बई	व्यक्ति	69-70	1,75,345
305	श्री कान्तिलाल सी० शाह प्रो० मोहन लाल एण्ड कं०, 144, लोहार स्ट्रीट, बम्बई	"	63-64 66-67	1,13,698 1,02,240
306	श्रीमती महादेवी जी० पारिख सी/ओ मैसर्स जयन्त मेटल कं०, मेन्यु 152, लोहार स्ट्रीट, बम्बई	"	65-66	1,90,136
307	श्री कान्तिलाल बाबूलाल मार्फत मैसर्स कान्तिलाल ब्रदर्स, लोहार स्ट्रीट, बम्बई	"	67-68	1,10,860
308	श्री वाल्टर एल० रासेक, शिराजी भवन, लोहार स्ट्रीट, बम्बई	"	69-70	3,47,253
309	श्री जेठालाल जी० जेन, मार्फत मैसर्स इण्डियन वूल ट्रेडिंग 36, सेक्रेटरी कंजरापोल, बम्बई	"	65-66	1,53,641
310	श्री कैमिकल ई० शाह, प्रो० मैसर्स नेशनल ब्रास वर्क्स, 72, सर्वोदय नगर, बम्बई	"	63-64	1,01,363
411	श्री एन०सी० पारेख, मार्फत मैसर्स चन्दूलाल बोरा एण्ड कं०, 57-61 किका स्ट्रीट, बम्बई	"	68-69	1,08,151
312	श्री ग़ुलाम मोहम्मद पेणीमान, 7/252, रिपन रोड, बम्बई	"	62-63	21,34,570
313	श्री एफ०एम० दलाल, 51, सुतार स्ट्रीट, बम्बई	"	66-67 67-68	1,04,260 1,09,248
314	श्री पी० डी० बखारा, पोपटवाडी, बम्बई	"	65-66	1,02,137
315	श्रीमती आशा डी० धवानी, अहूरा महल, 93, मैरीन ड्राइव, बम्बई	"	66-67	1,13,415

सभी फर्मों, व्यक्तियों के संगठनों और कम्पनियों के नाम जिनकी आय 1969-70 वित्त वर्ष में दस लाख रु० से अधिक आंकी गई है।

क्रम सं०	करदाता का नाम व पता	पद	करनिर्धारण वर्ष	आयकर अधिनियम 1961 के अन्तर्गत आंकी गई आय
1	2	3	4	5
1	मैसर्स जयदयाल विश्वनाथ कपूर 8, मिरजा स्ट्रीट,, बम्बई	आर०एफ०	65-66	41,60,000
2	मैसर्स आर०पी० मेहरा ब्रदर्स 40, बी०एम० रोड, बम्बई	"	65-66	17,50,000
3	मैसर्स छगनलाल खीमजी एण्ड कं०, 300, डनकन रोड, बम्बई	"	65-66	11,23,320
4	मैसर्स जयन्त एण्ड कं०, 84 सुतार चौल, बम्बई	"	59-60 60-61	18,83,058 19,15,266
5	मैसर्स अब्दुल्लाभाई फियाझली 24, सुतार, चौल बम्बई	"	65-66	11,11,780
6	अमरतारा प्राइवेट लि०, ठाकरेसि हाऊस, ग्राहम रोड, बैलर्ड एस्टेट, बम्बई-1	कम्पनी	68-69	10,79,110
7	एजन्सो थाई कारपोरेशन लि० एबर्ट हाऊस, ब्रुस स्ट्रीट, बम्बई-1		69-70	47,42,810
8	एटिक इन्डस्ट्रीज लि०, निकल रोड, बैलर्ड एस्टेट, बम्बई-1		66-67	69,73,120
9	एटोमेटिक इलेक्ट्रोनिक प्राइवेट लि०, रैकटीफायर होम, 570 निगम क्रोस रोड, बम्बई-31		62-63 69-70	17,81,730 10,05,912
10	अमेरिकन स्ट्रिंग एण्ड प्रेसिंग बक्स प्राइवेट लि०, भाखे रोड, मलाद, बम्बई-64	"	69-70	20,23,040

1	2	3	4	5
				रुपये
11	एटलम कोपको इण्डिया प्राईवेट लि०, एम०जी० मेमोरियल बिल्डिंग, नियर चरनी रोड स्टेशन, बम्बई-2	कम्पनी	69-70	28,93,340
12	डी ब्रिटिश इण्डिया जनरल इन्सुरेन्स क० लि०, मेहता हाऊस, ग्रपोलो स्ट्रीट, बम्बई-1	"	69-70	15,18,950
13	बछराज एण्ड क० प्राईवेट लि०, 51, एम०जी० रोड, बम्बई-1	"	62-63 69-70	14,45,644 21,46,870
14	बछराज फॅब्रिकेज पो० लि०, 51 एम० जी० रोड, बम्बई-1	"	69-70	11,03,934
15	ग्लो प्लास्ट प्राईवेट लि०, बम्बई आगरा रोड, भन्डुप, बम्बई-78	"	69-70	12,25,570
16	बम्बई समाचार प्राईवेट लि०, हारनीमान सर्कल, फोर्ट, बम्बई-1	"	65-66	10,78,960
17	बम्बई रगट्टेवलरस प्राईवेट लि०, नेविल्ले हाऊस, ग्राहम रोड, बैलडं एस्टेट, बम्बई-1	"	69-70	16,77,972
18	केडबरी फाई एक्सपोर्ट लि०, केडबरी हाऊस, भूलाभाई देसाई रोड, बम्बई-26	"	69-70	29,46,420
19	कमि हल्स एण्ड फिक्स आफ (i) लि०, क्रीसन्ट हाऊस, चिर्टट रोड, बैलडं एस्टेट, बम्बई-1	"	69-70	2,47,61,120
20	चम्पोसिन प्राईवेट लि०, 38, गुरीन रोड, अम्बेरी, (ईस्ट), बम्बई	"	69-70	11,41,350
21	केवल कारपोरेशन आफ इण्डिया लि०, लक्ष्मी बिल्डिंग, 6 बैलडं रोड, बम्बई-1	"	65-66 66-67	1,51,32,050 1,61,59,600
22	डी० मूरकोपोलो एण्ड क० लि०, केरमानी बिल्डिंग, डा० डी० एन० रोड, बम्बई-1	"	69-70	58,00,790

1	2	3	4	5
				रुपये
23	देवीदयाल केबल इन्डस्ट्रीज प्राई- वेट लि०, गुप्ता मिल्स एस्टेट, रोड बम्बई-10	कम्पनी	65-66	17,83,339
24	एक्सपोर्ट क्रेडिट एण्ड गारन्टी कॉरपोरेशन लि०, 4 राम- पार्ट रोड, बम्बई-1	"	69-70	18,01,200
25	ईगल बैक्युम बोटल मॅनीफैक्च- रिंग क० प्राइवेट लि०, 144/146, चकला स्ट्रीट, बम्बई-3	"	68-69	14,40,270
26	ई० मेरक (1) प्राइवेट लि० शिवसागर एस्टेट, 'ए' डा० एनी बीसेन्ट रोड, वरली, बम्बई-18	"	69-70	10,59,620
27	एक्सल इन्डस्ट्रीज लि०, 184/ 847, स्वामी विवेकानन्द रोड, जोगेशवरी (वेस्ट), बम्बई-60	"	69-70	14,59,749
28	फोको इण्डियन मेनीफैक्चरर्स लि०, बापू नगर, होर्नबी बैलर्ड वरली बम्बई-18	"	69-70	15,63,850
29	धारडा कैमिकल्स प्राइवेट लि०, 48, धारडा हाऊस, हिल रोड, बान्दरा, बम्बई-50	"	69-70	12,42,240
30	गैब्रियल इण्डिया लि०, आगरा रोड, मुलन्द, बम्बई	"	68-69 65-66 66-67 67-68	14,16,448 25,63,383 42,96,915 14,96,103
31	गैब्रियल इण्डिया लि० आगरा रोड, मुलन्द, बम्बई-80	"	69-70	19,64,470
32	हिन्दुस्तान फॅरोडो लि०, आगरा रोड, घाटकोपर, बम्बई	"	66-67	1,23,27,290
33	होमी मेहता एण्ड सन्स प्राइवेट लि०, मेहता हाऊस, 79/91, अपोलो स्ट्रीट, बम्बई-1	"	69-70	25,88,040

1	2	3	4	5
				रुपये
34	हरबर्टसन लि०, एवर्ट हाऊस, ब्रूस स्ट्रीट, फोर्ट, बम्बई-1	कम्पनी	69-70	13,77,170
35	हिकको प्रोडक्ट्स प्राइवेट लि०, मोगल लेन, माटुन्गा, बम्बई	"	69-70	14,02,260
36	एच०एण्ड आर० जोहनसन लि०, (I) प्रा० लि० सर पी०एम० रोड, बम्बई-1	"	69-70	11,89,380
37	इण्डिया रीइन्शूरेन्स कोरपोरेशन लि०, इन्डस्ट्रियल एन्शूरेन्स बिल्डिंग, चर्च गेट, बम्बई-20	"	69-70	18,95,762
38	दी इण्डियन गारन्टी एण्ड जनरल- इन्शूरेन्स कं० लि० इन्शूरेन्स हाऊस, सर पी०एम० रोड, बम्बई-1	"	69-70	15,28,360
39	इन्डो फार्मा फार्मास्यूटिकल वक्स प्राइवेट लि०, शान्ती भवन, 83, कोहीनूर रोड, बम्बई-14	"	69-70	24,16,570
40	इण्डिया कार्ड क्लोदिंग प्राइवेट लि०, 151, तारदेव रोड, बम्बई	"	67-68 66-67	12,19,140 25,16,731
41	इण्डियन कोर्क मिल्स प्राइवेट लि०, टाकरसे हाऊस, ग्राहम रोड, बैलडें एस्टेट, बम्बई-1	"	68-69	19,80,520
42	इण्डियन मर्केन्टाइल इन्शूरेन्स कं०, लि०, इण्डियन मर्केन्टा- इल चैम्बर, निकल रोड, बैलडें एस्टेट, बम्बई-1	"	69-70	16,90,684
43	जोहनसन एण्ड जोहनसन आफ (I) लि०, 30, फोरजैट स्ट्रीट, बम्बई	"	67-68	30,93,888
44	जोहन फीलर (I) लि०, मार्शल बिल्डिंग, बैलडें एस्टेट, बम्बई-1	"	66-67	14,10,490
45	लाइफ इन्शूरेन्स कोरपोरेशन आफ इण्डिया यूनियट, सेन लाइफ इन्शूरेन्स कं०, आफ केन्नाडा, मार्फत प्रीफोड बैयले, बम्बई	"	57-58	16,03,340

1	2	3	4	5
				रुपये
46	दी महाराष्ट्रा स्टेट रोड ट्रान्स- पो कारपोरेशन, भाईबला बम्बई-8	कम्पनी	61-62	2,96,74,596
17	मैटेलिका बक्स प्राइवेट लि०, डा० एनी बीवेन्ट रोड, वरली, बम्बई-18	"	69-70	27,99,273
48	बी० मुकन्द ग्रायन एण्ड स्टील पी० लि०, 51, एम० जी० रोड, फोर्ट, बम्बई-1	"	65-66	87,62,450
49	न्यू इण्डिया एप्युरेन्स कं० एम० जी० रोड, बम्बई-1	"	68-69	88,70,750
50	नशनल पैरोबसाइड लि०, नैविले हाऊस, बैलर्ड एस्टेट, बम्बई-1	"	69-70	30,99,750
51	निकोलेस आफ इण्डिया लि०, 11/12 अपोजिट हैनस रोड, वरली बम्बई-18	"	69-70	10,04,890
52	दी न्यू ग्रेट कं० आफ इण्डिया लि०, जे०जे० रोड, बम्बई	"	69-70	10,04,890
53	दी ओरियन्टल फायर एण्ड जनरल इन्शुरेन्स कं० लि०, ओरियन्टल बिल्डिंग, एम० जी० रोड, बम्बई-1	"	69-70	42,22,550
54	फोटोफोन इक्विपमेंट रि०, सेन्डस्ट्रि ब्रिज, बम्बई-7	"	69-70	15,42,330
55	ओरबो प्राइवेट लि० स्टेडियम हाऊस, वीर नारीमन रोड, बम्बई-20	"	69-70	11,25,544
56	पीडीलाईट इण्डस प्राइवेट लि०, 213, हिमालया हाऊस, रोड, बम्बई-1	"	69-70	10,74,150

1	2	3	4	5
				रुपये
57	प्रानसुखलाल एण्ड कं० प्राइवेट लि०, मफतलाल हाऊस, बैकवे रिवल्वेशन, बम्बई-1	कम्पनी	69-70	18,35,990
58	रेप्ताकोस एण्ड ब्रदर एण्ड कं० पी० लि०, डा० एनी बीसेट रोड, वरली, बम्बई-8	"	69-70	30,05,380
59	रेमान्ड वूलन मिल्स, लि०, जे०के० बिल्डिंग, डीगट रोड, फोर्ट, बम्बई-1	"	65-66	25,31,330
60	स्टडिया कैमिकल्स प्राइवेट लि०, नैविल्ले हाऊस, ग्राहम रोड, बैलर्ड एस्टेट, बम्बई-1	"	69-70	23,25,260
61	मिसस एण्ड नैफ्यू (I) प्राइवेट लि०, ओपोजिट पोइजर चैम्बर्स, विवेकानन्द रोड, कान्दीवली, बम्बई	"	69-70	15,12,240
62	थिरेलिक फरनासिस प्राइवेट लि०, ए/131, रोड नं० 23, बागले इन्डस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, थाना, बम्बई	"	65-66	10,71,890
63	दी यूनिजन कोऑपरेटिव इन्शूरेन्स सोसाइटी लि०, यूनिजन कोऑपरेटिव इन्शूरेन्स बिल्डिंग, 23, सर पी०एम० रोड, बम्बई-1	"	69-70	13,31,926
64	अल्टरा मरीन एण्ड पिमेंट्स लि०, बालदो भवन, 1/7, महर्षी कर्वे रोड, बम्बई-20	"	69-70	27,37,360
65	बोल्कार्ट (इण्डिया) लि०, नाऊ नोन एज पटेल बोल्कार्ट लि०, बैलर्ड एस्टेट, बम्बई-1	"	66-67 67-68	10,20,910 11,69,860
66	यार्कशायर इन्शूरेन्स कं० लि०, ब्रूस स्ट्रीट, बम्बई-1	"	69-70	12,98,780

1	2	3	4	5
				रुपये
67	मैसर्स कैलिको इन्डस्ट्रीज, 55-57, चम्पागली रोड, बम्बई	आर०एफ०	68-69	11,48,185
68	मैसर्स सुरेश एन्टरप्राइजेस, 425-बी० चिरा बाजा, बम्बई	ए०प्रो०पी०	65-66	10,52,985
69	मैसर्स जैनिथ टि। वक्स प्राइवेट लि०, क्लर्क रोड, महालक्ष्मी, बम्बई	कम्पनी	65-66 66-67	16,65,074 18,24,830
70	मैसर्स रामचन्द्र सिल्कमिन्स, मेहरा एस्टेट, आगरा रोड, विश्व पीली, बम्बई।	आर०एफ०	65-66	29,96,552

ज्योर्ज ई० जोसफ,
आयकर आयुक्त,
म्बई महानगर-3,
बम्बई।



भारत का राजपत्र The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं० 18] नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, मई 1, 1971/वैशाख 11, 1893
No. 18] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, MAY 1, 1971/VAISAKHA 11, 1893

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके।
Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation.

नोटिस

NOTICE

नीचे लिखे भारत के असाधारण राजपत्र 22 जनवरी, 1971 तक प्रकाशित किये गये।

The undermentioned Gazettes of India Extraordinary were published up to the 22nd January, 1971.

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
1.	S. O. 98, dated 1st January, 1971	Ministry of Finance	Exempting the goods so mentioned from the whole of the duty of customs leviable thereon under the First Schedule to the Indian Tariff Act, 1934 (32 of 1934).
	का० प्रा० 98, दिनांक 1 जनवरी 1971	वित्त मंत्रालय	उल्लिखित मामलों को भारतीय टैरिफ अधिनियम, 1934 (1934 का 32) की प्रथम अनुसूची के अधीन उद्योगीय समस्त सीमा शुल्क से छूट।
2.	S. O. 99, dated 1st January, 1971	Ditto.	Recession of the Notifications No. 179/F. No. 80/120/66-LCI, dt. the 1st Oct. 1966 and No. 112/80/67-LCI, dt. the 10th Nov. 1967 of the Min. of Finance (Deptt. of Rev. and Insurance).

Issue No. and Date No.	Issued by	Subject
एस० ओ० 99, दिनांक 1 जनवरी 1971	वित्त मंत्रालय	वित्त मंत्रालय (राजस्व और बीमा विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं० 179/फा० सं० 80/120/66-एल० सी० 1, तारीख 1 अक्टूबर 1966 और अधिसूचना सं० 112/80/67 एल० सी० 1 तारीख 10 नवम्बर, 1967 का विखंडन ।
S.O. 100, dated 1st January, 1971	Ditto.	Exemption to certain goods so specified from the Payment of Customs duty.
एस० ओ० 100, दिनांक 1 जनवरी 1971	तदैव	उल्लिखित कतिपय मामलों को उद्-ग्रहणीय सीमा शुल्क से छूट ।
3. S.O. 101, dated 1st January 1971	Election Commission of India	Designating officers as Returning Officers, for the election to the Parliamentary Constituencies in the Union Territory of Delhi.
एस० ओ० 101, दिनांक 1 जनवरी 1971	भारत निर्वाचन आयोग	दिल्ली संघ राज्य क्षेत्र के संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्रों में निर्वाचन निरूपण के लिए आफिसरों को रिटनिंग आफिसर अभिप्रेषित करना ।
S.O. 102, dated 1st January, 1971	Ditto.	Appointment of Assistant Returning Officers to assist the Returning Officers for the election to the Parliamentary Constituencies in the Union Territory of Delhi.
एस० ओ० 102, दिनांक 1 जनवरी 1971	तदैव	दिल्ली संघ राज्य क्षेत्र के संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्रों में रिटनिंग आफिसरों की सहायता करने के लिए सहायक रिटनिंग आफिसरों की नियुक्ति ।
S.O. 103, dated 1st January, 1971	Ditto.	Appointing Shri J.O.G. Russell, Election Officer, Delhi as Assistant Returning Officer for all the Parliamentary Constituencies in Delhi, in addition to the officers appointed by Notification No. 434/DL/70 (1), dt. 30-12-70.

Issue No. and Date No.	Issued by	Subject
एस० ओ० 103, दिनांक 1 जनवरी 1971	भारत निर्वाचन आयोग	श्री जे० ओ० जी० रसल निर्वाचन आफिसर, दिल्ली को, दिल्ली राज्य के सभी संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्रों के लिए अधिसूचना सं० 434/दिल्ली/70(1), ता० 30-12-70 द्वारा नियुक्त आफिसरों के अतिरिक्त सहायक रिटर्निंग आफिसर के रूप में नियुक्ति ।
3. S.O. 103-A, dated 2nd January, 1971	Ministry of Foreign Trade	Taking over the Hira Mills Limited, Ujjain by the Authorized Controller for a further period upto and inclusive of the 3rd March, 1972.
का० आ० 103-क, दिनांक 2 जनवरी, 1971	विदेश व्यापार मंत्रालय	होरा मिल्स लि० उज्जैन को प्राधि-कृत नियंत्रक, द्वारा और आगे 3 मार्च 1972 तक की अवधि के लिए ग्रहण ।
S.O. 104, dated 2nd January, 1971	Ditto	Authorizing the Madhya Pradesh State Textile Corporation Ltd. to take over the management of the whole of the New Bhopal Textiles Ltd., Bhopal vice Shri B. L. Gupta until further orders.
का० आ० 104, दिनांक 2 जनवरी, 1971	तदैव	मध्य प्रदेश राज्य वस्त्र निगम लिमि-टेड को न्यू भोपाल टेक्सटाइल्स, लिमिटेड भोपाल का सम्पूर्ण प्रबन्ध श्री० बी० एल० गुप्त के स्थान पर लेने के लिए आगामी आदेश जारी होने तक प्राधिकृत ।
S.O. 105, dated 2nd January, 1971	Ditto	Authorizing the Madhya Pradesh State Textile Corporation Ltd. to take over the management of the whole of the Swadeshi Cotton & Flour Mills Ltd., Indore vice Shri G. K. Seth.
का० आ० 105, दिनांक 2 जनवरी 1971	तदैव	मध्य प्रदेश राज्य वस्त्र निगम लिमि-टेड को स्वदेशी काटन एण्ड फ्लोर मिल्स लिमिटेड इंदौर का सम्पूर्ण प्रबन्ध श्री जी० के० सेठ के स्थान पर लेने के लिए प्राधिकृत ।

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
	S. O. 106, dated 2nd January, 1971	Ministry of Foreign Trade	Cancellation of the Order of the Govt. of India in the late Ministry of Commerce & Industry No. S. O. 581, dated the 4th March, 1963.
	का० ग्रा० 106, दिनांक 2 जनवरी 1971	विदेश व्यापार मंत्रालय	भारत सरकार के भूतपूर्व वाणिज्य मंत्रालय के आदेश सं० का० ग्रा० 581 दिनांक 4 मार्च, 1963 को रद्द करना।
5.	S.O. 107, dated 4th January, 1971	Ministry of Information & Broadcasting.	Approval of the films so specified in the Schedule in all their language versions.
	एस० ओ० 107, दिनांक 4 जनवरी 1971	सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्रालय	अनुसूची में वर्णित फिल्मों को सभी भारतीय भाषाओं के रूपान्तरों सहित स्वीकृति।
	S.O. 108, dated 4th January, 1971	Ditto.	Approval of a film so specified in the Schedule in all its language versions.
	एस० ओ० 108, दिनांक 4 जनवरी 1971	तदैव	अनुसूची में वर्णित एक फिल्म को सभी भारतीय भाषाओं के रूपान्तरों सहित स्वीकृति।
6.	S.O. 109, dated 4th January, 1971.	Ministry of Home Affairs	The Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Amendment Rules, 1971.
7.	S.O. 110, dated 4th January, 1971	Ditto	Duty by every person in Rajasthan referred to in the said clause (a) as active duty for a period of six months w.e.f. 7-1-1971.
	सा० नि० 110, दिनांक 4 जनवरी 1971	तदैव	प्रत्येक व्यक्ति के ड्यूटी जो 7 जनवरी, 1971 से छह मास के लिये राजस्थान में सेवारत होगा वह सक्रिय ड्यूटी होगी।
	S.O. 111, dated 4th January, 1971	Ditto.	Duty by every person in Delhi referred to in the said clause (a) as active duty for a period of six months w.e.f. 23-1-71.
	सा० नि० 111, दिनांक 4 जनवरी 1971	तदैव	प्रत्येक व्यक्ति की ड्यूटी जो 23 जनवरी 1971 से 22 जुलाई 1971 तक छह मास के लिए दिल्ली में सेवारत होगा वह सक्रिय ड्यूटी होगी।

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
	S.O. 112, dated 4th January, 1971	Ministry of Home Affairs	Duty of every person in Bihar referred to in clause (a) as active duty for a period of six months w.e.f. 17-1-1971.
	सा० नि० 112, दिनांक 4 जनवरी 1971	तदैव	प्रत्येक व्यक्ति की ड्यूटी जो 17 जनवरी 1971 से 16 जुलाई 1971 तक छह मास के लिए बिहार में सेवारत होगा वह सक्रिय ड्यूटी होगी।
	S.O. 113, dated 4th January, 1971	Ditto.	Duty by every person in Kerala referred to in clause (a) as active duty for a period of six months w.e.f. 25-1-1971.
	सा० नि० 113, दिनांक 4 जनवरी 1971	तदैव	प्रत्येक व्यक्ति की ड्यूटी जो 25 जनवरी 1971 से 24 जुलाई 1971 तक छह मास के लिए केरल में सेवारत होगा वह सक्रिय ड्यूटी होगी।
	S.O. 114, dated 4th January, 1971	Ditto.	Duty by every person in West Bengal referred to in clause (a) as active duty for a period of six months w.e.f. 8-1-1971.
	सा० नि० 114, दिनांक 4 जनवरी 1971	तदैव	प्रत्येक व्यक्ति की ड्यूटी जो 8 जनवरी 1971 से 7 जुलाई 1971 तक छह मास के लिए पश्चिम बंगाल में सेवारत होगा वह सक्रिय ड्यूटी होगी।
	S.O. 115, dated 4th January, 1971	Ditto.	Duty by every person in Haryana referred to in clause (a) as active duty for a period of six months w.e.f. 8-1-1971.
	सा० नि० 115, दिनांक 4 जनवरी 1971	तदैव	प्रत्येक व्यक्ति की ड्यूटी जो 8 जनवरी 1971 से 7 जुलाई 1971 तक छह मास के लिए हरियाणा में सेवारत होगा वह सक्रिय ड्यूटी होगी।
8.	S.O. 116, dated 5th January, 1971	Ministry of Foreign Trade	Further amendment to the notification of the Late Ministry of Commerce No. S. O. 771 dated the 6th March, 1965.
	एस० ओ० 116, दिनांक 5 जनवरी 1971	विदेश व्यापार मंत्रालय	भूतपूर्व वाणिज्य मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना सं० का० सा० 771 तारीख 6 मार्च 1965 में संशोधन।

Issue No. and Date No.	Issued by	Subject
9. S. O. 209, dated 5th January, 1971	Ministry of Railways	Appointment of Shri M. Jagannathan Rao, as a whole-time claims Commissioner.
एस० ओ० 209, दिनांक 5 जनवरी 1971	रेल मंत्रालय	श्री एस० जगन्मोहन राव को दावा आयुक्त के रूप में नियुक्त ।
10. S.O. 210/15/ID-RA/70, dated 6th January, 1971	Ministry of Industrial Dev. & Internal Trade	Appointment of Shri R.C. Nigam as a member to make investigation into the affairs of M/s. Seksaria Cotton Mills Ltd. Bombay (Maharashtra) vice Shri S. C. Bafna.
का० आ० 210/15/आई० डी० आर० ए०/70 दिनांक 6 जनवरी 1971	औद्योगिक विकास तथा आन्तरिक व्यापार मंत्रालय	श्री आर० सी० निगम को सेक्सरिया काटन मिल्स लि० बम्बई (महाराष्ट्र) के मामलों की जांच करने हेतु नियुक्त ।
11. S.O. 211, dated 7th January, 1971	Election Commission of India	Amendment in Notification No. 429/A & NI/69, dated the 13th November, 1969.
एस० ओ० 211, दिनांक 7 जनवरी 1971	भारत निर्वाचन आयोग	अधिसूचना सं० 429/आ० नि० डी०] 69, तारीख 13 नवम्बर, 1969 में संशोधन ।
12. S.O. 212, dated 7th January, 1971	Ditto.	Amendment in Notification No. 434/PB/69, dated the 7th November, 1970.
एस० ओ० 212, दिनांक 7 जनवरी 1971	तदैव	अधिसूचना सं० 434/पंजाब/69, तारीख 7 नवम्बर 1970 में संशोधन ।
13. S.O. 213, dated 7th January, 1971	Ditto.	Appointment of Returning Officer and Assistant Returning Officers in respect of the Parliamentary Constituency of Andaman and Nicobar Islands.
एस० ओ० 213, दिनांक 7 जनवरी 1971	तदैव	अण्डमान और निकोबार द्वीप के संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्रों के लिए रिटर्निंग आफिसर और सहायक रिटर्निंग आफिसर की नियुक्ति ।
14. S.O. 214, dated 7th January, 1971	Ditto.	Further amendment in Notification No. 434/RJ/66, dated the 11th August, 1966.
एस० ओ० 214 दिनांक 7 जनवरी 1971	तदैव	अधिसूचना सं० 434/राज०/66 तारीख 11 अगस्त 1966 में और आगे संशोधन ।

Issue No	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
15	S. O. 215, dated January, 1971	7th Election Commission of India	Further amendment in Notification No. 434/NL/ 66, dated the 18th June 1966.
	का० आ० 215 दिनांक 7 जनवरी 1971	भारत निर्वाचन आयोग	अधिसूचना सं० 434/नागा०/66 तारीख 18 जून 1966 में और आगे संशोधन ।
16	S. O. 216, dated January, 1971	7th Ditto.	Delimitation of Parliamentary Constituencies in the State of Himachal Pradesh.
	एस० ओ० 216 दिनांक 7 जनवरी 1971	तदैव	हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य में संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्रों के परिशोधन के लिए प्रस्थापनाएं ।
17	S.O. 217, dated January, 1971	8th Ministry of Irrigation & Power	The Supply of electrical energy to the Public in the State of Bihar declared as an essential Service.
	का० आ० 217 दिनांक 8 जनवरी 1971	सिंचाई और विद्युत मंत्रालय	बिहार राज्य में जनता को विद्युत शक्ति से सेवा को आवश्यक सेवा घोषित ।
	S. O. 218, dated January, 1971	8th Ditto.	Prohibition of Strikes in any Service in the State of Bihar connected with the supply of electrical energy to the Public.
	का० आ० 218 दिनांक 8 जनवरी 1971	तदैव	बिहार राज्य में ऐसी किसी सेवा में हड़तालों का प्रतिषेध जो जनता को विद्युत् शक्ति से सम्बन्धित ।
18	S. O. 219, dated January, 1971	8th Election Commission of India.	Further amendments in Notifications No. 434-OR/66 (I), dated the 8th June 1966.
	एस० ओ० 219 दिनांक 8 जनवरी 1971	भारत निर्वाचन आयोग	अधिसूचना सं० 434/उडी०/66(1) तारीख 8 जून 1966 में और आगे संशोधन ।
19	S.O. 220, dated, January 1971	8th. Ministry of Finance	Making of the Order to exempt a person from the operation of the time limit if he repays the loan.
	का० आ० 220 दिनांक 8 जनवरी 1971	वित्त मंत्रालय	उन व्यक्ति को समय परिसीमा के प्रवर्तन से छूट दे दी जाएगी जो किस्तों को प्रतिसंदत्त कर देता है उस पर आदेश ।

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
20	S. O. 221, dated January, 1971	8th Ministry of Information & Broadcasting.	Approval of the films so specified in the Schedule in all their language versions.
	एस०ओ० 221 दिनांक 8 जनवरी 1971	सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्रालय	अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट फिल्मों को सभी भाषाओं के रूपान्तरों सहित स्वीकृति ।
	S. O. 222, dated January, 1971	8th Ditto.	Approval of a film so specified in the Schedule in all its language versions.
	एस०ओ० 222 दिनांक 8 जनवरी 1971	तदैव	अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट फिल्मों को सभी भाषाओं के रूपान्तर सहित स्वीकृति ।
	S. O. 223, dated January, 1971	8th Ditto.	Approval of a film so specified in the Schedule in all its language versions.
	एस०ओ० 223 दिनांक 8 जनवरी 1971	तदैव	अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट फिल्मों को सभी भाषाओं के रूपान्तर सहित स्वीकृति ।
21	S. O. 224, dated January, 1971	8th Election Commission of India.	Appointment of Returning and Assistant Returning Officers in respect of the Parliamentary Constituencies in the State of Madhya Pradesh.
	एस०ओ० 224 दिनांक 8 जनवरी 1971	भारत निर्वाचन आयोग	मध्य प्रदेश राज्य के संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्रों में रिटर्निंग तथा सहायक रिटर्निंग आफिसरों की नियुक्ति ।
22	S. O. 225, dated January, 1971	8th Ministry of Food, Agri., Com. Dev. & Co-operation.	Fixation of maximum prices at which vegetable oil products may be sold in various Zones w.e.f. 9-1-71.
	का०आ० 225 दिनांक 8 जनवरी 1971	खाद्य कृषि सामुदायिक विकास और सहकारिता मंत्रालय	अधिकतम कीमतें जिन पर वनस्पति तेल उत्पादों को विभिन्न ज़ोनों में 9 जनवरी 1971 से विक्रय किया जा सकेगा इसका नियतिकरण ।
23	S. O. 226, dated January, 1971	8th Election Commission of India.	Further amendments in Notification No. 508/AS /66, dated the 1st January, 1967.
	एस०ओ० 226 दिनांक 8 जनवरी 1971	भारत निर्वाचन आयोग	अधिसूचना सं० 508/असम/66 तारीख 1 जनवरी 1967 में और आगे संशोधन ।

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
23-A	S.O. 226-A, dated January, 1971	11th Ministry of Petro. Chem. and Mines Metals.	& Further amendment in the Drugs (Prices Control) Order, 1970.
23-B	S.O. 226-B, dated January, 1971	11th Cabinet Secretariat	Constituting an Advisory Committee to recommend the initial Strength and Composition of a State Cadre of (i) I.A.S., (ii) I.P.S. and (iii) I. Forest S. for the State of Himachal Pradesh.
	का० आ० 226-बी, दिनांक 11 जनवरी, 1971	मंत्रिमंडल सचिवालय	एक सलाहकार समिति की स्थापना जो कि हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य के लिए (i) भारतीय प्रशासन सेवा (ii) भारतीय पुलिस सेवा और (iii) भारतीय वन सेवा के राज्य संवर्ग की आरंभिक संख्या और संरचना की सिफारिश करेगा।
24	S. O. 227, dated January, 1971	12th Ministry of Home Affairs	Declaring the All Jammu and Kashmir Plebiscite Front as an unlawful association.
	S. O. 228, dated January, 1971	12th Ditto	Effect of the prior said notification from the date of its publication in the official Gazette.
	S. O. 229, dated January, 1971	12th Ditto]	Direction to Jammu & Kashmir Govt. to exercise all the power used by Central Govt., under Sections 7 and 8 of the law so mentioned.
25	S. O. 230, dated January, 1971	12th Election Commission of India.	Amendment in Notification No. 434/MR / 69, dated the 22nd December, 1969.
	एस० ओ० 230 दिनांक 12 जनवरी, 1971	भारत निर्वाचन आयोग	अधिसूचना सं० 434/मनी०/69, तारीख 22 दिसम्बर, 1969 में संशोधन।
26	S. O. 231, dated January, 1971	12th Ditto.]	Appointment of Retraining officers and Assistant Returning officers for each of the Parliamentary Constituencies in the State of Bihar so specified in the Table.
	एस० ओ० 231, दिनांक 12 जनवरी, 1971	तथैव	सारणी में विनिर्दिष्ट रिटर्निंग आफिसर तथा सहायक रिटर्निंग आफिसरों को बिहार राज्य के प्रत्येक संसदीय चुनाव के लिए नियुक्ति।

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
27	S. O. 232, dated 12th January, 1971	Election Commission of India	Amendment in Notification No. 429 / A & NI / 69, dated the 1st January, 1971.
	एस० ओ० 232, दिनांक 12 जनवरी, 1971	भारत निर्वाचन अ.योग	अधिसूचना सं० 229/अ० नि० दबी/ 69, तारीख 1 जनवरी 1971 में संशोधन।
28	S. O. 233, dated 12th January, 1971	Ditto.	Amendments in Notification No. 434/ TP / 69, dated the 6th March, 1970.
	एस० ओ० 233, दिनांक 12 जनवरी, 1971	तथैव	अधिसूचना सं० 434/त्रिपुरा/69, तारीख 6 मार्च 1970 में संशोधन।
29	S. O. 234, dated 12th January, 1971	Ministry of Information & Broadcasting	Approval of a film so specified in the Schedule in all its Language versions.
	एस० ओ० 234, दिनांक 12 जनवरी, 1971	सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्रालय	अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट फिल्मों का सभी भाषाओं के रूपान्तरों सहित स्वीकृति।
	S. O. 235, dated 12th January, 1971	Ditto.	Approval of a film so specified in the Schedule in all its Language versions.
	एस० ओ० 235, दिनांक 12 जनवरी, 1971	तथैव	अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट फिल्म का सभी भाषाओं के रूपान्तरों सहित स्वीकृति।
30	S. O. 236, dated 12th January, 1971.	Ministry of Industrial Dev. & Internal Trade	Granting of recognition to the Hansi Punjab Commercial Exchange Ltd., Hansi for a further period of 2 years from the 13th January, 1971 to 12th January, 1973 (both days inclusive).
	का० आ० 236, दिनांक 12 जनवरी, 1971	औद्योगिक विकास तथा आंतरिक व्यापार मंत्रालय	13 जनवरी 1971 से लेकर 12 जनवरी 1973 तक दो वर्ष की अतिरिक्त कालावधि के लिए हांसी पंजाब कमर्शियल एक्सचेंज लि० हांसी को मान्यता प्रदान।
31	S. O. 237, dated 12th January, 1971.	Election Commission of India.	Appointment of Electoral Registration Officer and Assistant Electoral Registration Officer for the Parliamentary Constituency of Chandigarh Union Territory.

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
	एस० ओ० 237, दिनांक 12 जनवरी, 1971	भारत निर्वाचन आयोग	निर्वाचक रजिस्ट्रीकरण आफिसर और सहायक निर्वाचक रजिस्ट्रीकरण आफिसरों का चण्डीगढ़ संघ राज्य क्षेत्र के संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र के लिए नियुक्ति ।
32	S. O. 238, dated 12th January, 1971	Ditto.	Further Amendment in Notification No. 434/J. & K/H P /66, dated the 7th December, 1966.
	एस० ओ० 238, दिनांक 12 जनवरी 1971	तथैव	अधिसूचना सं० 434/जम्मू और काश्मीर/लो० सं० 66 तारीख 7 दिसम्बर 1966 में और आगे संशोधन ।
33	S. O. 239, dated 12th January, 1971	Ditto.	Amendment in Notification No. 429/J. & K. HP/66, dated the 10th November 1966.
	एस० ओ० 239 दिनांक 12 जनवरी, 1971	तथैव	अधिसूचना सं० 429/जम्मू और काश्मीर/लो० सं० 66 तारीख 10 नवम्बर, 1966 में और आगे संशोधन ।
34	S. O. 240, dated 14th January, 1971	Ditto.	Amendments in Notification No. 434/DL/70 (1) dated the 30th December, 1970.
	एस० ओ० 240, दिनांक 14 जनवरी, 1971	तथैव	अधिसूचना सं० 434/दिल्ली/70 (1) तारीख 30, दिसम्बर, 1970 में और आगे संशोधन ।
35	S. O. 241, dated 14th January, 1971	Ditto.	Amendments in Notification No. 434/ HN / 67, dated the 23rd November 1967.
	एस० ओ० 241, दिनांक 14 जनवरी, 1971	तथैव	अधिसूचना सं० 434/हर 0/67, तारीख 23 नवम्बर, 1967 में और आगे संशोधन ।
36	S. O. 242, dated 14th January, 1971	Ministry of Railways.	Appointing Shri S. Swamikannu to deal with all claims arising out of the accident involving No. 19 Madras-Cochin Mail and No. 1 Madras-Mangalore Mail at Perambur Station.

Issue No. and Date No.	Issued by	Subject
एस० ओ० 242, दिनांक 14 जनवरी, 1971	रेल मंत्रालय	पैरम्बूर स्टेशन पर नं० 19 मद्रास- कोचिन मेल और नं० 1 मद्रास- मंगलूर के बीच हुई दुर्घटना से उत्पन्न दावों के निबटाने के लिए श्री एस० स्वामीकृष्ण की नियुक्ति।
37. S.O. 243, dated 14th January, 1971.	Election Commission of India.	Appointment of Returning Officers and Assistant Returning Officers, so specified in the Table, for the Parliamentary Constituencies in the State of Kerala.
एस० ओ० 243, दिनांक 14 जनवरी, 1971	भारत निर्वाचन आयोग	सारणी में उल्लिखित रिटर्निंग आफिसरों तथा सहायक रिटर्निंग आफिसरों का केरल राज्य के संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्रों के लिए नियुक्ति।
38. S.O. 349, dated 14th January, 1971.	Ministry of Finance	Exempting goods so specified in the Table from the duty of customs leviable thereon.
एस० ओ० 349, दिनांक 14 जनवरी, 1971	वित्त मंत्रालय	सारणी में विनिर्दिष्ट मालों को उद- ग्रहणीय सीमा शुल्क से छुट।
39. S.O. 350/15/IDRA/70, dated 14th January 1971.	Ministry of Industrial Dev. & Internal Trade	Appointment of Shri A. K. Ghosh, as a member, to investigate into the affairs of Mohini Mills Ltd. No. 2 Belgharia, W. Bengal vide Shri T.N. Pandey.
का० आ० 350/15/आई० डी० आर० ए० 70, दिनांक 14 जनवरी, 1971	औद्योगिक विकास तथा आंतरिक व्यापार मंत्रालय	मोहिनी मिल्स नं० 2, बेलघारिया (पश्चिमी बंगाल) के कार्यों के जांच करने के लिए श्री ए० के० घोष की श्री टी० एन० पांडे के स्थान पर नियुक्ति।
40. S.O. 351, dated 14th January, 1971.	Election Commission of India.	Further amendments in Notification No. 508/ AS/66, dated the 1st January 1967.
एस० ओ० 351, दिनांक 14 जनवरी, 1971	भारत निर्वाचन आयोग	अधिसूचना सं० 508/असम/66, ता० 1 जनवरी, 1967 में और अंग्रे संशोधन।
41. S.O. 352, dated 15th January, 1971.	Ministry of Shipping & Transport.	Appointing the 1st day of Apr 1971 as the date on which the provisions of Sections 33, 34, 76 and 82 of the Motor Vehicles (Amendment) Act, 1969 (56 of 1969) shall come into force.

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
	एस० ओ० 352, दिनांक 15 जनवरी, 1971	पोत परिवहन तथा परिवहन मंत्रालय	1971 के अप्रैल के प्रथम दिन को उस तारीख के रूप में नियत करती है जिस तारीख को मोटर गाड़ी (संशोधन) अधिनियम, 1969 (1969 का 56) की धारा 33, 34, 76 और 82 के उपबन्ध प्रवृत्त होंगे।
42.	S.O. 353, dated 16th January 1971	Ministry of Law	Further amendment in the Conduct of Elections Rules, 1961.
	का० ओ० 353, दिनांक 16 जनवरी, 1971	विधि मंत्रालय	निर्वाचनों का संचालन नियम 1961 में और आगे संशोधन।
43.	S.O. 354, dated 16th January 1971	Ministry of Industrial Dev. & Internal Trade	Granting of recognition to the Pepper & Ginger Merchant's Association Ltd., Bombay for a period of 2 years ending the 18th January 1973 in respect of forward contracts in pepper.
	एस० ओ० 354, दिनांक 16 जनवरी, 1971	औद्योगिक विकास और आन्तरिक व्यापार मंत्रालय	जिंजर मर्चेन्ट्स एसोसिएशन लिमिटेड बम्बई को काली मिर्च की अग्रिम संविदाओं की बाबत 18 जनवरी, 1973 को समाप्त होने वाली दो वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए मान्यता प्रदान।
44.	S.O. 355, dated 16th January 1971	Election Commission of India.	Appointment of Returning Officers and Assistant Returning Officers, so specified in the Table, for the election in the Parliamentary Constituencies in the State of Mysore.
	एस० ओ० 355, दिनांक 16 जनवरी, 1971	भारत निर्वाचन आयोग	सारणी में उल्लिखित रिटर्निंग आफिसर तथा सहायक रिटर्निंग आफिसरों का मैसूर राज्य के हर एक निर्वाचन क्षेत्रों के लिए नियुक्ति।
45.	S.O. 356, dated 18th January 1971	Ministry of Food, Agri., Com. Dev. & Co-operation.	Appointing the National Seeds Corporation as seed certification agency for the State of Rajasthan upto 31-3-71.
	एस० ओ० 356, दिनांक 18 जनवरी, 1971	खाद्य, कृषि, सामुदायिक विकास और सहकारिता मंत्रालय	राजस्थान राज्य के लिए राष्ट्रीय बीज निगम को 31 मार्च, 1971 तक बीज प्रमाणिकरण एजेंसी के रूप में नियुक्त करना।

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
46.	S.O. 357, dated 18th January 1971	Election Commission of India.	Appointment of Returning Officers and Assistant Returning Officers, so specified in the Table, for the election in the Parliamentary Constituencies in the State of Tamil Nadu.
	एस० ओ० 357, दिनांक 18 जनवरी, 1971	भारत निर्वाचन आयोग	सारणी में विनिर्दिष्ट रिटर्निंग आफिसर तथा सहायक रिटर्निंग आफिसरों का तामिलनाडु राज्य के संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्रों के लिए नियुक्ति।
47.	S.O. 358, dated 18th January 1971	Ditto	Further amendments in Notification No. 429/J. & K. /HP/66, dated the 10th November, 1966.
	एस० ओ० 358, दिनांक 18 जनवरी, 1971	तद्वैव !	अधिसूचना सं० 429/जम्मू और काश्मीर जो० सं० 66, तारीख 10 नवम्बर, 1966 में और आगे संशोधन।
48.	S.O. 359, dated 18th January 1971	Ministry of Home Affairs	The unlawful Activities (Prevention) (Second Amendment) Rules, 1971.
	एस० ओ० 359, दिनांक 18 जनवरी, 1971	गृह मंत्रालय	निधिविषय क्रियाकलाप (निवारण) (द्वितीय संशोधन), नियम 1971।
49.	S.O. 360, dated 18th January 1971	Election Commission of India.	Delimitation of Parliamentary Constituencies in the State of Himachal Pradesh.
	एस० ओ० 360, दिनांक 18 जनवरी, 1971	भारत निर्वाचन आयोग	हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य में संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्रों का विस्तार।
50.	S.O. 361/15/IDRA/70, dated 18th January 1971	Ministry of Industrial Dev. & Internal Trade.	Appointing a body of persons to investigate into the circumstances of the Shree Shanmugar Mills Ltd., Rajapalayam (Tamil Nadu).
	का० आ० 361/15/आई० डी० आर० ए० 70, दिनांक 18 जनवरी, 1971	औद्योगिक विकास तथा आंतरिक व्यापार मंत्रालय	श्री शानुमगार मिल्स लिमिटेड, राज-पलायम, (तामिल नाडु) नामक औद्योगिक उपक्रम का मामले की परिस्थितियों का जांच करने के प्रयोजनार्थ व्यक्तियों के एक निकाय की नियुक्ति।

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
51.	S.O. 362, dated 19th January 1971	Ministry of Home Affairs	Establishing an Advisory Committee to recommend members for the Delhi, Himachal Pradesh and Andaman and Nicobar Islands Civil Service and the Delhi, Himachal Pradesh and Andaman and Nicobar Islands Police Service.
	का० आ० 362, दिनांक 19 जनवरी, 1972	गृह मंत्रालय	दिल्ली, हिमाचल प्रदेश और अंडमान व निकोबार द्वीप समूह सिविल सेवा और दिल्ली हिमाचल प्रदेश और अंडमान व निकोबार द्वीप पुलिस सेवा में अधिकारियों का सिफारिश करने के लिए एक सलाहकार समिति का गठन।
52.	S.O. 363, dated 20th January 1971	Election Commission of India	Appointment of Returning Officers and Assistant Returning officers, so specified in the Table, for the purpose of election in each of the Parliamentary Constituencies in the State of Uttar Pradesh.
	एस० ओ० 363, दिनांक 20 जनवरी, 1971	भारत निर्वाचन आयोग	सारणी के विनिर्दिष्ट रिटर्निंग आफिसर तथा सहायक रिटर्निंग आफिसरों का उत्तर प्रदेश राज्य के संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्रों के लिए नियुक्ति।
53.	S.O. 364, dated 20th January 1971	Ministry of Industrial Dev. and Internal Trade	Notifying the directions, so declared, to continue as being necessary or expedient in the public interest.
	एस० ओ० 364, दिनांक 20 जनवरी, 1971	औद्योगिक विकास तथा आंतरिक व्यापार मंत्रालय	अधिसूचना द्वारा जारी किये गये निदेश को, जो प्रकाशित हुआ था, जनहित में आवश्यक समझ कर अधिसूचित करती है।
54.	S.O. 365, dated 20th January 1971	Ministry of Petroleum and Chem. & Mines and Metals.	Further amendment in the Order S.O. No. 1873 dated the 18th May 1970 of the said Ministry.
	का० आ० 365, दिनांक 20 जनवरी, 1971	पेट्रोलियम तथा रसायन और खान तथा धातु मंत्रालय	इस मंत्रालय के आदेश संख्या का० आ० 1873, तारीख 18 मई 1970 में और आगे संशोधन।
55.	S.O. 420, dated 20th January 1971	Ministry of Home Affairs	Amendment in the Official Trustee, Bombay (Reorganisation) Order, 1963.

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
	का० आ० 420, दिनांक 20 जनवरी, 1971	गृह मंत्रालय	शासकीय न्यासी, मुम्बई (पुनर्गठन) आदेश, 1963 में संशोधन।
	S.O. 421, dated 20th January 1971.	Ministry of Home Affairs	Directing the whole of the properties so mentioned cease to be vested in the official Trustee, Maharashtra State and the Vested in the Official Trustee, Gujarat State.
	का० आ० 421, दिनांक 20 जनवरी, 1971	तथैव	आदेश में उल्लिखित सम्पति शासकीय न्यासी महाराष्ट्र राज्य में निहित नहीं रहेगी और शासकीय न्यासी राज्य में निहित होगी।
56.	S.O. 422, dated 21st January 1971	Ministry of Railways	Extension of the period of operation of the Order No. S.O. 2636, dated the 27th July 1970 for a further period of six months w.e.f. the 27th January 1971.
	एस० ओ० 422, दिनांक 21 जनवरी, 1971	रेल मंत्रालय	27 जुलाई, 1970 के आदेश सं० एस० ओ० 2636 की अवधि 27 जनवरी, 1971 को और उस तारीख से छः महीने के लिए और बढ़वाना।
57.	S.O. 423, dated 21st January 1971	Election Commission of India.	Appointment of Returning Officers and Assistant Returning Officers for the purpose of election in each of the Parliamentary Constituencies in the State of Himachal Pradesh.
	एस० ओ० 423, दिनांक 21 जनवरी, 1971	भारत निर्वाचन आयोग	सारणी में विनिर्दिष्ट रिटर्निंग आफिसर तथा सहायक रिटर्निंग आफिसरों को हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य के संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्रों के लिए नियुक्ति।
58.	S.O. 424, dated 21st January 1971	Ministry of Home Affairs	Amendment in the Ministry of Home Affairs S.O. No. 3159, dated the 19th October 1966.
	एस० ओ० 424, दिनांक 21 जनवरी, 1971	गृह मंत्रालय	गृह मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना सं० का० आ० 3159 तारीख 19 अक्टूबर, 1966 में संशोधन।

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
	S.O. 425, dated 21st January 1971	Ministry of Home Affairs	Extension of term of Office of all the existing Councillors of D.C.M. for 60 days.
	का० आ० 425, दिनांक 21 जनवरी, 1971	गृह मंत्रालय	दिल्ली नगर निगम के सभी विद्यमान पार्षदों और पौर-मुख्यों की पदावधि साठ दिन की अवधि तक विस्तारित।
59.	S.O. 426, dated 21st January, 1971	Ministry of Finance	Amendment in the Notification No.S.O. 4156, dated the 3rd October 1969 of the Ministry of Finance.
	का० आ० 426, दिनांक 21 जनवरी, 1971	वित्त मंत्रालय	वित्त मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना सं० एस० आ० 4156, तारीख 3 अक्टूबर, 1969 में संशोधन।
60.	S.O. 427, dated 22nd January, 1971	Ditto	Authorising the sums of expenditure not exceeding those specified in the Schedule be incurred from and out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Himachal Pradesh.
	एस० आ० 427, दिनांक 22 जनवरी, 1971	तथैव	हिमाचल राज्य की समेकित निधि से हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य की विधान सभा की मंजूरी मिलने तक इतनी रकमें खर्च की जायें जो अनुसूची में उल्लिखित रकमों से अधिक न हों।
	S.O. 428, dated 22nd January, 1971	Ditto	Authorising the sums of expenditure not exceeding those specified in the Schedule be incurred from and out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Himachal Pradesh.
	एस० आ० 428, दिनांक 22 जनवरी, 1971	तथैव	हिमाचल राज्य की समेकित निधि से हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य की विधान सभा की मंजूरी मिलने तक इतनी रकमें खर्च की जायें जो अनुसूची में उल्लिखित रकमों से अधिक न हों।
61.	S.O. 429, dated 22nd January, 1971	Ministry of Home Affairs	Directing every person to be on deputation to the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh on the same terms and conditions as were applicable to him immediately before the appointed day.

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
एस० ओ० 429, दिनांक 22 जनवरी, 1971	गृह मंत्रालय	हिमाचल प्रदेश संघ शासित क्षेत्र के प्रशासक के अधीन प्रतिनियुक्त व्यक्ति को उन्हीं शर्तों पर जो कि उसे निर्धारित दिन से तत्काल पूर्व लागू थी प्रतिनियुक्ति पर माना जायेगा ।	

ऊपर लिखे असाधारण राजपत्रों की प्रतियां प्रकाशन प्रबन्धक, सिविल लाइन्स, दिल्ली के नाम मांगपत्र भेजने पर भेज दी जाएंगी। मांगपत्र प्रबन्धक के पास इन राजपत्रों के जारी होने की तारीख से 10 दिन के भीतर पहुंच जाने चाहिए।

Copies of the Gazettes Extraordinary mentioned above will be supplied on indent to the Manager of Publications, Civil Lines, Delhi. Indents should be submitted so as to reach the Manager within ten days of the date of issue of these Gazettes.

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उपखण्ड (ii)

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

(रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों और (संघ क्षेत्र प्रशासन को छोड़कर) केन्द्रीय प्राधिकरणों द्वारा जारी किये गए विधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएं

Statutory orders and notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence) and by Central Authorities (other than the Administration of Union Territories).

MINISTRY OF SHIPPING AND TRANSPORT

(Transport Wing)

New Delhi, the 17th April 1971

S.O. 1760.—In pursuance of sub-section (3) of section 4 of the Seamen's Provident Fund Act, 1966 (4 of 1966), read with paragraph 44 of the Seamen's Provident Fund Scheme, 1966, and in continuation of the notification of the Government of India, in the Ministry of Shipping and Transport (Transport Wing) No. S.O. 2104 dated 1st June, 1970, the Central Government hereby directs that accumulations out of provident fund contributions, interest and other receipts as reduced by obligatory outgoings, shall be invested in accordance with the following pattern, namely:—

- | | |
|---|----------------------------|
| (i) In Central Government securities. | Not less than 50 per cent. |
| (ii) In State Government securities, the securities guaranteed by the Central Government or the State Governments, in the tax-free Small Savings securities and in the 1-year, 3-year and 5-year Time Deposits in Post Offices. | Balance. |

2. All re-investment of provident fund accumulations (whether invested in securities created and issued by the Central Government or in saving certificates

issued by the Central Government or in securities created and issued by a State Government) shall also be made according to the pattern mentioned in the first paragraph.

3. The above pattern will be in force for the period from the 1st April, 1971, to the 30th April, 1971.

4. This notification shall come into force on the first day of April, 1971.

[No. 5-MT (7)/70.]

J. C. JETLI, Dy. Secy.

पोतपरिवहन तथा परिवहन मंत्रालय

(परिवहन पक्ष)

नई दिल्ली, 17 अप्रैल, 1971

का० आ० 1760.—नाविक निर्वाह निधि योजना, 1966 के पैराग्राफ 44 के साथ पठित नाविक निर्वाह निधि अधिनियम, 1966 (1966 का 4) की धारा 4 की उपधारा (3) का अनुसरण करते हुए तथा भारत सरकार पोतपरिवहन तथा परिवहन मंत्रालय (परिवहन पक्ष) की अधिसूचना संख्या का० आ० 2104 दिनांक 1-6-1970 के क्रम में केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा निदेश देती है कि निर्वाह निधि अंशदान की जमा पूंजी, व्यय तथा अन्य प्राप्तियों में से अनिवार्य वहिर्गामी राशियाँ को कम, करने के बाद जो संचय रहेगा उसे निम्नलिखित ढंग से लगाया जाएगा, अर्थात् :

(1) केन्द्रीय सरकार की सैक्यूरिटीज में— 50 प्रतिशत से कम नहीं ।

(2) राज्य सरकारों की सैक्यूरिटीज, केन्द्रीय सरकार अथवा राज्य सरकारों द्वारा गारंटी शुदा सैक्यूरिटीज, कर-मुक्त अल्प वचत सैक्यूरिटीज में और डाक घरों के एक वर्ष, तीन वर्ष और पांच वर्ष के सावधिक जमा में शेष

2. निर्वाह निधि के संचय का सारा पुनर्निवेश (चाहे वह केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा सजित और जारी की गई सैक्यूरिटीज अथवा केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा जारी किए गये वचत प्रमाण पत्रों अथवा किसी राज्य सरकार द्वारा सजित और जारी की गई सैक्यूरिटीज में लगाया गया हो) प्रथम पैराग्राफ में दिये गये ढंग के अनुसार ही किया जाएगा ।

3. उपरोक्त प्रतिरूप 1 अप्रैल, 1971 से 30 अप्रैल, 1971 तक की अवधि में लागू रहेगा ।

4. यह अधिसूचना 1 अप्रैल, 1971 के प्रथम दिवस से लागू होगी ।

[सं० 5-एम टी (7)/70]

जे० सी० जेतली, उप सचिव ।

MINISTRY OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT & INTERNAL TRADE

(Department of Internal Trade)

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 26th April 1971

S.O. 1761.—In pursuance of sub-rule (2) of rule 157 of the Trade and Merchandise Marks Rules, 1959, the Central Government hereby makes the

following corrections against the existing entries in this Ministry's notification of even number dated the 31st October, 1970:—

For

"Shri Sibendra Nath Mukherjee, C/o Messrs. S. N. Mukherjee & Co.,
1, Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta-1, West Bengal (India)".

Read

"Shri Shibendra Nath Mukerjee, C/o Messrs. S. N. Mukerjee & Co.,
1, Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta-1, West Bengal (India)".

[No. 29(I)-I.T(TM)/70.]

P. SITARAMAN, Dy. Secy.

औद्योगिक विकास तथा आंतरिक व्यापार मंत्रालय

(आंतरिक व्यापार विभाग)

शुद्धिपत्र

नई दिल्ली 26 अप्रैल, 1971

एस० ओ० 1761.—व्यापार तथा वाणिज्य चिन्ह निदम, 1959 के निदम, 157 के उप-नियम (2) के अनुसरण से, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा इस मंत्रालय की इसी संस्था वाली अधि-सूचना दिनांक 31 अक्टूबर, 1970 की विद्यमान प्रविष्टियों में निम्नलिखित शुद्धियां करती है:—

के स्थान पर:

"श्री सिबेन्द्र नाथ मुखर्जी,
द्वारा मै० एस० एन० मुखर्जी एण्ड क०,
1, नेताजी सुभाष रोड,
कलकत्ता—1, पश्चिम बंगाल (भारत)" ।

पढ़िए:

"श्री शिवेन्द्र नाथ मुखर्जी,
द्वारा मै० एस० एन० मुखर्जी एण्ड क०,
1, नेताजी सुभाष रोड,
कलकत्ता—1, पश्चिम बंगाल (भारत)" ।

[स० 29(1)-आई० टी० (टी एस०)/70]

प० सीतारामन, उप सचिव ।

(Department of Industrial Development)

(Indian Standards Institution)

New Delhi, the 7th April 1971

S. O. 1762.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (3) of regulation 7 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notified that the marking fee(s) per unit for various products, details of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed, have been determined and the fee(s) shall come into force with effect from the dates shown against each:

THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Product/Class of Products	No. and Title of Relevant Indian Standard	Unit	Marking Fee per Unit	Date of effect
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Varnished cotton cloth and tapes for electrical purposes.	IS:3352-1965 Specification for varnished cotton cloth and tapes for electrical purposes.	1 square metre	3 Paise	16 February 1971

1	2	3	4	5	6
2.	Jute carpet backing fabric	IS: 4900-1969 Specification for jute carpet backing fabric (271, 305, 339 and 407 g/ m ²)	One tonne	Rs. 2.00	1st March 1971

[No. CMD/13:10.]

(प्रौद्योगिक विकास विभाग)]

भारतीय मानक संस्था

नई दिल्ली, 7 अप्रैल 1971

एस० प्रो० 1762—भारतीय मानक संस्थान प्रमाणन चिन्ह, विनियम, 1955 के विनियम 7 के उपविनियम (3) के अन्तर्गत भारतीय मानक संस्था की ओर से सूचित किया जाता है कि विभिन्न वस्तुओं को पदचक्र फीस जिनके बारे में प्रश्नोत्तरी में दिए हैं, निर्धारित की गई हैं और ये फीस उनके आगे लिखी तिथियों से लागू हों जाएंगी :

अनुवृत्ति

क्रमांक	उत्पाद/उत्पाद का वर्ग	सम्बद्ध भारतीय मानक की पद संख्या और शीर्षक	इकाई	प्रति इकाई मूहर लगाने की फीस	लागू होने की तिथि
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	बिजली के कार्यों के लिए वानिश किया सूती कपड़ा और टेप	IS-: 3352-1965 बिजली के कार्यों के लिए वानिश किए सूती कपड़े और टेप की विधिष्टि	एक वर्ग मीटर	3 रैंसे	16 फरवरी 1971
2	जूट के गलीचे के पीछे लगाने का कपड़ा	IS-: 4900-1969 जूट के गलीचे के पीछे लगाने के कपड़े (271, 305, 339 और 407 ग्राम/मी ²) की विधिष्टि	एक मीटरी टन	रु० 2-00	1 मार्च 1971

[संख्या सी० एम० डी०/13:10]

New Delhi, the 19th April, 1971

S.O. 1763.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (1) of Regulation 8 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, as amended from time to time, the Indian Standards Institution, hereby, notifies that one hundred and nineteen licences, particulars of which are given in the following Schedule, have been renewed:

THE SCHEDULE

Sl.No.	Licence No. and date.	Period of Validity		Name & Address of the Licensee	Article/Process covered by the Licence and the Relevant IS : Designation.
		from	to		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	CM/L-10 11-6-1956	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	Jeewanlal (1929) Ltd., Crown Aluminium Works, 26 Parel Tank Road, Bombay-12.	Wrought aluminium and aluminium alloy utensils— IS : 21—1959
2	CM/L-11 11-6-1956	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	Jeweanlal (1929) Ltd., Crown Aluminium Works, 95, Grand Trunk Road, P. O. Belurmath, Distt. Howrah.	(i) Wrought aluminium and aluminium alloy utensils IS : 21—1959 and (ii) Wrought aluminium and aluminium alloy utensils grade SIC, anodized— IS : 1868—1968.
3	CM/L-27 20-5-1957	1-6-1970	31-5-1971	Electrical Manufacturing Co. Ltd., EMC Gardens, 136, Jessore Road, Calcutta-55.	Hard-drawn stranded aluminium and steel-cored aluminium conductors for overhead power transmission purposes— IS : 398—1961.
4	CM/L-30 11-7-1957	16-7-1970	30-6-1973	The India Cement Ltd., Sankarnagar, Talaiyuthu, Distt. Tirunelveli.	Ordinary & rapid hardening portland cement— IS : 269—1967.
5	CM/L-88 22-5-1958	1-6-1970	30-4-1971	Hindustan Brown Boveri Ltd., Industrial Area, New Township, Faridabad (Haryana)	Aluminium conductors, steel re-inforced and all aluminium conductors— IS : 398—1961.
6	CM/L-132 24-6-1959	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	E. I. D. Parry Ltd., Dare House, Madras-1.	DDT dusting powders— IS : 564—1961.
7	CM/L-190 25-5-1960	1-6-1970	31-5-1971	The Indian Turpentine & Rosin Co. Ltd., P. O. Glutterbuckganj, Bareilly (UP)	Rosin (Gum rosin)— IS : 553—1955.
8	CM/L-195 30-5-1960	16-6-1970	15-12-1970	Western India Plywood Ltd., Baliapatam, Cannanore Distt., (Kerala)	Plywood for general purposes— IS : 303—1960.
9	CM/L-268 30-1-1961	1-6-1970	31-5-1971	All India Medical Corporation, M. Ilji Jetha Building, 185, Princess Street, Bombay 2.	BHC water dispersible powder— IS : 562—1962.
10	CM/L-285 8-3-1961	1-6-1970	31-5-1971	Do.	BHC dusting powders— IS : 561—1962.

11	CM/L-299 28-4-1961	16-5-1970	15-5-1971	J. B. Mengha Ram & Co. Pvt. Ltd., P. O. Residency, Gwalior.	Biscuits— IS : 1011—1968.
12	CM/L 300 28-4-1961	16-5-1970	15-5-1971	New Digvijaysinhji Tin Factory, Grain Mar- ket, Jamnagar	18 litre square tins— IS : 16—1966
13	CM/L 315 26-6-1961	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	Rohtas Industries Ltd., Dalmianagar (Bihar)	Unreinforced corrugated asbestos cement sheets (includ- ing semi corrugated)— IS : 459—1962.
14	CM/L 338 1-9-1961	16-6-1970	15-12-1970	Indo Asian Traders Pvt. Ltd., Nakodar Road Jullundur City.	Metal clad switches, 15 Amp 250 Volts with HC type fuse base & carrier— IS : 4064—1967
15	CM/L 341 20-9-1961	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	Mysore Insecticides Co. (Andhra), 18/257, Ghandhinagar, P. B. No. 425, Vijayawada- 3.	BHC dusting powder— IS : 561—1962
16	CM/L-342 20-9-1961	1-6-1970	31-5-1971	All India Medical Corporation, Mulji Jetha Building, 185, Princess Street, Bombay-2.	DDT water dispersible powder— IS : 565—1961
17	CM/L-375 12-1-1962	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	Balgopal Das Iron & Steel Co. Pvt. Ltd., 5, Gopal Doctor Road, Kidderpore, Cal- cutta-23.	18-litre square tins— IS : 916—1966
					Type Voltage Grade Conductor
18	CM/L-413 5-5-1962	16-5-1970	15-1-1971	Devidayal Cable Industries Pvt. Ltd., Pokhran Road, Mahiwada Village, Thana (Maharashtra).	(a) VIR Cables for fixed wiring (i) TRS (tough rub- ber sheathed) 250/440 and (ii) Braided and compounded. 650/1100 Volts. (iii) Weatherproof 250/440 Volts (iv) Flame retarding 250/440 and 650/1100 Volts (v) Weatherproof. 650/1100 Volts (b) VIR flexible cables (vi) Welding cables (c) VI flexible cords (vii) TRS (tough rub- ber sheathed) 250/440 Volts. IS : 434 (Parts I & II)—1964
					Copper or aluminium Aluminium only Copper only. Copper only.
19	CM/L-535 30-4-1963	1-6-1970	30-6-1971	Power Cables Pvt. Ltd., Vithalwadi (Maha- tra.)	PVC Cables only with aluminium Conductors, 250 and 650 volts grade— IS : 694 (Part II) 1964.
20	CM/L-539 13-5-1963	16-6-1970	30-9-1971	Tata Fison Industries Ltd., 20, Howrah R.d. Salkia, Calcutta.	CCC water dispersible powder concentrates— IS : 1507—1966

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
21	CM/L-546 5-6-1963	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	Varet Timber Assam (P) Ltd., Makum Road, Tinsukia, Assam.	Tea chest plywood panels— IS : 10—1964.
22	CM/L-582 19-9-1963	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	Kamani Tubes Pvt. Ltd., Agra Road, Kurla, Bombay-70.	Free cutting brass rods and sections of the following sizes: Rounds : All sizes from 6.0 mm to 40 mm. Hexagonals : All sizes from 10.0 mm to 40 mm AF. Squares : All sizes from 12.0 mm to 25 mm Grade : 'CUZM 42 Pb. 3d— IS : 319—1968
23	CM/L-611 31-12-1963	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	Prakash Pulversing Mills, Industrial Area, Alwar.	BHC dusting powders— IS : 561—1962
24	CM/L-656 29-4-1964	1-6-1970	31-5-1971	Tensile Steel Ltd., Hirabaug, Vishwamitri Road, Baroda.	Plain hard-drawn steel wire for prestressed concret— IS : 1785 (Part I)—1966
25	CM/L-663 1-5-1964	1-6-1970	31-5-1971	Industrial Cables (India) Ltd., Industrial Area, Rajpura (Punjab).	Paper-insulated lead-sheathed cables with aluminium conductor for electricity supply upto and including 33 KV— IS : 692—1965
26	CM/L-666 7-5-1964	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	Mukand Iron & Steel Works, Ltd., Kurla, Bombay-70.	Structural steel (ordinary quality)— IS : 1977-1969
27	CM/L-681 17-6-1964	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	The Indian Steel Rolling Mills Ltd., 'Mill Building', P. B. No. 1, Nagapattinam, Tanjore Distt.	Structural steel (standard quality)— IS : 226—1969
28	CM/L-682 17-6-1964	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	Do.	Structural steel (ordinary quality)— IS : 1977—1969
29	CM/L-780 10-9-1964	1-7-1970	30-6-1970	S. R. Sharma & Sons, 140, Rai Bahadur R. N. Guha Road, Dum Dum, Calcutta-28.	Brass ball valves (horizontal plunger type) 15 mm size— IS : 1703—1968.
30	CM/L-801 20-10-1964	1-6-1970	31-5-1971	Satelite Engineering Ltd., P. O. Maize Producers, Kathwada, Ahmedabad-2.	Starters for fluorescent lamps, 26/40/80 watts rating— IS : 2215—1968
31	CM/L-829 2-11-1964	16-6-1970	15-12-1970	National Industrial Corporation, 99/100, Agra Road, Bhandup, Bombay-78, N. B.	Structural steel (standard quality)— IS : 226—969
32	CM/L-830 2-11-1964	16-6-1970	15-12-1970	Do.	Structural steel (ordinary quality)— IS : 1977—1969
33	CM/L-861 28-11-1964	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	The Kinnison Jute Mills Co. Ltd., Tiraghur, 24 Parganas.	(i) Jute hessian— IS : 2818—1964 and (ii) Hessian bags— IS : 3790—1966
34	CM/L-862 28-11-1964	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	Do.	Jute sackings— IS : 1943—1964 IS : 2566—1965 IS : 2874—1964 IS : 2875—1964 IS : 3667—1966
					IS : 3668—1966 IS : 3750—1966 IS : 3751—1966 IS : 3794—1966

35	CM/L-877 28-11-1964	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	Samnuggur Jute Factory Co. Ltd., Bhadreswar, Hooghly. (Off: 3, Clive Row, Calcutta-1).	(i) Jute hessian— IS : 2818—1964 and (ii) Hessian bags— IS : 3790—1966	
36	CM/L-878 28-11-1964	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	Do.	Jute sackings— IS : 1943—1964 IS : 2566—1965 IS : 2874—1964 IS : 2875—1964 IS : 3667—1966	IS : 3668—1966 IS : 3750—1966 IS : 3751—1966 IS : 3794—1966
37	CM/L-881 28-11-1964	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	Victoria Jute Co. Ltd., P. O. Telinipara, Distt. Hooghly.	(i) Jute hessian— IS : 2818—1964 and (ii) Hessian bags— IS : 3790—1966	
38	CM/L-882 28-11-1964	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	Do.	Jute sackings— IS : 1943—1964 IS : 2566—1965 IS : 2874—1964 IS : 2875—1964 IS : 3667—1966	IS : 3668—1966 IS : 3750—1966 IS : 3751—1966 IS : 3794—1966
39	CM/L-913 28-11-1964	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	Bally Jute Co. Ltd., 25, Scott Kerr Road, Bally, Howrah.	(i) Jute hessian— IS : 2818—1964 and (ii) Hessian bags— IS : 3790—1966	
40	CM/L-914 28-11-1964	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	Do.	Jute sackings— IS : 1943—1964 IS : 2566—1965 IS : 2874—1964 IS : 2875—1964 IS : 3667—1966	IS : 3668—1966 IS : 3750—1966 IS : 3751—1966 IS : 3794—1966
41	CM/L-935 28-11-1964 *	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	Kelvin Jute Co. Ltd., Titaghur, 24 Parganas.	(i) Jute hessian— IS : 2818—1964 and (ii) Hessian bags— IS : 3790—1966	
42	CM/L-936 28-11-1964	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	Do.	Jute sackings— IS : 1943—1964 IS : 2566—1965 IS : 2874—1964 IS : 2875—1964 IS : 3667—1966	IS : 3668—1966 IS : 3750—1966 IS : 3751—1966 IS : 3794—1966

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
43	CM/L-949 28-11-1964	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	Shree Hanuman Jute Mill, 76, Jogendra Nath Mukherjee Road, Ghosuri, Howrah.	(i) Jute Hessian— IS: 2818-1964 and (ii) Hessian bags— IS: 3790-1966
44	CM/L-950 28-11-1964	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	Do.	Jute sackings— IS: 1943-1964 IS: 2566-1965 IS: 2874-1964 IS: 2875-1964 IS: 3667-1966 IS: 3668-1966 IS: 3750-1966 IS: 3751-1966 IS: 3794-1966
45	CM/L-957 28-11-1964	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	Prabartak Jute Mills Ltd., Kamarhatty, B.T. Road, 24 Parganas	(i) Jute Hessian— IS: 2818-1964 and (ii) Hessian bags— IS: 3790-1966
46	CM/L-958 28-11-1964	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	Do.	Jute sackings— IS: 1943-1964 IS: 2566-1965 IS: 2874-1964 IS: 2875-1964 IS: 3667-1966 IS: 3668-1966 IS: 3750-1966 IS: 3751-1966 IS: 3794-1966
47	CM/L-1036 19-3-1965	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	Anand Insecticides 4/5, Elaiya Mudali, Street, Korukupet, Madras-21.	Endrin emulsifiable concentrates— IS: 1310-1958
48	CM/L-1068 18-5-1965	16-5-1970	15-10-1970	Bhagsons Paint Industries (India) 16-A, D.L.F. Industrial Area, Najafgarh Road, New Delhi-15.	(i) Varnish goldsize— IS: 198-1952 (ii) Varnish, finishing, interior— IS: 337-1952 (iii) Varnish, mixing— IS: 340-1952 and (iv) French polish— IS: 348-1952
49	CM/L-1090 3-6-1965	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	West India Steel Co. Ltd., Cheruvannur, Feroke (Kerala).	Structural steel (standard quality)— IS: 226-1969
50	CM/L-1091 3-6-1965	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	Do.	Structural steel (ordinary quality)— IS: 1977-1969
51	CM/L-1114 28-7-1965	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	Industrial Research Corporation, B-44, Industrial Estate, Rajajinagar, Yashwantpur.	Dye-based fountain pen ink (blue and red) IS: 221-1957

52	CM/L-1132 27-8-1965	1-6-1970	31-5-1970	All India Medical Corporation, Simpoli Road, Borivli West, Bombay-66.	Organo mercurial seed dressing formulations— IS:3284—1965.
53	CM/L-1223 9-3-1966	16-6-1970	15-12-1970	Calcutta Plywood Mfg. Co., P.O. Ledo, Distt. Lakhimpur (Assam)	Tea-chest plywood panels— IS: 10—1964
54	CM/L-1262 20-5-1966	16-6-1970	15-12-1970	Pesticides, India Udaisagar Road, Udaipur	Aldrin dusting powder— IS:1308—1958
55	CM/L-1264 23-5-1966	1-6-1970	31-5-1971	Hooseini Metal Rolling Mill Pvt Ltd. Tambawala Properties, Reay Road, Bombay-10.	Lead sheet for use in chemical industry— IS:405—1961
56	CM/L-1269 30-5-1966	1-6-1970	31-5-1971	Naveen Industries, C-82 Rawari Line. Industrial Area, (Mayapur) Phase, II New Delhi-27.	Plastic water-closet seat and covers phenolic, type 'A'— IS:2548—1967
57	CM/L-1272 31-5-1966	16-6-1970	15-12-1970	Kisan Chemicals, 127, Industrial Area, Chandigarh.	Dieldrin emulsifiable concentrates— IS: 1054—1962
58	CM/L-1275 31-5-1966	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	Mysore Insecticides Co. (Andhra) Tadepalli, Guntur Distt.	Endrin emulsifiable concentrates— IS: 1310—1958
59	CM/L-1279 10-6-1966	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	Prakash Pulverising Mills, Industrial Area, Alwar.	Endrin emulsifiable concentrates— IS: 1310—1958
60	CM/L-1280 10-6-1966	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	Do.	DDT dusting powders— IS: 564—1961
61	CM/L-1283 23-6-1966	1-7-1970	15-7-1971	The Omega Insulated Cable Co., India Ltd., Plot 16 and 17 Industrial Estate, Ambattur, Madras-58.	Harddrawn stranded aluminium and steel-cored aluminium conductors for overhead power transmission purposes— IS:398—1961
62	CM/L-1287 28-6-1966	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	S. R. Sharm & Sons., 140, Rai Bahadur R.N. Guha Road, Dum Dum, Calcutta-28.	Sand cast brass crew down bib taps— IS:781—1967
63	CM/L-1290 30-6-1966	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	E. I. D. Parry Ltd. Ranipet North Arcot Distt. (Tamil Nadu).	Malathion, emulsifiable concentrates— IS:2567—1963
64	CM/L-1292 30-6-1966	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	Industrial Research Corporation, B-44 Industrial Estate, Rajajinagar, Yashwantpur.	Ferro-galo tannate fountain pen ink— (0.1 percent iron content)— IS:220—1959
65	CM/L-132 30-9-1966	1-6-1970	13-12-1970	Swan (India) Pvt. Ltd., 2/1, Mile, Mathura Road, P.O. Amar Nagar, Faridabad.	Dye-based fountain pen ink (green, black, blue and red)— IS: 1221—1957
66	CM/L-1369 16-12-1966	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	The Western India Plywoods Ltd., P. O. Ballapatam, Cannanore Distt. (Kerala State).	(i) Medium strength aircraft plywood— IS: 709—1957 (ii) Marine plywood— IS: 710—1957
67	CM/L-1434 26-4-1967	1-5-1970	30-4-1971	Hindustan Brown Boveri Ltd., Kot Village, Ghaziabad (U.P.).	Hard-drawn stranded aluminium and steel-cored aluminium conductors for overhead power transmission purposes— IS: 398—1961

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
68	CM/L-1442 16-5-1967	16-5-1970	15-12-1970	Pesticides India, Udaisagar Road, Udaipur	Dieldrin emulsifiable concentrates— IS: 1054—1962
69	CM/L-1443 16-5-1967	16-5-1970	15-12-1970	Do.	Aldrin emulsifiable concentrates IS: 1307—1958
70	CM/L-1451 8-6-1967	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	Excel Industries Ltd, Excel Estate, S. V. Road, Goregaon, Bombay—62.	Stabilised methoxy ethyl mercury chloride concentrate IS: 2127—1962
71	CM/L-1452 8-6-1967	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	Do.	Formulations based on stabilised methoxy ethyl mercury chloride concentrates— IS: 2358—1963
72	CM/L-1453 8-6-1967	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	Do.	Organo mercurial seed dressings— IS: 3284—1965
73	CM/L-1455 12-6-1967	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	Bengal United Co Pvt Ltd., Brojonath Lahiri Lane, P.O. Santragachi, Howrah,	(i) Sluice valves for waterworks purposes (with non-ferrous spindles & rings), class I, upto 300 mm sizes— IS: 780—1967 (ii) Sluice valves for waterworks purposes, class I, double flange, 500 mm size— IS: 2906—1964 and (iii) Sluice valves for waterworks purposes, class II double flange, 400 to 500 mm 600 mm and 750 mm sizes— IS: 2906—1964
74	CM/L-1459 15-6-1967	1-7-1970	31-6-1971	Andhra Industrial Works, C-2 Industrial Estate, Cuddaph (AP)	Hard-drawn stranded aluminium and steel-cord aluminium conductors for overhead power transmission purposes. IS: 398—1961
75	CM/L-1461 16-6-1967	16-6-1970	15-2-1971	Tata Fison Industries Ltd, Plot No. 49 Industrial Estate, Ambattur, Madras—58	BHC dusting powders— IS: 561—1962
76	CM/L-1462 16-6-1967	16-6-1970	31-3-1971	Mohatta & Hecker Ltd, Khopali Sheelphata Distt. Kolaba, Maharashtra	(i) Steel wire ropes for winding purposes in mines— IS: 1855—1961 (ii) Steel wire ropes for haulage purposes in mines— IS: 1856—1961
77	CM/L-1463 16-6-1967	16-5-1970	15-11-1970	Grandlay Electricals (India) Military Prade Road, Nirankari Colony, Delhi	Weatherproof polythene insulated, taped, braided and compounded cables with aluminium conductors of following types: (i) Single core, 650/1100 volts Grade and (ii) Twin core, flat, 250/440 volts Grade IS: 3035 (Part II) 1964

78	CM/L-1464 20-6-1967	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	Mohun Aluminium Private Ltd. Near 9th Milestone, Old Madras, Road, Post Bag No. 13 Bangalore-16	Hard-drawn stranded aluminium and steel-cord aluminium conductors for overhead power transmission purposes— IS: 398—1961
79	CM/L-1467 26-6-1967	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	The India Cements Ltd, Sankarnagar P.O. Trunelveli Distt. (Tamil Nadu)	Port land-pozzolana cement— IS: 1489—1967
80	CM/L-1551 24-10-1967	1-7-1970	31-12-1970	Mahabir Steel Rolling Mills, Qabool Nagar, G.T. Road, Shahdara, Delhi-32	Rolled steel sections, F. 4B, F. 7B, F. 5, F. 8 and F. 6 for doors, windows, and ventilators— IS: 1038—1968
81	CM/L-1567 24-11-1967	16-6-1970	15-12-1970	Keen Pesticides (Pvt.) Ltd, Vazhakulam, (Via Alwaye)	DDT water dispersible powders— IS: 565—1961
82	CM/L-1568 24-11-1967	1-6-1970	31-5-1971	Prakash Insecticides Pvt Ltd P. O. Naini Distt. Allahabad (U.P.)	BHC dusting powders— IS: 561—1962
83	CM/L-1583 13-12-1967	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	Rattanchand Harjasrai (Mouldings) Pvt Ltd, 54, Industrial Area, Faridabad.	Water-closet seats and covers made out of phenolic plastics or urea-formaldehyde, Type 'A' IS: 2548—1967.
84	CM/L-1649 8-3-1968	16-3-1970	15-3-1971	Agarwal Hardware Works (Pvt. Ltd.), 29, Ishwar Chatterjee Road, Sodepur, 24 Parganas.	Cold twisted steel bars for concrete reinforcement IS: 1786—1966
85	CM/L-1661 27-3-1968	1-4-1970	31-3-1971	Chaliha Rolling Mills Pvt. Ltd, 13 Chanditola Lane, Tollygunge, Calcutta-40	Structural steel (ordinary quality)— IS: 1977—1969
86	CM/L-1693 30-5-1968	16-5-1970	15-5-1971	Prakash Pulverising Mills, Industrial Area, Alwar (Rajasthan)	Aldrin emulsifiable concentrates— IS: 1307—1958
87	CM/L-1695 14-5-1968	1-6-1970	15-2-1971	Ankar Industries, Jessore Road, P. O. Mahyagram, 24 Parganas.	BH water dispersible powder concentrates— IS: 562—1962
88	CM/L-1696 16-5-1968	16-5-1970	15-5-1971	G. & H Shaw (Pvt) Ltd, Icnnapur Road, Dasnagar, Howrah	Structural steel (standard quality)— IS: 226—1969
89	CM/L-1697 16-5-1968	16-5-1970	15-5-1971	Do.	Structural steel (ordinary quality)— IS: 1977—1969
90	CM/L-1702 22-5-1968	1-6-1970	31-12-1970	Mahendra Electricals Ltd, Kamal Mission Road, Nadiad, W. Rly.	Hard-drawn stranded aluminium and steel-cord aluminium conductors for overhead power transmission purposes— IS: 398—1961
91	CM/L-1705 31-5-1968	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	Esso Standard Eastern Inc., Survey No. 24/3 A & B Chikkabiderakallu village, Neelamangala Taluka, Bangalore—Tumkur Road, Bangalore Distt.	Malathion emulsifiable concentrates— IS: 2567—1963
92	CM/L-1707 31-5-1968	1-6-1970	15-11-1970	The Aluminium Industries Ltd, Ramachandrapuram, Hyderabad—32	Hard-drawn stranded aluminium conductors for overhead power transmission purposes— IS: 398—1961
93	CM/L-1713 6-6-1968	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	Bharat Steel Tubes Ltd, Ganaur Distt. Rohtak (Haryana)	Mild steel tubes— IS: 1239 (Part I) 1966

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
94	CM/L-1714 11-6-1968	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	Timber & Plywood Co (Pvt) Ltd, No. 1, Nimak Mahal Road, Kidderpore, Calcutta	Tea-chest metal fittings— IS:10—1964
95	CM/L-1721 13-6-1968	16-6-1970	15-12-1971	Standard Mineral Product Pvt Ltd, Subhas Nagar, Jogeshwari (East), Bombay-60	BHC water dispersible powder— IS:562—1962
96	CM/L-1727 24-6-1968	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	P. K. Velu & Co. Pvt Ltd, Agra Road, Naupada, Thana	Endrin emulsifiable concentrates— IS: 1310—1958
97	CM/L-1728 25-6-1968	1-7-1970	31-3-1971	The Indian Steel Rolling Mills Ltd, Main Road, Tiruninsavur, (Chingleput Distt)	Hot rolled mild steel medium tensile and high yield strength steel deformed bars for concrete reinforcement— IS: 1139—1966
98	CM/L-1753 23-7-1968	1-5-1970	30-4-1971	Ram Chander Heera Lall, 62 College Ghat Road, Shalimar Howrah	Structural steel (standard quality) IS: 226—1969
99	CM/L-1754 23-7-1968	1-5-1970	30-4-1971	Do.	Structural steel (ordinary quality) IS: 1977—1969
100	CM/L-1755 23-7-1968	1-5-1970	30-4-1971	Do.	Rivet bars for structural purposes— IS: 1148—1964
101	CM/L-1757 29-7-1968	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	E. I. D. Parry Ltd, Ranipet, North Arcot Distt.	DDT emulsifiable concentrates— IS: 633—1956
102	CM/L-1848 29-11-1968	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	Haryana Conductors (Pvt) Ltd, 16/4 Mile stone, Mathura Road, Faridabad, (Haryana)	Hard-drawn stranded aluminium and steel cored aluminium conductors for overhead power transmission purposes— IS: 398—1961
103	CM/L-1850 5-12-1968	1-6-1970	30-11-1971	Vishweshwar Chemical Industries Private Limited, Post Pen, Distt. Kolaba, (Maharashtra)	Malathion emulsifiable concentrates— IS:2567—1963
104	CM/L-1851 5-12-1968	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	Do.	Endrin emulsifiable concentrates— IS: 1310—1958
105	CM/L-1962 30-4-1969	1-5-1970	30-4-1971	Engineering Cottage Industries, M. P. Oil Mills, Compounds, Gutaiya, Kanpur	(i) Steel toe-caps for miner's safety leather boots and shoes— IS: 1989—1967 and (ii) Steel toe-caps for safety rubber canvas boots for miners. IS: 3976—1967
106	CM/L-1966 7-5-1969	16-5-1970	15-5-1971	Met Industries, 166 Jessore Road, Calcutta—55	Wrought aluminium and aluminium alloy wires Grades—GIC, HG 9, NG 1 and NG 2 IS: 739—1966

107	CM/L-1970 16-5-1969	16-5-1970	15-10-1970	Bhagsons Paint Industries (I) 16-A, D. L. F. Industrial Area, Najafgarh Road New Delhi-15	(i) Distemper dry— IS: 427—1965 and (ii) Distemper oil emulsion— IS: 428—1963
108	CM/L-1972 21-5-1969	16-5-1970	15-5-1971	Woodcrafts Assam (Prop. Jayshere Tea & Industries Ltd, mariani (Assam)	Wooden flush door shutters (solid core type) with plywood face panels— IS: 2202 (Part I) 1966
109	CM/L-1974 22-5-1969	1-6-1970	31-5-1971	The Gwalior Forest Products, Ltd, Shib-puri (MP)	Cutch— IS: 3967—1967
110	CM/L-1976 22-5-1969	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	Zamindar Chemicals Industries 17 Industrial Estate, Rajpura	Endrin emulsifiable concentrates— IS: 1310—1958
111	CM/L-1979 26-6-1969	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	Mayur Industries, Pimpalgaon Road, Yeotmal	Malathion emulsifiable concentrates— IS: 2567—1963
112	CM/L-1981 29-5-1969	1-6-1970	31-5-1971	Hindustan Gum & Chemicals Ltd. Birla Colony, Bhiwani (Haryana)	Guar gum, Grade 2 (Powder)— IS: 3988—1967
113	CM/L-1984 30-5-1969	1-6-1970	31-5-1971	Srinivasa Pulverising Mills, F-6, Assisted Pvt. Industrial Estate, Chittor (AP)	BHC water dispersible powder— IS: 562—1962
114	CM/L-1987 11-6-1969	16-6-1970	15-12-1970	Industrial Minerals & Chemicals Co Pvt Ltd, Kurla Marol Road, Chakala, Andheri, Bombay-58	Aldrin dusting powders— IS: 1308—1958
115	CM/L-1988 12-6-1969	16-6-1970	15-12-1970	Universal Copper & Steel Rolling Mills, Near Kotharia v Station, Rajkot	Structural steel (standard quality)— IS: 226—1969
116	CM/L-1989 12-6-1969	16-6-1970	15-12-1970	Do.	Structural steel (ordinary quality)— IS: 1977—1969
117	CM/L-1990 13-6-1968	16-6-1970	15-12-1970	Esso Standard Easter Inc., Survey No 24/3 A & B, Chikkabiderakullu Village, Neelamangala Taluka, Bangalore—Tumkur—Road, Bangalore Dist.	Endrin emulsifiable concentrates— IS: 1310—1958
118	CM/L-1991 16-6-1969	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	J. B. Norton & Sons, Ltd, 89, 'O' Road, Belgachia, Howrah	Cast iron flushing cistern, high level, bell type 12.5 litre capacity— IS: 774—1964
119	CM/L-1999 30-6-1969	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	N. I. Industries (P) Ltd, 21 H/7, Cana West Road, Calcutta—6	Tea-chest metal fittings— IS: 10—1964

[No. CMD/13:112]

नई दिल्ली, 19 अप्रैल, 1971

एल० सी० 176).—समय-समय पर संशोधित भारतीय मानक संस्था (प्रमाणन मंहर) विनियम, 1955 के विनियम 8 के उपविनियम (1) के अनुसार भारतीय मानक संस्था की ओर से अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि जिन एक सी उन्नीस लाइनेसों के व्योरे नीचे अनुसूची में दिए गए हैं, वे नए फिर किए गए हैं :—

अनुसूची

क्रम संख्या	लाइसेंस संख्या दिनांक	बैधता की अवधि से	लाइसेंसधारी का नाम और पता	लाइसेंस के अधीन वस्तु/प्रक्रिया और तत्सम्बन्धी भारतीय मानक पदनाम	IS:
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	सी एम / एल-10 11-6-1956	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	जीवनलाल (1929) लि०, काउन एल्युमिनियम मिश्रधातु के वर्तन — डैक रोड, बम्बई-12	एल्युमिनियम और एल्युमिनियम मिश्रधातु के वर्तन — IS: 21-1959
2.	सी एम। एल-11 11-6-1956	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	जीवनलाल (1929) लि०, काउन एल्युमिनियम मिश्रधातु के वर्तन — डैक रोड, डाकघर बेलूरमठ, जिला होवड़ा।	(1) पिटवां एल्युमिनियम और एल्युमिनियम मिश्रधातु के वर्तन — IS: 21-1959 (2) पिटवां एल्युमिनियम और एल्युमिनियम मिश्रधातु के वर्तन, ग्रैड एस आई सी एनोडीकृत— IS: 1868-1968

3. सी एम / एल-27 20-5-1957	1-6-1970	31-5-1970	इलेक्ट्रिकल मैनुफैक्चरिंग कं० लि०, शिरोपरि पावल प्रेषण कार्यों के लिए सख्त ई एम सी गार्डेन, 136, जेसोर रोड, कलकत्ता-55	खिचे लड़दार एल्युमिनियम और इस्पात की ओर कोर वाले एल्युमिनियम चालक — IS: 398-1961
4. सी एम / एल-30 1-17-1957	16-7-1970	30-6-1973	दि इण्डिया सीमेन्ट लि०, शंकरनगर, साधारण और शीघ्र कठोरकारी पोर्टलैंड तालैयुतु, जिला तिरुनेल्वली ।	सीमेन्ट— IS: 269-1967
5. सी एम / एल-88 22-5-1958	1-6-1970	30-4-1971	हिन्दुस्तान ब्राउन बावरी लि०, इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, न्यू टाउन - शिप फरीदाबाद (हरयाणा) ।	इस्पात प्रबलित एल्युमिनियम चालक और सम्पूर्ण एल्युमिनियम चालक — IS : 398-1961
6. सी एम / एल -132 24-6-1959	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	ई आई डी परी लि०, डेयरहाउस, डी डी टी धूलन पाउडर — मद्रास-1 ।	IS: 564-1961
7. सी एम / एल-190 25-5-1960	1-6-1970	31-5-1971	दि इंडिया टर्पेन्टाइन एण्ड रोजिन बरोजा (गोंद बरोजा) — कं० लि०, डाक घर जलटरबकगंज, बरेली (उ० प्र०) ।	IS: 553-1955
8. सी एम / एल-195 30-5-1960	16-6-1970	15-12-1970	वेस्टर्न इंडिया प्लाइवुड लि० बालि-यापाटम, कन्नूर जिला (केरल)	सामान्य कार्यों के लिए प्लाईवुड — IS: 303-1960
9. सी एम / एल-268 30-1-1961	1-6-1970	31-5-1971	ग्राल इंडिया मेडिकल कारपोरेशन, मूलजी जेठा विल्डिंग, 185, प्रिंसेस स्ट्रीट, बम्बई-2	बी एच सी जल विसर्जनीय चूर्ण — IS: 562-1962
10. सी एम / एल-285 28-3-1961	1-6-1970	31-5-1971	..	बी एच सी धूलन पाउडर — IS : 561-1962

1	2	3	4	5	6
11. सी एम / एल-299 28-4-1961	16-5-1970	15-5-1971	जे बी मंवाराम एण्ड कं० प्रा० लि०, विस्कट पो० ब० रेजीडेन्सी, ग्वालियर	— IS: 10-11-1968	
12. सी एम / एल-300 28-4-1961	16-5-1970	15-5-1971	न्यू दिग्विजय सिंह जी टिन फैक्ट्री, ग्रेन मार्केट, जामनगर ।	18—लीटर चौकोर डिब्बे — IS : 916—1966	
13. सी एम / एल-315 26-6-1961	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	रोहतास इंडस्ट्रीज लि०, डालमिया नगर, (बिहार) ।	अप्रबलित लहरदार एस्वेस्टोंस सीमेण्ट की चट्टें (अप्रबललहरदार सहित) — IS: 459—1962	
14. सी एम / एल-338 1-9-1961	16-6-1970	15-12-1970	इंडो-एशिया ट्रेडर्स, प्रा० लि०, नको- दर रोड, जलंधर सिटी ।	एच सी टाइप पयूज बेस और कैरियर सहित धातु के डक्कन वाले स्विच, 15 प्रम्पी 250 बी— IS: 4064—1967	
15. सी एम / एल-341 20-9-1961	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	मैसूर इंसेक्टीसाइड कं० (ग्राम), बी एच सी धूलन पाउडर— 18/257, गांधी नगर, पो० ब० 425, विजयवाड़ा-3	IS: 561—1962	
16. सी एम / एल-342 20-9-1961	1-6-1970	31-5-1971	ग्राल इंडिया मैनिकल कारपोरेशन, डी डी टी जलविसर्जनीय तेज चुर्ण— मूलजी जटा बिल्डिंग, 185, प्रिंसिपल स्ट्रीट, बम्बई-2	IS: 565—1961	
17. सी एम / एल-375 12-1-1962	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	बालगोपालदास शायरन एण्ड स्टील कं० प्रा० लि०, 5, गोपाल डाक्टर रोड, खिदिरपुर, कलकत्ता-23	18—लीटर चौकोर डिब्बे — IS: 916—1966	

18 सी एम / एल-413 5-5-1962	16-5-1970	15-1-1971	देवीदयाल केवल इण्डस्ट्रीज प्रा० लि०, टांडप पोखरन रोड, माहीवाड़ा गांव, बाना (महाराष्ट्र)	वो० ग्रह	चालक
			(क) प्रचलवायिंग के लिए वी आई ग्रार केवल		
			(1) सख्त रवड़ खोल वाले		
			(टी ग्रार एस) 250/440		
			(2) वेडेंड और सहमिलित	650/1100 वो०	ताबा या
			(3) ऋतुसह	250/440 वो०	एल्यू- मिनियम
			(4) लो मंदक	250/440 ग्रार	
				650/1100 वो	
			(5) ऋतुसह	650/1100	केवल
					वो) एल्यूमि- नियम

1	2	3	4	5	6
					(ख) बी आई आर नम्य केवल ।
					(6) वॉलिंग केवल — तांबा केवल
					(ग) बी आई आर नम्य डोरियां
					(7) सख्त रबड़खोल वाली 250/440 केवल तांबा (टी आर एस) 434 (भाग 1 और 2) — 1964.
19 सी एम / एल—535 30-4-1963	1-6-1970	30-6-1971	पावर केवल प्रा० लि० विठ्ठल- वाड़ी (महाराष्ट्र)	केवल एल्यूमिनियम चालकों वाले पी बी सी केवल, 250 और 650 वो ग्रे— 694 (भाग 2) — 1964	
20 सी एम / एल—539 13-5-1963	16-6-1970	30-9-1971	टाटा फायशन इंडस्ट्रीज लि० हावड़ा रोड, सल्लिकिया कलकत्ता	20 सी ओ सी जल विसर्जनीय तेज चूर्ण— IS: 1507—1966	
21 सी एम / एल—546 5-6-1963	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	बरेट टिम्बर असम (प्रा०) माकम रोड, तिनसुकिया, असम	चाय की पेटियों के लिए प्लाइवूड के तख्ते— IS: 10—1964	
22 सी एम / एल—582 19-9-1963	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	कमानी द्युब प्रा० लि० आगरा रोड, कुरला बम्बई-70	निम्नलिखित नाप की फ्री कटिंग, पीतल की छड़ें और सेवान : गोल : 6.0 मिमी से 40 मिमी तक सभी नाप षडभुज : 10.0 मिमी से 40 मिमी ए एफ तक के सभी नाप	

वर्गाकार : 12.0 मिमी से 25 मिमी तक
के सभी नाप
ग्रड : सी यू जड एम 42 पी बी 3 डी
IS: 319—1968

23	सी एम / एल—611 31-12-1963	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	प्रकाश पूर्वराईजिंग मिल्स इंडस्ट्रियल बी एच सी धूलन पाउडर — एरिया अलवर	IS : 561—1962
24	सी एम / एल—656 29-4-1964	1-6-1970	31-5-1971	टेसाइल स्टील लि० हीराबाग, पूर्वप्रतिबलित कंक्रीट के लिए सादे सख्त बिचे विश्वमित्र रोड, बडौदा	इस्पात के तार — IS : 1785 (भाग 1) —1966
25	सी एम / एल—663 1-5-1964	1-6-1970	31-5-1971	इंडस्ट्रियल केबल (इंडिया) लि० इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया राजपुरा पंजाब	33 कि वो और उससे कम तक बिजली की सप्लाय के लिए एन्युमिनियम चालकों वाले कागज रोधित सीसा के खोल चढ़े केबल — IS : 692—1965
26	सी एम / एल—666 7-5-1964	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	मकुन्द आयरन स्टील वर्क्स कुरला, बम्बई-70	संरचना इस्पात (साधारण किस्म) — IS:1977—1969
27	सी एम / एल—681 17-6-1964	1-7-1970	30-6-1961	दि इंडियन स्टील रोलिंग मिल्स लि० 'मिल बिल्डिंग' पो० बा० 1 नागापत्तिनम, तंजौर जिला।	संरचना इस्पात (मानक किस्म) — IS: 226—1969
28	सी एम / एल—682 17-6-1964	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	..	संरचना इस्पात (साधारण किस्म) — IS: 1977—1969
29	सी एम / एल—780 10-9-1964	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	एस आर शर्मा एण्ड संस 140, राय बहादुर आर एनगूहा रोड, डम-डम, कलकत्ता-28	प्रेस बाल बाल्व, (क्षैतिजप्लंजर नुमा) 15 मिमी नाप— IS: 1703—1968

1	2	3	4	5	6
30	सी एम / एल—801 20-10-1964	1-6-1970	31-5-1971	सैटेलाइट इंजीनियरिंग लि० डाक- घर मेज प्रोडक्ट्स काठवाड़ा, अहमदाबाद	प्रतिदीप्ति वस्त्रों के स्टार्टर, 20/40/80 वाटरप्रूफिंग — IS: 2215—1968
31	सी एम / एल—829 2-11-1964	16-6-1970	15-12-1970	नेशनल इंडस्ट्रियल कारपोरेशन— 99/100 आगरा रोड, भांडुप बम्बई -78	संरचना इस्पात (मानक किस्म) — IS: 226—1969
32	सी एम / एल—830 2-11-1964	16-6-1970	15-12-1970	" "	संरचना इस्पात (साधारण किस्म) — IS: 1977—1969
33	सी एम / एल—861 28-11-1964	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	दि किन्नीसन जूट मि० कं० लि० टीटा गढ़, 24 परगना	(1) पटसन हेसियन — IS : 2818—1964 और (2) हेसियन बोरे— IS: 3790—1966
34	सी एम / एल—862 28-11-1964	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	दि किन्नीसन जूट मि० कं० लि० टीटा गढ़, 24 परगना ।	पटसन सैकिंग IS: 1943—1964 IS: 3668—1966 IS: 2566—1965 IS: 3750—1966 IS: 2874—1964 IS: 3751—1966 IS: 2875—1964 IS: 3794—1966 IS: 3667—1966
35	सी एम / एल—877 28-11-1964	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	सामनगर जूट फैक्ट्री कं० लि० भद्रेश्वर, हुगली (कार्यालय) 3, बलाइव रोड, कलकत्ता-1	(1) जूट हेसियन — IS : 2818—1964 और (2) हेसियन बोरे — IS : 3790—1966

36 सी एम / एन—879
28-11-1964

1-6-1970 30-11-1970

पटसन हेसियन —

IS : 1943-1964 IS : 3668-1966
IS : 2566-1965 IS : 3750-1966
IS : 2874-1964 IS : 3751-1966
IS : 3667-1966 IS : 3794-1966
IS : 2875-1964

37 सी एम / एन—881
28-11-1964

1-6-1970 30-11-1970

विक्टोरिया जट कं० लि० डाकघर
तेलिनपाड़ा, हुगली जिला

(1) पटसन हेसियन —
IS : 2818-1964 , और
(2) हेसियन बोरे —
IS : 3790-1966

38 सी एम / एन—882
28-11-1964

1-6-1970 30-11-1970

विक्टोरिया जट कं० लि० डाक-
घर तेलिनपाड़ा, हुगली जिला

पटसन सैकिंग —
IS : 1943-1964 IS : 3668-1966
IS : 2566-1965 IS : 3750-1966
IS : 2874-1964 IS : 3751-1966
IS : 2875-1964 IS : 3794-1966
IS : 3667-1966

39 सी एम / एन—913
28-11-1964

1-6-1970 30-11-1970

वाली जूट कं० लि० 2 , स्क ट-
कर रोड, वाली, हावड़ा

(1) पटसन हेसियन —
IS : 2818-1964 और
(2) हेसियन बोरे —
IS : 3790-1966

40 सी एम / एन—914
28-11-1964

1-6-1970 30-11-1970

वाली जूट कं० लि० 25, स्काट-
का रोड, वाली, हावड़ा

पटसन सैकिंग —
IS : 1943-1964 IS : 3668-1966
IS : 2566-1965 IS : 3750-1966
IS : 2874-1964 IS : 3751-1966

1	2	3	4	5	6
					IS : 2875-1964 IS: 3794-1966 IS : 3667-1966
41	सी एम / एल-933 28-11-1964	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	केल्विन जूट कं० लि०, टीटागढ़, 24 परगना	(1) पटसन हेसियन — IS: 2818-1964 और (2) हेसियन बोरे — IS: 3790-1966
42	सी एम / एल—936 28-11-1964	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	केल्विन जूट कं० लि०, टीटागढ़, 24 परगना	पटसन सैकिंग— IS: 1943-1964 IS: 3668-1966 IS: 2566-1965 IS: 3750-1966 IS: 2874-1964 IS: 3751-1966 IS: 2875-1964 IS: 3794-1966 IS: 3667-1966
43	सी एम / एल — 949 28-11-1964	1-6-1970	30-11-1979	श्री हनुमान जूट मिल, 76, जोगन्द्रनाथ मुकर्जी रोड, धुरसुरी हावड़ा	(1) पटसन हेसियन — IS: 2818-1964, और (2) हेसियन बोरे — IS: 3790-1966
44	सी एम० / एल-950 23-11-1964	1-6-1960	30-11-1970	“	पटसन हेसियन — IS: 1943-1964 IS: 3668-1966 IS: 2566-1965 IS: 3750-1966 IS: 2874-1964 IS: 3751-1966 IS: 2875-1964 IS: 3794-1966 IS 3667-1966

45	सी एम / एल—957 28-11-1964	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	प्रवर्तक जट मिल्स लि०, कमर हट्टी, बी टी रोड, 24-परगना	(1) पटसन ड्रेसियन IS: 2818-1964, और (2) ड्रेसियन बोरे — IS: 3790-1966
46	सी एम / एल-958 28-11-1964	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	प्रवर्तक जट मिल्स लि०, कमर हट्टी, बी टी रोड, 24-परगना	पटसन सैकिंग — IS: 1943-1964 IS: 3668-1966 IS: 2566-1965 IS: 3750-1966 IS: 2874-1964 IS: 3751-1966 IS: 2875-1964 IS: 3794-1966 IS: 3667-1966
47	सी एम / एल-1036 19-3-1965	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	भानन्द इंस्क्टीसाइंडस 4/5 इलैया, मुदाली स्ट्रीट कोस्कोपेट, मद्रास -21	एल्टिन पायसनीय तेज चूण — IS : 1310-1958
48	सी एम / एल-1068 18-5-1965	16-5-1970	15-10-1970	भागसन्स पेंट इंडस्ट्रीज (इंडिया) 16-ए, डी एल एफ इंडस्ट्रि- यल एरिया, नजफगढ़ रोड, नई दिल्ली-15	(1) वानिश, गोल्ड साइज — IS: 198-1952 (2) भीतर फिनिश देने की वानिश— IS: 337-1952 (3) मिलने की वानिश— IS: 340-1952, और (4) फ्रेंच वानिश— IS: 3481952—
49	सी एम / एल-1090 3-6-1958	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	वस्ट इंडिया स्टील कं० लि०, चेल्ववन्तूर फेरोक (केरल)	संरचना इस्पात (मलक किल्म)— IS: 226-1969

1	2	3	4	5	6
50	सी एम/एल-1031 3-6-1965	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	वेस्ट इंडिया स्टील कं० लि०, संरचना इस्पात (साधारण किस्म) चेन्नैनूर फेरीक (केरल) IS: 1977-1969	
51	सी एम०/एल-1114 28-7-1965	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	इंडस्ट्रियल रिसर्च कारपोरेशन, रंजकों बनी फाउटेन पेन की स्याही, बी-44, इंडस्ट्रियल इस्टेट, (नीली, और लाल)— राजाजीनगर, यशवन्तपुर IS : 1221-1957	
52	सी एम/एल-1132 27-8-1965	1-6-1970	31-5-1971	ग्राल इंडिया मेडिकल कारपोरेशन, बीजों में सूखा लगाए जाने वाला का बॉन सिम्पोली रोड, बोहली पारा योगिक— पश्चिम, पश्चिम बम्बई-66 IS: 3284-1965	
53	सी एम/एल-1223 9-3-1966	15-6-1970	15-12-1970	कलकत्ता प्लाईवुड मैनुफैक्चरिंग चाय के बक्से के लिए प्लाईवुड के तख्ते— कं०, डाकघर लीडो, जिला IS : 10-1964 लखीमपुर (असम)	
54	सी एम/एल-1262 20-5-1965	1-6-1970	15-12-1970	पेस्टीसाइड्स इंडिया, उदयसागर ऐलिडन धूलन पाउडर— रोड, उदयपुर IS: 1308-1958	
55	सी एम/एल-1264 23-5-1966	1-6-1970	31-5-1971	हुसेनी मेटल रोलिंग मि० प्रा० रसायनिक उद्योग के लिए सीसे के छर्रे— लि०, तांबावाला, प्रोपर्टीज, IS: 405-1961 रिए रोड, बम्बई-10	
56	सी एम/एल-1269 30-5-1966	1-6-1970	31-5-1971	नवीन इंडस्ट्रीज, सी-82, रेवाड़ी प्लास्टिक के डब्लू सी के फोनोली डक्कन, लाइन, इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, टाइप ए— चंडीगढ़ IS: 2548-1967	
57	सी एम/एल-1227 31-8-1966	16-6-1970	15-12-1970	किसान केमिकल्स, 127, इंड- डाइएलिडन पामसनीय तेज द्रव— स्ट्रियल एरिया, चंडीगढ़ IS: 1054-1962	

58	सी एम/एल-1275 31-5-1966	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	मैसूर इलेक्ट्रोसाइड कं० (ग्रान्ध) टाडेपल्ली, गुंटूर जिला	एन्ड्रिन का पायसनीय तेज द्रव— IS: 1310-1958
59	सी एम/एल-1279 10-6-1966	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	प्रकाश पल्लव राइजिंग मिल्स, इंड- स्ट्रियल एरिया, अलवर	एन्ड्रिन का पायसनीय तेज द्रव— IS : 1310-1958
60	सी एम/एल-1280 10-6-1966	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	,,	डी डी टी घूलन पाउडर— IS: 564-1961
61	सी एम/एल-1283 23-6-1966	1-7-1970	15-7-1971	दि ओमेगा इंगुलेटेड (कं०) इंडिया लि०, प्लाट 16 और 17, इंडस्ट्रियल इस्टेट, अम्बटूर, मद्रास-58	शिरोपरि पावर प्रेषण कार्यों के लिए सख्त खिंचे लड़दार एल्युमिनियम और इस्पात की कोर वाले एल्युमिनियम चालक— IS: 398-1961
62	सी एम/एल-1287 28-6-1966	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	एम आर शर्मा एण्ड सन्स, 140, रायबहादुर आर एन गुहा रोड, डमडम, कलकत्ता-28	रेत डली पीतल की घुमाकर खोली जाने वाली पानी की टोंटी— IS: 78 1-1967
63	सी एम/एल-1290 30-6-1966	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	ई आई डी पैरी लि०, रानीपेट, उत्तर अर्काट जिला (तमिल- नाडु)	मालाथियोन पायसनीय तेज द्रव— IS: 2567-1963
64	सी एम/एल-1292 30-6-1966	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	इंडस्ट्रियल रिसर्च कारपोरेशन, बी-44, इंडस्ट्रियल इस्टेट, राजाजीनगर, यशवन्तपुर	फेरोगैलो टैनेट फाउंटेन पेन की स्याहियों (0.1 प्रतिशत लोहा युक्त)— IS: 220-1959
65	सी एम/एल-1342 30-9-1966	1-6-1970	31-12-1970	स्वान (इंडिया) प्रा० लि०, 12/1 मील, मयूरा रोड, डाकघर अमरनगर, फरीदाबाद	रंजकों से बनी फाउंटेन पेन की स्याही (नीली, हरी, लाल और काली)— IS: 1221-1957

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
66	सी एम/एल-1369 11-12-1966	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	दि वैस्टर्न इंडिया प्लाईवुड लि०, बालियापाटम, कन्नूर जिला (केरल राज्य)	1) मध्यम सामर्थ्य वाली बायुयानों की प्लाईवुड IS: 709-1957 2) समुद्री प्लाईवुड IS: 710-1957
67	सी एम/एल-1434 26-4- 1967	1-5-1970	30-4-1971	हिन्दुस्तान ब्राउन बोवरी लि० कोटा गांव, गाजियाबाद (उ० प्र०)	शिरोपरि पावर प्रेषण कार्यों के लिए सख्त खिचे लड़दार एल्युमिनियम ग्रीर इस्पात की कोर वाले एल्युमिनियम चालक IS: 398-1961
68	सी एम/एल-1442 16-5 1967	16-5-1970	15-12-1970	पेस्टीसाइड्स इंडिया, उदयसागर रोड, उदयपुर	एल्ट्रिन का पायसनीय तेज द्रव- IS: 1307-1958
69	सी एम/एल-1443 16-5 1967	16-5-1970	15-12-1970	"	एल्ट्रिन का पायसनीय तेज द्रव IS 1307-1958
70	सी एम/एल-1451 8-6 1967	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	एक्सेल इंडस्ट्रीज लि० एक्सेल स्टेट एस बी रोड गोरेगांव, बम्बई- 62	स्थिरीकृत मेथानसी इथाइल पारा क्लो, राइड का तेज द्रव IS: 2127-1962
71	सी एम/एल-1452 8-6- 1967	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	"	मिथाइक्सी इथाइल पारा क्लोराइड के तेज द्रव से बने योगिक IS: 2358-1963
72	सी एम/एल-145 38-6 1967	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	"	बोजों में लगाने के कार्बनिक के पदार्थ पारे क पदार्थ IS: 3284-1965

- 73 सी एम/एल-1455 12-6-16-6-1970 15-6-1971 बंगाल यूनाइटेड कं. प्र० लि०
1967 ब्रजनाथ लड्डि लेन डाकघर
संतरागची हावड़ा
- 1) जलकल कार्यों के लिए स्लूस वाल्व
(अलौहिक स्पिडल और छल्ले वाले)
श्रेण 1,300 मिमी नाप तक के
IS: 780-1967
- 2) जलकल कार्यों के लिए स्लूस वाल्व
(अलौहिक स्पिडल और छल्ले वाले)
श्रेणी 1,300 मिमी नाप तक के
IS: 2906-1964, और
- 3) जलकल कार्यों के लिए स्लूस वाल्व
श्रेणी 2, दुहरे कोर वाले, 400 से 500
मिमी 600 मिमी और 750 मिमी नाप
वाले
IS : 2906-1964
- 74 सी एम/एल 1459 15-6-1-7-1970 30-/-1971 आन्ध्र इंडस्ट्रियल वर्क्स,
1967 सी-2 इंडस्ट्रियल इस्टेट
कूड्डपा (आन्ध्र)
शिरोंपरि पावर प्रेषण कार्यों के लिए सख्त बिजे
लडदार, एल्युमिनियम और इस्पात की
कोर वाले एल्युमिनियम चालक-
IS: 398-1961
- 75 सी एम/एल-1461 16-6-16-6-1970 15-2-1971 टाटा फायसल इंडस्ट्रियल लि०
1967 प्लाट नं० 94 इंडस्ट्रियल
इस्टेट भानुदूर, मद्रास-58
बी एच सी घूलन पाउडर
IS: 561-1962

1	2	3	4	5	6
76	सी एम/एल 1462 16-6 1967	16-6-1970	31-3-1971	मोहटा एण्ड हेकेल लि० खोपाली, सीलफाटा, जिला कोलाबा, महाराष्ट्र	1) खानों में वाइडिंग कार्यों के लिए तार के रस्से IS : 1855-1961 2) खानों में वाइडिंग कार्यों के लिए तार के रस्से IS : 1856-1961
77	सी एम/एल-1463 16-6 1967	16-5-1970	15-11-1970	ग्रेडले इलेक्ट्रिकल लि० (इंडिया) मिलिटरी परेड रोड, निरकारी कालोनी, दिल्ली	एल्युमिनियम चालकों वाले ऋतुसह्य पोलीव थिन रोघित टेप लगे ब्रेडेड और सह्य मिलित केवल, निम्न प्रकार के 1) एकहरे कोर, 650/1100 ी ग्रेड 2) दोहरे कोर वाले, चपटे, 250/440 बी ग्रेड IS : 3035 (भाग 2) 1965
78	सी एम/एल-1464 20-6 1967	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	मोहन एल्युमिनियम प्रा० लि० निकट नवांमिल ओल्ड मद्रास रोड पो० ब०-13 वंगलौर-16	जिरोपरि पावर प्रेषण का रों के लिए सहत खिचे लड़दार एल्युमिनियम और इस्पात की कोर वाले एल्युमिनियम चालक IS : 398-1961
79	सी एम/एल-1467 26-6 1967	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	दि इंडिया सीमेण्ट लि० संकरनगर डाकघर तिरुनेल्वेली जिला (तमिलनाडु)	पोर्टलैंड पोत्सोलाना सीमेण्ट IS: 1489-1967

80	सी एम/एल-1551 24-10-1967	1-7-1970	31-12-1970	महावीर स्टील रोलिंग मिल्स काबूल, नगर, जीटी रोड शहादरा रोड, दिल्ली-32	दरवाजों खिड़कियों और रोशनदानों के लिए लेड इस्पात के सेक्शन, एफ 4 बी, एफ 7 बी, एफ 5, एफ 8 और एफ 6 IS: 1038-1968
81	सी एम/एल-1567 24-11-1967	16-6-1970	15-12-1970	कीन पेस्टीसाइड्स (प्रा०) लि० बलाकुलम अल्वाय	डी डी टी जल विसर्जनीय पाउडर IS: 565-1961
82	सी एम/एल-1568	1-6-1970	31-5-1971	प्रकाश इलेक्ट्रीसाइड्स प्रा० लि० डाकघर नैनी जिला इलाहाबाद (उ० प्र०)	बी.एच. सी धूलन पाउडर IS : 561-1962
83	सी एम/एल-1583 13-12-1967	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	रतनचन्द हरजस राय (मोल्डिंग) प्रा० लि० 54, इंडास्ट्रियल एरिया फरीदाबाद	फेनीली प्लास्टिक या यूरिया फार्मिडहाइड टाइप ए, के बने डब्लू सी सीट और डक्कन, IS : 2548-1967
84	सी एम/एल-1649 8-3-1968	16-3-1970	15-3-1971	अग्रवाल हार्डवेयर वर्क्स (प्रा०) लि० 29, ईश्वर चटर्जी रोड सोदपुर, 24-परगना	कंक्रीट प्रबलन के लिए ठंडी मुड़ी इस्पात की सरिया IS : 1786-1966
85	सी एम/एल-1661 27-3-1968	1-4-1970	31-3-1971	चालिहा रोलिंग मिल्स प्रा० लि० 13, चंडीटोला लेन, टोलीगंज कलकत्ता-40	संरचना इस्पात (साधारण किस्म) IS: 1997-1969
86	सी एम/एल-1683 30-5-1968	16-5-1970	15-5-1971	प्रकाश पल्वराइजिंग मिल्स, इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, अलवर, राजस्थान ।	एल्यूमिना पायसनीय तेज द्रव IS: 1307-1958
87	सी एम/एल-1695 14-5-1968	1-6-1970	15-2-1971	अंकार इंडस्ट्रीज, जेसोर रोड, डाक घर मध्यम ग्राम, 24-परगना	बी.एच. सी जल विसर्जनीय तेज चूर्ण IS : 562-1962

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
88	सी एम/एल-1696 16-5-1968	16-5-1970	15-5-1971	जी एण्ड एच शा (प्रा०) लि० इच्छापुर रोड, दासनगर हाबड़ा	संरचना इस्पात (मानक किस्म) IS: 226-1969
89	सी एम/एल-1997 16-5-1968	16-5-1970	15-5-1971	"	संरचना इस्पात (साधारण किस्म) IS: 1977-1969
90	सी एम/एल-1702 22-5-1968	1-6-1970	31-12-1970	महेन्द्र इलेक्ट्रिकल लि० कामला, मिशन रोड, नाडियाड, प० रेलवे	शिरोपरि पावर प्रेषण कार्यों के लिए सख्त ब्रिचे लड़दार एल्युमिनियम और इस्पात की कोर वाले एल्युमिनियम चालक IS: 398-1961
91	सी एम/एल-1705 31-5-1968	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	एसों स्टैंडर्ड ईस्टर्न इंडा सवे नं० 24/3 ए और बी चिक्काविदर- कल्लु गांव नीलमंगल तालुका बंगलौर-टुमकुर रोड, बंगलौर जिला	मालाथियोन पायसनीय तेज द्रव IS : 2567-1963
92	सी एम/एल-1707 31-5-1968	1-6-1970	15-11-1970	वि एल्युमिनियम इंडस्ट्रीज लि० रामचन्द्रपुरम, हैदराबाद-32	शिरोपरि पावर प्रेषण कार्यों के लिए सख्त ब्रिचे लड़दार एल्युमिनियम और इस्पात की कोर वाले एल्युमिनियम चालक IS: 398-1961
93	सी एम/एल-1713 6-6-1968	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	भारत स्टील ट्यूब लि०, गन्नौर जिला रोहतक (हरियाणा)	साधारण इस्पात की नलियां IS : 1239 (भाग 1)—1966
94	सी एम/एल-1714 11-6-1968	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	टिम्बर एण्ड प्लाइवुड कं० (प्रा०) लि० नं०-1 निमक महल रोड खिदरपुर, कलकत्ता	चाय की पेटियों के धातु के फिटिंग IS: 10-1964

95	सी एम/एल-1721 13-6-1969	16-6-1970	15-12-1971	स्टैंडर्ड मिनरल प्रोटेक्ट प्रा० लि० सुभाषनगर, जोगेश्वरी (पूर्व) बम्बई - 60	बी एन सी विसर्जनीय पाउडर IS : 562-1962
96	सी एम/एल-1727 24-6-1968	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	पी के वेल्यू एन्ड कं० प्रा० लि०, आगरा रोड, नौपदा घाना	एन्ड्रिन पायसनीय तेज द्रव IS : 1310-1958
97	सी एम/एल-1728 23-7-1968	1-7-1970	31-3-1971	दि इंडियन स्टील रोलिंग मि० लि०, मेन रोड, तिरुनिन्सावूर (चिगलपेट जिला)	क्रैकरोट प्रबलन के लिए गर्म रोलड साधारण इस्पात की सधुस्य तनाव वाली और उच्च पराभव सामर्थ्य वाली इस्पात की विकृत सरिया IS : 1139-1966
98	सी एम/एल-1753 23-7-1968	1-5-1970	30-4-1971	रामचन्द्र हीरालाल 62 कालेज गेट रोड, शालीमार, हावड़ा	संरचना इस्पात (मानक किस्म) IS : 226-1969
99	सी एम/एल-1755 23-7-1968	1-5-1970	30-4-1971	„	संरचना इस्पात (साधारण किस्म) IS : 1977-1969
100	सी एम/एल-1755 23-7-1968	1-5-1970	30-4-1971	„	संरचना कार्यों के लिए रिबेटों की सरिया IS : 1148-1964
101	सी एम/एल-1757 29-7-1966	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	ई आइ डी पैरी लि० रानीपेट, उत्तर आर्काट जिला	डी डी टी पायसनीय तेज द्रव IS : 633-1956
102	सी एम/एल-1848 29-11-1968	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	हरयाणा कंडक्टर्स (प्रा०) लि० 16/4 मील, मथुरा रोड, फरीदाबाद (हरयाणा)	शिरोपरि पावर प्रेषण कार्यों के लिए सक्त खिंचे लड़दार एल्युमिनियम और इस्पात के कोर वाले एल्युमिनियम चालक IS : 398-1961

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
103	सी एम/एल-18 50 5-12-1968	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	विश्वेश्वर केमिकल इंडस्ट्रीज प्रा० लि० डाकघर पेन, जिला कोल.वा. (महाराष्ट्र)	मालाघियोन पायसनीय तेज द्रव IS : 2567-1963
104	सी एम/एल-18 51 5-12-1968	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	"	एल्ट्रिन पायसनीय तेज द्रव IS : 1310-1958
105	सी एम/एल-1962 30-6-1969	1-5-1970	30-4-1971	इंजिनियरिंग काटेज इंडस्ट्रीज एम पी तेल मिल्स ग्रहाता, गुटाट्या, कानपुर	(1) खनिकों के चमड़े के बचाव बूट और जूते IS : 1989-1967 और (2) खनिकों के रबड़ के कैनवास के बूटों के इस्पात के टो-कंप IS : 3976-1967
106	सी एम/एल-1966 7-5-1969	16-5-1970	15-5-1971	मेट इंडस्ट्रीज, 166, जेसोर रोड, कलकत्ता-55	पिटवां एल्युमिनियम और एल्युमिनियम मिश्रधातु के तार ग्रेड, जी आई सी, एच जी 9, एन जी 1 और एन जी 2 IS : 739-1966
107	सी एम/एल-1970 16-5-1969	16-5-1970	15-10-1970	भागसंस पेंट इंडस्ट्रीज (आई) 16-ए, डी एल एफ इंडस्ट्रियल नजफगढ़ रोड, नई दिल्ली-15	1) सूखा डिस्टम्पर IS : 427-1955, और 2) तेल पायसनीय डिस्टेंपर IS : 428-1963
108	सी एम/एल-1972 21-5-1969	16-5-1970	15-5-1971	बुडक्राफ्ट ग्रसम (मालिक जयश्री टी एण्ड इंडस्ट्रीज लि०) मरियानी (असम)	ऊपर प्लाईवुड लगे हुए लकड़ी के दरवाजों के समतल कपाट (ठोस मध्य भाग वाले) IS : 2202 (भाग 1)-1966

109	सी एम/एल-1974 22-5-1969	1-6-1970	31-5-1971	दि ग्वालियर फोरेस्ट प्राइवेट लि० शिवपुरी (म० प्र)	कण्डा IS : 3967-1967
110	सी एम/एल-1976 22-5-1969	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	जमींदार केमिकलस इंडस्ट्रीज 17 इंडस्ट्रियल इस्टेट, राजपुरा	एन्ड्रिन पायसनीय तेज ब्रव IS : 1310-1958
111	सी एम/एल-1979 26-6-1969	1-6-1970	30-11-1970	मयूर इंडस्ट्रीज पिपल गांव रोड येवतमास	मालाखियोन पायसनीय तेज ब्रव IS : 2567-1963
112	सी एम/एल-1981 29-5-1969	1-6-1970	31-5-1971	हिन्दुस्तान गम एण्ड केमिकल लि० बिड़ला कालोनी भिवानी (हर-याण I)	गुवार गोंद, ग्रेड 2 (चूरा) IS : 3988-1967
113	सी एम/एल-1984 30-5-1969	1-6-1970	31-5-1971	श्रीनिवासन पल्वराइजिंग मिल्स एफ०-6, ग्रिसिस्टेड, प्रा० इंडस्ट्रियल इस्टेट चित्तूर (मानक प्रवेश)	बी एच सी जल विसर्जनीय तेज चूर्ण IS : 562-1962
114	सी एम/एल-1987 11-6-1969	16-6-1970	15-12-1970	इंडस्ट्रियल मिनेरल एण्ड केमिकलस कं० प्रा० लि० कुरला मरोल रोड, चकला, अंधेरी बम्बई 58	एलिड्रिन बूलन पाउडर IS : 1308-1958
115	सी एम/एल-1988 12-6-1969	16-6-1970	15-12-1970	यूनिवर्सल कापर एण्ड स्टील रो-लिंग मि० निकट कोठरिया रेलवे स्टेशन राजकोट	संरचना इस्पात (मानक किस्म) IS : 226-1969
116	सी एम/एल-1989 12-6-1969	16-6-1970	15-12-1970	यूनिवर्सल कापर एण्ड स्टील रो-लिंग मिल निकट कोठरिया रेलवे स्टेशन, राजकोट	संरचना इस्पात (साधारण किस्म) IS : 1977-1969

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
117.	सी एम/एल-1900 13-6-1969	16-6-1970	15-12-1970	एस्सी स्टैंडर्ड इंका सर्वे नं० 24/3 मालाथियोन पायसनीय तेज द्रव ए धीरवी, चिककाविरकल्लु गांव नीलसगलम तालुका बंगलीर-टुम्कुर रोड बंगलीर जिला	IS : 2567-1963
118.	सी एम/एल-1991 16-6-1969	16-6-1970	15-6-1971	जे बी नोर्टन एण्ड संस लि० 89, 'ग्रो' रोड वेलगछिया हावड़ा	डलवा लोहे की ऊंचे पर लगने वाली बटा- नुमा 12.5 लीटर समाई वाली प्लग की टंकियां IS : 774-1964
119.	सी एम/एल-1999 30-6-1969	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	एन आई इंडस्ट्रीज (प्रा०) लि० 21/एच/7, कैमल बैस्ट रोड कलकत्ता-6.	चाय की पेटियों के घातू के फिटिंग IS : 10-1964

[सं० सी० एम० डी०/13:12.]

New Delhi, the 22nd April, 1971

S. O. 1764.—In pursuance of sub-regulation(3) of regulation 7 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the marking fee per unit for Carbon tetrachloride, details of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed, has been determined and the fee shall come into force with effect from 1 April 1971.

THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Product/Class of Products	No. and Title of Relevant Indian Standard	Unit	Marking Fee per Unit
1	2	3	4	5
1.	Carbon tetrachloride, pure grade	IS:718-1970 Specification for carbon tetrachloride	One tonne	Rs. 3.00

[No. CMD/13 : 10]

नई दिल्ली, 22 अप्रैल, 1971

एस० ओ० 1764—भारतीय मानक संस्था (प्रमाणन चिन्ह) विनियम 1955 के विनियम 7 के उपविनियम (3) के अनुसार भारतीय मानक संस्था को खीर से अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि कार्बन टेट्राक्लोराइड को प्रमाणन फीस जिसका व्योरा नीचे अनुसूची में दिया है, निर्धारित की गई है और यह फीस 1 अप्रैल 1971 से लागू हो जाएगी।

अनुसूची


क्रमांक	उत्पाद/उत्पाद का वर्ग	सम्बद्ध भारतीय मानक की पदसंख्या और शीर्षक	इकाई	प्रति इकाई मुहर लगाने की फीस
1	2	3	4	5
1	कार्बन टेट्राक्लोराइड, शुद्ध ग्रेड	IS: 786-1970 कार्बन टेट्राक्लोराइड एक मीटर की विशिष्ट टन		रु० 3-00

[संख्या सो० एम० डी० 13/10]

S.O. 1765.—In pursuance of sub-rule(1) of rule 4 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Rules, 1955 the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the Standard Mark, design of which together with the verbal description of the design and the title of the relevant Indian Standard is given in the Schedule hereto annexed, has been specified.

This Standard Mark for the purpose of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Act, 1952 and the Rules and Regulations framed thereunder, shall come into force with effect from 1 April 1971.

THE SCHEDULE


Sl. No.	Design of the Standard Mark	Product/Class of Product	No. and Title of the Relevant Indian Standard	Verbal description of the Design of the Standard Mark
1	2	3	4	5
1.		Carbon tetrachloride, pure grade.	IS:718-1970 Specification for carbon tetrachloride	The monogram of the Indian Standards Institution, consisting of letters 'ISI', drawn in the exact style and relative proportions as indicated in Col (2), the number designation of the Indian Standard being superscribed on the top side and the words 'PURE' being subscribed under the bottom side of the monogram as indicated in the design.

[No. CMD/13:9]

एस० ओ० 1765—भारतीय मानक संस्था (प्रमाणन चिन्ह) नियम, 1955, के नियम 4 के उप विनियम (1) के अनुसार भारतीय मानक संस्था की ओर से अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि मानक चिन्ह जिसकी डिजाइन और शाब्दिक विवरण तत्सम्बन्धी भारतीय मानकों के शीर्षक सहित नीचे अनुसूची में दिया है, या संस्था द्वारा निर्धारित किया गया है।

भारतीय मानक संस्था (प्रमाणन चिन्ह) अधिनियम 1952 और उसके अधीन बनने नियमों के निमित्त यह मानक चिन्ह 1 अप्रैल, 1971 से लायू हो जायेगी।

अनुसूची


क्रमांक मानक चिन्ह की डिजाइन	उत्पाद/उत्पाद का वर्ग	सम्बद्ध भारतीय मानक की पद संख्या और शीर्षक	मानक चिन्ह की डिजाइन का शाब्दिक विवरण	
1	2	3	4	5
1 	कार्बन टेट्राक्लो- राइड, शुद्ध ग्रेड	IS: 718-1970 कार्बन टेट्राक्लोराइड की विनिर्दिष्ट	भारतीय मानक संस्था का मोनो- ग्राम जिसमें IS शब्द होते हैं स्तम्भ (2) में दिखाई शैली और अनुपात में तैयार किया गया है, और जैसा दिखाया है उस मोनोग्राम के उपर की ओर भारतीय मानक की पद संख्या दी हुई है और शब्द 'Pure' मोनोग्राम के नीचे की ओर दिया हुआ है ।	

[सं० सी० एम० डी०/13/9.]

S. O. 1766.—In partial modification of the then Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Indian Standards Institution) Notification No. 207, dated 18 February, 1958 published in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii), dated 15 March, 1958, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the Standard Mark for Copper sulphate, has been revised. The revised design of the Standard Mark together with the title of the relevant Indian Standard and verbal description of the design is given in the Schedule hereto annexed.

This Standard Mark for the purpose of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Act, 1952 and the Rules and Regulations framed thereunder, shall come into force with effect from 1 May, 1971:

THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Design of the Standard Mark	Product/Class of Product	No. and Title of the Relevant Indian Standard	Verbal description of the design of the Standard Mark
1.		Copper sulphate	IS:261-1966 Specification for copper sulphate	The monogram of the Indian Standards Institution, consisting of letters 'ISI', drawn in the exact style and relative proportions as indicated in Col. (2), the number designation of the Indian Standard being superscribed on the top side and the words 'TECH' being subscribed under the bottom side of the monogram as indicated in the design.

[No. CMD/13 : 9]

A. K. GUPTA,


Deputy Director General.

एस० प्रो० 1766—भारतीय गजट के भाग 2 खण्ड 3, उपखण्ड (ii) में 15 मार्च, 1958 को प्रकाशित तत्कालीन वाणिज्य और उद्योग (भारतीय मानक-संस्था) अधिसूचना सं० 207, दिनांक 18 फरवरी, 1958 के आंशिक संशोधन के रूप में भारतीय मानक संस्था की ओर से अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि ताम्र सल्फेट के मानक-चिन्ह में परिवर्तन किया गया है। मानक चिन्ह की परिवर्तित डिजाइन अपने आन्विक विवरण और तत्सम्बन्धी भारतीय मानक के शीर्षक सहित नीचे अनुसूची में दी गई है।

भारतीय मानक संस्था (प्रमाणन चिन्ह) अधिनियम, 1952 और उसके अधीन बने नियमों और विनियमों के निमित्त यह मानक/चिन्ह 1 मई, 1971 से लागू हो जाएगा।

अनुसूची

क्र०	मानक-चिन्ह की डिजाइन	उत्पाद/उत्पाद की वर्ग	सम्बद्ध भारतीय मानक की पदसंख्या और शीर्षक	मानक, चिन्ह की डिजाइन का शाब्दिक विवरण
1	2	3	4	5

1.		ताम्र सल्फेट	IS:261-1966 ताम्र सल्फेट की विशिष्ट	भारतीय मानक संस्था का मोनोग्राम जिसमें ISI शब्द होते हैं स्तम्भ (2) में दिखाई गयी और अनुपात में तैयार किया गया है, और जैसा दिखाया है उस मोनोग्राम के उपर की ओर भारतीय मानक की पदसंख्या दी हुई है और मोनोग्राम के नीचे की ओर 'टेक' शब्द दिया हुआ है।
----	---	--------------	-------------------------------------	---

[संख्या सी० एम० डी० 13:9]

ए० के० गुप्ता,
उपमहानिदेशक।

CENTRAL BOARD OF DIRECT TAXES

INCOME-TAX

New Delhi, the 22nd December 1970

S.O. 1767.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 123 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and of all other powers enabling it in that behalf and in partial modification of its earlier order No. 82 (F. No. 261/18/70-ITJ), dated the 19th May, 1970, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby directs that in the Schedule annexed thereto in Serial I under Patna Range, Special Circle, Ward-C, Patna shall be added to item (vii) in column 3 thereof.

This Notification shall take effect from 14th December, 1970.

Explanatory Note

The modification has become necessary on account of the creation of new Ward namely Ward-C, Special Circle, Patna.

(The above note does not form a part of the modification but is intended to be merely clarificatory).

[No. 197 (F. No. 261/18/70-ITJ.)]

केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड

आयकर

नई दिल्ली, 22 दिसम्बर, 1970

एस.ओ. 1767.—आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 122 की उपधारा (i) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों और उक्त अधिनियम के अन्तर्गत बनाने वाली अन्य सभी शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और तारीख 19 मई, 1970 के अपने पूर्वतर आदेश सं. 82 (फा. सं. 261/18/70—आई.टी. जे.) को आंशिक रूप से उपान्तरित करते हुए केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड एतद्वारा निदेश देता है कि उक्त आदेश से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में क्रम सं. 1 में पटना रेंज में, उसके स्तम्भ 3 के मद (7) में विशेष सर्किल, वार्ड—ग, पटना जोड़ा जाएगा।

यह उपान्तरण 14 दिसम्बर, 1970 से प्रभावी होगा।

स्पष्टीकरण टिप्पण

यह उपान्तरण, वार्ड—ग, विशेष सर्किल, पटना नामक नए वार्ड के बन जाने के कारण आवश्यक हो गया है।

(उपरोक्त टिप्पण उपान्तरण का भाग नहीं है, बल्कि स्पष्टीकरण मात्र के लिए आशयित है)

[सं. 197 (फा. सं. 261/18/70—आई.टी. जे.)]

S.O. 1768.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 122 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and of all other powers enabling it in that behalf and in supersession of all previous notifications in this regard, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby directs that the Appellate Assistant Commissioners of Income-tax of the Ranges specified in column 2 of the Schedule below shall perform their functions in respect of all persons and incomes assessed to Income-tax or Super-tax in the Income-tax Circles, Wards and Districts, specified in the corresponding entry in column 3 thereof :—

Sl. No.	Ranges	Income-tax Circles, Wards and Districts
1	2	3
1. Range-I, Lucknow		1. Circle-I, Lucknow excluding :— (i) C-Ward, Circle-I, Lucknow. (ii) F-Ward, Circle-I, Lucknow. 2. Salary Circle, Lucknow. 3. Special Circle, Lucknow. 4. Lucknow. 5. Special Survey Circle, Lucknow. 6. Project Circle, Lucknow. 7. Hardoi.
2. Range-II, Lucknow		1. Circle-II, Lucknow (which existed upto 31-5-68 and from 1-8-68 to 1-6-69 and thereafter). 2. C-Ward, Circle-I, Lucknow. 3. F-Ward, Circle-I, Lucknow. 4. Company Circle, Lucknow. 5. Estate-duty-cum-I.T. Circle, Lucknow. 6. Sitapur. 7. Lakhimpur-Kheri.

1	2	3
3. Range-I, Varanasi	1. C-Ward, Varanasi. 2. B-Ward, Varanasi. 3. F-Ward, Varanasi. 4. Special Circle, Varanasi. 5. Jaunpur. 6. Azamgarh.	
4. Range-II, Varanasi	1. Varanasi Circle excluding :— (i) C-Ward, Varanasi. (ii) B-Ward, Varanasi. (iii) F-Ward, Varanasi. 2. Special Survey Circle, Varanasi. 3. Project Circle, Varanasi. 4. Ballia.	
5. Range-I, Allahabad	1. Allahabad Circle excluding :— (i) B-Ward, Allahabad. (ii) F-Ward, Allahabad. (iii) I.T.O. (Collection). 2. Salary Circle, Allahabad. 3. Estate-Duty-Cum-I.T. Circle, Allahabad. 4. Faizabad. 5. Gonda.	
6. Range-II, Allahabad	1. B-Ward, Allahabad. 2. F-Ward, Allahabad. 3. I.T.O. (Collection), Allahabad. 4. I.T.O. (Administration) & (Collection), Allahabad. 5. Mirzapur.	
7. Gorakhpur	1. Gorakhpur. 2. Basti.	
8. Range-I, Bareilly	1. Bareilly Circle excluding :— (i) B-Ward, Bareilly. (ii) D-Ward, Bareilly. (iii) F-Ward, Bareilly. 2. Nainital. 3. Almora. 4. Haldwani.	
9. Range-II, Bareilly	1. B-Ward, Bareilly. 2. D-Ward, Bareilly. 3. F-Ward, Bareilly. 4. Pilibhit. 5. Badaun. 6. Shahjahanpur.	
10. Range-I, Moradabad	1. Moradabad Circle excluding : (i) B-Ward, Moradabad. (ii) D-Ward, Moradabad. 2. Najibabad. 3. Kashipur.	
11. Range-II, Moradabad]	1. B-Ward, Moradabad. 2. D-Ward, Moradabad. 3. Bulandshahr. 4. Rampur.	

Where an Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof stands transferred by this notification from one Range to another Range, appeals arising out of assessments made in that Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof and pending immediately before the date of this notification before the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of the range from whom that Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof is transferred shall, from the date this notification takes effect be transferred to and dealt with by the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of the Range to whom the said Circle, Ward or District thereof is transferred.

This notification shall take effect from 24 December/1970.

Explanatory Note

The amendments have become necessary for providing even work load to the Appellate Assistant Commissioners of Income-tax at Allahabad and Gorakhpur and also to cover the creation of new wards and Circles.

[No. 198 (F. No. 261/7/70-ITJ).]

एस० प्रो० 1768.—आय कर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 122 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों और उसे इस निमित्त समर्थ बनाने वाली अन्य सभी शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इस संबंध में सभी पूर्वतन अधिसूचनाओं को अधिकांत करते हुए, केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्षकर बोर्ड एतद्वारा निदेश देता है कि नीचे दी हुई अनुसूची के स्तम्भ 2 में विनिर्दिष्ट रेंजों के सहायक आय-कर आयुक्त (अपील) उसके स्तम्भ 3 में तत्स्थानी प्राविष्ट में विनिर्दिष्ट आय-कर सर्किलों, वार्डों और जिलों में आयकर या अधिकर से निर्धारित सभी व्यक्तियों और आय की बाबत अपने कृत्यों का पालन करेंगे :—

अनुसूची

क्रम सं०	रेंज	आय कर सर्किल, वार्ड और जिले
1	2	3
1	रेंज-1, लखनऊ	1. सर्किल-1, लखनऊ, निम्नलिखित को अप-वर्जित करके— (i) ग-वार्ड, सर्किल-1, लखनऊ (ii) ग-वार्ड, सर्किल-1, लखनऊ 2. बतन सर्किल, लखनऊ 3. विशेष सर्किल, लखनऊ 4. लखनऊ 5. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, लखनऊ 6. परियोजना सर्किल, लखनऊ 7. हरदोई
2	रेंज-2, लखनऊ	1. सर्किल-2, लखनऊ (जो 31-5-68 तक और 1-8-68 से 1-6-69 तक और तत्पश्चात् अस्तित्व में रहा)। 2. ग-वार्ड, सर्किल-1, लखनऊ 3. च-वार्ड, सर्किल-1, लखनऊ 4. कम्पनी सर्किल, लखनऊ 5. सम्पदा शुल्क-सह-आयकर सर्किल, लखनऊ 6. सीतापुर 7. लखीमपुर खेरी
3	रेंज-1, वाराणसी	1. ग-वार्ड, वाराणसी 2. ड-वार्ड, वाराणसी 3. च-वार्ड, वाराणसी 4. विशेष सर्किल, वाराणसी 5. जोनपुर 6. आजमगढ़

1	2	3
4	रेंज-2, वाराणसी	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. वाराणसी सिकिल-निम्नलिखित को अप-वर्जित करके :— <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (i) ग-वार्ड, वाराणसी (ii) ड-वार्ड, वाराणसी (iii) च-वार्ड, वाराणसी 2. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सिकिल, वाराणसी 3. परियोजना सिकिल, वाराणसी 4. बलिया
5	रेंज-1, इलाहाबाद	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. इलाहाबाद सिकिल, निम्नलिखित को अप-वर्जित करके :— <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (i) ख-वार्ड, इलाहाबाद (ii) च-वार्ड, इलाहाबाद (iii) आयकर अधिकारी (बसूली) 2. वेतन सिकिल, इलाहाबाद 3. सम्पदा-शुल्क-सह-आयकर सिकिल, इलाहाबाद 4. फैजाबाद 5. गोंडा
6	रेंज-2, इलाहाबाद	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. ख-वार्ड, इलाहाबाद 2. च-वार्ड, इलाहाबाद 3. आयकर अधिकारी (बसूली), इलाहाबाद 4. आयकर अधिकारी (प्रशासन) और (बसूली), इलाहाबाद 5. मिर्जापुर
7	गोरखपुर	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. गोरखपुर 2. बस्ती
8	रेंज-1, बरेली	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. बरेली सिकिल, निम्नलिखित को अपवर्जित करके :— <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (i) ख-वार्ड, बरेली (ii) घ-वार्ड, बरेली (iii) च-वार्ड बरेली 2. नैनीताल 3. अलमोड़ा 4. हरद्वार
9	रेंज-2, बरेली	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. ख-वार्ड, बरेली 2. घ-वार्ड, बरेली

1

2

3

- | | | |
|----|-------------------|---|
| | | 3. च-वार्ड, बरेली |
| | | 4. पीलीभीत |
| | | 5. बदायूं |
| | | 6. शाहजहांपुर |
| 10 | रेंज-1, मुरादाबाद | 1. मुरादाबाद सिकिल, निम्नलिखित को अप-
वर्जित करके :—
(i) ख-वार्ड, मुरादाबाद
(ii) घ-वार्ड, मुरादाबाद
2. नजीबाबाद
3. काशीपुर |
| 11 | रेंज-2, मुरादाबाद | 1. ख-वार्ड, मुरादाबाद
2. घ-वार्ड, मुरादाबाद
3. बुलन्दशहर
4. रामपुर |

जहां इस अधिसूचना द्वारा कोई आयकर सिकिल, वार्ड या जिला या उसका कोई भाग एक रेंज से दूसरी रेंज को प्रन्तरित हो गया हो वहां उस आय-कर सिकिल, वार्ड या जिले या उसके किसी भाग में किए गए निर्धारणों के परिणामस्वरूप की गई अपीलें जो इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से ठीक पहले उस रेंज, के जिससे वह आय-कर सिकिल वार्ड या जिला या उसका कोई भाग अन्तरित कर दिया गया है, सहायक आयुक्त (अपील) के समक्ष लम्बित थीं, इस अधिसूचना के प्रभावी होने की तारीख से उस रेंज के, जिसको उक्त सिकिल वार्ड या जिला या उसका कोई भाग अन्तरित कर दिया गया है सहायक आयुक्त (अपील) को अन्तरित कर दी जायगी जो उनके संबंध में कार्यवाही करेगा।

यह अधिसूचना 24-12-1970 से प्रभावी होगी।

स्पष्टीकरण दिव्यण

सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (अपील) इलाहाबाद और गोरखपुर को समान-कार्य भार देने और नये वार्डों तथा सिकिलों के बन जाने के कारण ये संशोधन आवश्यक हो गए हैं।

[सं० 198(फा० सं० 261/7/70-प्राई० टी० जे०)]

New Delhi, the 24th December 1970

S. O. 1769.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of Section 122 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and of all other powers enabling it in that behalf and in supersession of all previous notifications in this regard, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby directs that the Appellate Assistant Commissioners of Income-tax of the Ranges specified in Column 1 of the Schedule below shall perform their functions in respect of all persons and

income assessed to Income-tax or super-tax in the Income-tax, Wards, Circles and Districts specified in the corresponding entry in Column 2 thereof :—

SCHEDULE

Range with Headquarters	Income-tax Circles, Wards and Districts
(1)	(2)
1. Gauhati Range-I	A-Ward, Addl. A-Ward, D-Ward, E-Ward and Special Wards of Gauhati Circle.
2. Gauhati Range-II	1. All Wards of Gauhati Circle excluding those allotted to Gauhati Range-I. 2. Nalbari Circle. 3. Agartala Circle.
3. Shillong Range	1. Shillong Circle. 2. Salary Circle, Shillong. 3. Special Circle, Shillong. 4. Nowgong Circle. 5. Silchar Circle. 6. Karimganj Circle. 7. Manipur Circle, Imphal.
4. Jorhat Range	1. Jorhat Circle. 2. Sibsagar Circle. 3. Golaghat Circle.
5. Tezpur Range	1. Tezpur Circle. 2. Dhubri Circle.
6. Dibrugarh Range-I	1. A & B Wards of Dibrugarh Circle. 2. Dibgoi Circle.
7. Dibrugarh Range-II	1. All Wards of Dibrugarh Circle excluding those in the jurisdiction of Dibrugarh-I Range-I. 2. I.T. cum Estate Duty Circle, Dibrugarh. 3. Salary Circle, Dibrugarh. 4. Tinsukia Circle.

Where an Income-tax Circle, Ward, or District or part thereof stands transferred by this notification from one Range to another Range, appeals arising out of assessments made in that Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof and pending immediately before the date of this notification before the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of the Range from whom that Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof is transferred shall, from the date this notification takes effect, be transferred to and dealt with by the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of the Range to whom the said Circle, Ward or District or part thereof is transferred.

This notification shall take effect from 1st January, 1971.

Explanatory Note

The amendments have become necessary on account of reallocation of Appellate Assistant Commissioners jurisdiction, abolition of Silchar Range and creation of Range II at Gauhati.

(The above note does not form a part of the notification, but is intended to be merely clarificatory).

[No. 199 (F. No. 261/9/70-ITJ).]

नई दिल्ली, 24 दिसम्बर 1970

एस० ओ० 1769—आय-कर अधिनियम 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 122 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों और उसे इस निमित्त समर्थ बनाने वाली अन्य सभी शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इस संबंध में सभी पूर्वतन अधिसूचनाओं को अधिकांत करते हुए केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्षकर बोर्ड एतद्द्वारा निदेश देता है कि नीचे दी गई अनुसूची के स्तम्भ 1 में विनिर्दिष्ट रेंजों

के सहायक आय-कर आयुक्त (अपील) उसके स्तम्भ 2 में तत्स्थानो प्रविष्टि में विनिष्ट आय-कर वाडों, सकिलों और जिलों में आय-कर या अधि-कर से निर्धारित सभी व्यक्तियों और आय की बाबत में अपने कृत्यों का पालन करगे :—

अनुसूची

रेंज जिनका मुख्यालय निम्नलिखित है आय कर सकिल, वाडें और जिले

1. गौहाटी रेंज-1	क-वाडें, अतिरिक्त क-वाडें, घ-वाडें, डा०-वाडें और गौहाटी सकिल के विशेष वाडें
2. गौहाटी रेंज-2	1. गौहाटी रेंज-1 को अपवर्जित वाडों को अप- वर्जित करके गौहाटी सकिल के सब वाडें 2. नलवाडी सकिल 3. अग्रतला सकिल
3. शिलांग रेंज	1. शिलांग सकिल 2. वेतन सकिल, शिलांग 3. विशेष सकिल, शिलांग 4. नवगांव सकिल 5. सिलचर सकिल 6. करीमगंज सकिल 7. मणिपुर सकिल, इम्फास
4. जोरहट रेंज	1. जोरहट सकिल 2. शिवसागर सकिल 3. गोलाघाट सकिल
5. तेजपुर सकिल	1. तेजपुर सकिल 2. दुबरी सकिल
6. डिब्रूगढ़ रेंज-1	1. डिब्रूगढ़ सकिल के क और ख वाडें 2. डिब्रूगढ़ सकिल
7. डिब्रूगढ़ रेंज-2	1. डिब्रूगढ़-1 रेंज-1 को अधिकारिता में जितने वाडें हैं उन्हें अपवर्जित करके डिब्रूगढ़ सकिल के सब वाडें 2. आयकर-सह-सम्पदा-शुल्क सकिल, डिब्रूगढ़ 3. वेतन सकिल, डिब्रूगढ़ 4. तिनसुकिया सकिल

जहां इस अधिसूचना द्वारा कोई आय-कर सकिल, वाडें या जिला या जका कोई भाग, एक रेंज दूसरी रेंज को अंतर्गत हो गया हो वहां उस आय कर सकिल, वाडें या जिले या उसके किसी भाग में किए गए निर्धारणों के परिणामस्वरूप की गई अपीलें, जो इस अधिसूचना की तारीख

से ठीक पहले उस रेंज के, जिसने वह आय-कर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिला या उसका कोई भाग, अन्तर्गत कर दिया गया है सहायक आयुक्त (अपील) के समक्ष लम्बित थी, इस अधिसूचना के प्रभावी होने की तारीख से उस रेंज के, जिसको उक्त सर्किल वार्ड, या जिला या उसका कोई भाग अन्तर्गत कर दिया गया है, सहायक आयुक्त (अपील) को अन्तर्गत कर दी जाएगी जो के संबंध में कार्यवाही करेगा।

यह अधिसूचना प्रथम जनवरी, 1971 से प्रभावी होगी।

स्पष्टीकरण टिप्पण :—

सहायक आयुक्त (अपील) की अधिकारिता के पुनरावर्तन सिलचर रेंज के उत्साहन और गौहाटी में रेंज-2 के बन जाने से ये संशोधन आवश्यक हो गए हैं।

(उपरोक्त टिप्पण अधिसूचना का भाग नहीं है बल्कि स्पष्टीकरण मात्र के लिए प्राशयित है)

[सं० 199/फा० सं० 261/9/70—आई टी जे]

New Delhi, the 30th December, 1970.

S. O. 1770—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 122 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and of all other powers enabling it in that behalf and in supersession of all the previous Notifications in this regard, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby directs that the Appellate Assistant Commissioners of Income-tax of the Ranges specified in column of the Schedule below shall perform their functions in respect of persons and incomes assessed to income-tax or super-tax in the Income-tax Circles, Wards and Districts specified in the corresponding entry in column 2 thereof :—

SCHEDULE

Range	Income-tax Circles, Ward and Districts.
(1)	(2)
A—Range, New Delhi	1. Companies Circles III, VII, X, XII, XIV, XV, XVI, XIX & XX, New Delhi. 2. Special Circles-V, IX & X, New Delhi. 3. D-I, District, New Delhi.
B—Range, New Delhi	1. District-III (19), (20), (21), (22) & (23), New Delhi. 2. Distt. VII (1), (2), (3) & (4), New Delhi. 3. Distt-III, Wards, A, A(Addl.), A(Addl. I), O & O (Addl.), New Delhi. 4. Distt-VII Wards A, A(1) & B, New Delhi. 5. Distts. B-I, B-I(I), New Delhi. 6. Distts. C-I, C-I (I), C-II and C-III, New Delhi. 7. Distt-I, Wards A & A (I), New Delhi. 8. Distt-IX, Ward-A, New Delhi. 9. Refund Circle, New Delhi.
D—Range, New Delhi	1. Distt-III(10), (11), (12), (12)(Addl.), (13), (14), (14) (Addl.), (15), (16), (17) & (18), New Delhi. 2. Distt. VI (11), (12), (13), (14) & (15), New Delhi. 3. Distt-III, Wards, H. I, J, K & L, New Delhi. 4. Distts. B-VI, B-VII, Addl. B. VII, B-IX, & Addl.B-IX, New Delhi. 5. Income-tax-cum-wealth tax Circles-II IV & XI New Delhi. 6. Distt- I Wards A (1), (II), C (1) & C(I) (Addl.), New Delhi. 7. Distt.-X (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9), (10), (10) (Addl. & 11), New Delhi.

(1)	(2)
E-Range, New Delhi	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Distt. VIII (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9), (10), (11), (12), (13), (14), (15), (16), (17), (18), (19) and (19) (Addl.), New Delhi. 2. Distt. VIII, Ward A (Addl.) B, B (Addl.) B (Addl.-I) B (Addl. II), C, D, D (I), E, & F, New Delhi. 3. A-I, A. II, A. III, A-IV (I) & I(I) Districts, New Delhi. 4. In come-tax-cum-wealth-tax Circle-VIII, New Delhi
F-Range, New Delhi	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Companies Circles-I, V, IX, XXII & XIII, New Delhi. 2. Special Circles-I, I (Addl.) II, VI, VII & VIII, New Delhi.
H-Range, New Delhi	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Distt. VI (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) (6), (7), (8), (9), (10) (Addl.), New Delhi. 2. Distt.-VI, Ward-A, A (Addl.), B, (Addl.), C, Cl. (Addl. D. & E New Delhi.
J-Range, New Delhi	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Distt.-II (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9), (10), (11) (Addl.) & 12, New Delhi. 2. Distt. II Wards-A, B, C, D E, F, A(I), C(I), C(I) (Addl.) & C (II), New Delhi. 3. Distt. V (1), (2), & (3), New Delhi. 4. Distt. V, Wards, A. A (Addl.) B, B (Addl.), C, D, F, F & G, New Delhi. 5. Distt. I (1) & (2), New Delhi 6. Distt. IX (1), New Delhi.
K-Range, New Delhi	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Distt.-V (4), (5), (6) (7), (8), (9), (10), (11), (11) (Addl. (12), (12) (Addl) (13), & (13) (Addl.) New Delhi.
M-Range, New Delhi	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Distt. III (1), (2) (3), (4) (5) (6), (6) (Addl.) (7), (7) (Addl), (8) & (9) New Delhi 2. Distt-III, Wards B, C, D, E, F, F (Addl.) G, M, M(1) & N, New Delhi
Q-Range, New Delhi	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Companies Circles-II, IV, VI, VIII, XI, XIII, XVII, XVIII, New Delhi. 2. Special Circles-III & IV, New Delhi. 3. D-II, District, New Delhi.

Where an Income-tax Circles, Ward or District or part thereof stands transferred by this notification from one Range to another Range, appeals arising out of assessments made in that Income-tax Circles, Ward or District or part thereof and pending immediately before the date of this notification before the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax of the Range from whom that Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof is transferred shall, from the date this notification takes effect, be transferred to and dealt with by the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of the Range, to whom the said Circle, Ward or District or part thereof is transferred.

This notification shall take effect from 1st January 1971.

Explanatory Note :

This amendment has become necessary on account of reallocation of work amongst the Appellate Assistant Commissioners of Income-tax in the Commissioner's charge.

(This note does not form a part of the notification, but is intended to be merely clarificatory

[No. 2 (F. No. 261/4/70-INJ)]

नई दिल्ली, 30 दिसम्बर 1970

एस० नो० 1770—आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 122 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त और इस निमित्त उसे समर्थ बनाने वाली सभी अन्य शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इस संबंध में सभी पूर्वतम अधिसूचनाओं को अधिकांत करते हुए केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड एतद्वारा निदेश देता है कि नीचे की अनुसूची के स्तंभ 1 में विनिर्दिष्ट रेंजों के सहायक आयकर

आयुक्त (ग्रपील) उसके स्तंभ 2 की तत्स्थानी प्रविष्टि में विनिर्दिष्ट आयकर सर्किलों, वार्डों और जिलों में उन व्यक्तियों की बाबत जिन पर आयकर या अधिकार निर्धारित किया गया है अपने कृत्यों का पालन करेंगे :—

रेंज	आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड और जिले
1	2
क रेंज नई दिल्ली	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. कम्पनी सर्किल III, VII, X, XII, XIV XV, XVI XIX और XX, नई दिल्ली 2. विशेष सर्किल—V, IX और X, नई दिल्ली 3. डी-1, जिला, नई दिल्ली
रेंज नई दिल्ली	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. जिला III (19), (20), (21), (22), और (23), नई दिल्ली 2. जिला VII, (1), (2), (3), और (4), नई दिल्ली 3. जिला III, वार्ड क, क(अतिरिक्त), क(अतिरिक्त-1), ग और ग(अतिरिक्त), नई दिल्ली 4. जिला VII, वार्ड क, क(1) और ख, नई दिल्ली 5. जिले ख-1, ख-1 (1), नई दिल्ली 6. जिले ग-1, ग-1(1), ग-II और ग-III, नई दिल्ली 7. जिला-1, वार्ड क और क(1), नई दिल्ली 8. जिला X, वार्ड क, नई दिल्ली 9. प्रतिवाद्य सर्किल, नई दिल्ली
घ रेंज, नई दिल्ली	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. जिला, (III), (10), (11), (12), (12) (अतिरिक्त); (13), (14), (14) (अतिरिक्त), (15), (16), (17), और (18), नई दिल्ली 2. जिला VI (11), (12), (13), (14), और (15), नई दिल्ली 3. जिला-III, वार्ड ज, झ, त-, ट और ठ, नई दिल्ली 4. जिले ख-VI, ख-VII, अतिरिक्त ख-VII, ख-IX और अतिरिक्त ख-IX, नई दिल्ली 5. आयकर एवं धनकर सर्किल-II, IV और XI, नई दिल्ली 6. जिला 6, वार्ड क(I), क(II), ग(1) और ग(1) (अतिरिक्त), नई दिल्ली 7. जिला X-(1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9), (10), (10), (अतिरिक्त) और (11), नई दिल्ली

1

2

क: ख, नई दिल्ली .

1. जिला VIII, (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9), (10), (11), (12), (13), (14), (15), (16), (17), (18), (19) और (19) (अतिरिक्त) नई दिल्ली
2. जिला VIII, वार्ड क, क (अतिरिक्त), ख, ख (अतिरिक्त), ख (अतिरिक्त-1), ख (अतिरिक्त-2), ग, घ, घ(1), छ और च, नई दिल्ली
3. क-I, क-II, क-III, क-IV, क-IV(1) और 1(1) जिले
4. आयकर एवं धनकर सर्किल VIII, नई दिल्ली

ख रेंज, नई दिल्ली .

1. कम्पनी सर्किल—I, V, IX, XXI और XXII; नई दिल्ली
2. विशेष सर्किल-1, 1(अतिरिक्त), II, IV, VII, और VIII, नई दिल्ली

ग रेंज, नई दिल्ली

1. जिला VI (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9), (10) और (10) (अतिरिक्त), नई दिल्ली
2. जिला-VI, वार्ड क, क (अतिरिक्त), ख (अतिरिक्त), ग ग (अतिरिक्त), घ और छ, नई दिल्ली ।

घ रेंज, नई दिल्ली

1. जिला II (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9), (10), (11), (11) (अतिरिक्त) और 12, नई दिल्ली ।
2. जिला II वार्ड-क, ख, ग, घ, छ, च, क(1), ग(1), ग(1) (अतिरिक्त) और ग(II), नई दिल्ली
3. जिला V (1), (2) और (3), नई दिल्ली
4. जिला V वार्ड क, क (अतिरिक्त), ख, ख (अतिरिक्त), ग, घ, छ, च और छ, नई दिल्ली
5. जिला I(1) और (2) नई दिल्ली
6. जिला IX(1), नई दिल्ली

ङ रेंज, नई दिल्ली .

1. जिला V (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9), (10), (11), (11) (अतिरिक्त), (12), (12) (अतिरिक्त), (13) और (13) (अतिरिक्त), नई दिल्ली ।

च रेंज, नई दिल्ली

1. जिला-III (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (6) (अतिरिक्त), (7), (7) (अतिरिक्त), (8) और (9), नई दिल्ली ।
2. जिला-III, वार्ड ख, ग, घ, छ, च, छ (अतिरिक्त) ज, ड, ड(1) और ड नई दिल्ली ।

घ रेंज नई दिल्ली

1. कम्पनी सक्ति, II, IV, VI, VIII, XI, XIII, XVII और XVIII, नई दिल्ली
2. विशेष सक्ति—III और IV, नई दिल्ली
3. घ—II, जिला, नई दिल्ली

जहां इस अधिसूचना द्वारा कोई आयकर सक्ति, वार्ड या जिला या उसका कोई भाग एक रेंज से दूसरी रेंज में अन्तरित हो गया हो वहां उस आयकर सक्ति, वार्ड या जिला या उसके किसी भाग में निर्धारणों के परिणामस्वरूप की गई अपील, जो इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से ठीक पहले उस रेंज के अपीली सहायक आयुक्त के समक्ष लम्बित थी। जिससे वह आयकर सक्ति, वार्ड या जिला या उसका कोई भाग अन्तरित कर दिया गया है इस अधिसूचना के प्रभावी होने की तारीख से उस रेंज के, जिसको उक्त सक्ति, वार्ड या जिला या उसका कोई भाग अन्तरित कर दिया गया है, अपीली सहायक आयुक्त को अन्तरित कर दी जायगी और उनके संबंध में कार्यवाही उस अपीली सहायक आयुक्त द्वारा की जायगी।

यह अधिसूचना 1 जनवरी, 1971 से प्रभावी होगी।

स्पष्टीकरण टिप्पण

यह संशोधन आयुक्त के भारसाधन में सहायक आयकर आयुक्तों (अपील) में कार्य के पुनः आवंटन के कारण आवश्यक हो गया है।

(यह टिप्पण अधिसूचना का भाग नहीं है, किन्तु इसका आशय स्पष्टीकरण करना चाहें है)।

[सं० 201 (फा० 261/4/70-आई० टी जे०)]

New Delhi, the 31st December, 1970.

S. O. 1771—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section(I), of Section 122 of the Income-tax, Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and of all other powers enabling it in that behalf and in supersession of all the previous notifications in this regard the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby makes the following amendment to the Notification No. 101 (F. No. 261/1/70-IT) dated 15-6-1970. For the existing entries in column 2 of the Schedule appended to the above mentioned Notification against Appellate Assistant Commissioner Jammu, Patiala and Appellate Assistant Commissioner Ludhiana the under mentioned entries shall be substituted :—

SCHEDULE

Range	Income-tax Circles, Wards and Districts
1	2
Jammu	1. All Income-tax Circles, Wards or Districts having headquarters at:— (i) Jammu. (ii) Srinagar. (iii) The Income-tax Officer, Chamba and Kangra District at Pathank t.

(1)

(2)

Patiala

1. All the Income-tax circles, Wards or Districts having headquarters at :—

- (i) Chandigarh
- (ii) Patiala
- (iii) Sangrur
- (iv) Malerkotla
- (v) Barnala
- (vi) Bhatinda
- (vii) Khanna
- (viii) Districts 1 (9), 1(10), 1(11) and 1(12) Ludhiana.

(ix) Pathankot

- 2. Special Survey Circle, Patiala (in respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of the Income-tax Officers, Chandigarh, Patiala Sangrur, Malerkotla, Barnala and Bhatinda).
- 3. Special Survey Circle, Amritsar (in respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Officers, Khanna Districts 1 (9), 1 (10), 1(11), 1(12), Ludhiana and Pathankot.
- 4. Salary Circle, Jullundur (in respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Officers Chandigarh, Patiala, Sangrur, Malerkotla, Barnala, Bhatinda, Khanna and Districts, 1(9), 1 (10), 1 (11), 1 (12), Ludhiana and Pathankot.

Ludhiana

1. All Income-tax Circles, Wards or Districts having headquarters at:—

- (i) Ludhiana (Except Districts 1 (9), 1 (10), 1 (11), 1 (12) and Central Circles, Ludhiana.)

(ii) Gurdaspur

(iii) Batala

(iv) Moga

(v) Ferozepur

(vi) Abohar

- 2. Special Survey Circle, Amritsar (in respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Officers, Ludhiana (except Districts 1(9), 1(10), 1(11) & 1(12), Ludhiana, Gurdaspur, Batala, Moga, Ferozepur and Abohar.)
- 3. Salary Circle, Jullundur (in respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Officers, Ludhiana (Except Districts 1 (9), 1 (10), 1(11) and 1 (12), Ludhiana, Gurdaspur, Batala, Moga, Ferozepur and Abohar)

This amendment shall take effect from 1-1-1971.

Explanatory Note:—

The amendment has become necessary for assigning even work-load to the Appellate Assistant Commissioners in the Commissioner's charge.

(This note does not form part of the notification but is intended to be merely clarificatory)

[No. 202(F. 261/1/70-IT)]

नई दिल्ली, 31 दिसम्बर, 1970

एल० ओ० 1771—आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 122 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का और उसे इस निमित्त समर्थ बनाने वाली सभी अन्य शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इस सम्बन्ध में सभी पूर्वर्तन अधिसूचनाओं को अधिकांश करते हुए केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड अधिसूचना सं 101 (फा० सं० 201/1/70—आई टी जे), तारीख 15-6-1970 में एतद्वारा निम्नलिखित संशोधन करता है। उपरिर्दिष्ट अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची के स्तम्भ 2 में की विद्यमान प्रविष्टियों के स्थान पर सहायक आयुक्त (अपील), जम्मू, पटियाला और सहायक आयुक्त (अपील) लुधियाना के सामने, निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टियाँ प्रतिस्थापित की जाएंगी :—

अनुसूची

रज

आयकर सकिल, वाई और जिले

1

2

जम्मू

1. सभी आयकर सकिल, वाई या जिले जिनका मुख्यालय निम्नलिखित स्थानों पर है —

(i) जम्मू

(ii) श्रीनगर

(iii) आयकर अधिकारी, चम्बा और कांगड़ा जिले, पठानकोट।

पटियाला

1. सभी आयकर सकिल, वाई या जिले जिनका मुख्यालय निम्नलिखित स्थानों पर है :—

(i) चण्डीगढ़

(ii) पटियाला

(iii) संगरूर

(iv) मालेरकोटला

(v) बरनाला

(vi) भटिंडा

(vii) खन्ना

(viii) जिले 1 (9), 1 (10), 1 (11), और 1 (12)

लुधियाना

(ix) पठानकोट

2. विजय सबलण सकिल, पटियाला (उन व्यक्तियों की बाबत जिनका चण्डीगढ़, पटियाला, संगरूर, मालेरकोटला, बरनाला और भटिंडा के आयकर अधिकारियों की अधिकारिता में कारबार का मुख्य स्थान है अथवा जो इन की अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं)।

1

2

3. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सिकल, अमृतसर (उन व्यक्तियों की बाबत जिनका खन्ना, जिले 1 (9), 1 (10), 1 (11), 1 (12), लुधियाना और पठानकोट के आयकर अधिकारियों की अधिकारिता में कारबार का मुख्य स्थान है अथवा जो इन की अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं) ।

4. वेतन सिकल, जालंधर, (उन व्यक्तियों की बाबत जिनका चण्डीगढ़, पटियाला, संगरूर, मालेरकोटला, बरनाला, भटिंडा खन्ना और जिले 1 (9), 1 (10), 1 (11), 1 (12), लुधियाना और पठानकोट के आयकर अधिकारियों की अधिकारिता में कारबार का मुख्य स्थान है अथवा जो इन की अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं) ।

लुधियाना

1. सभी आयकर सिकल, वाई या जिले जिनका मुख्यालय निम्न-लिखित स्थानों पर है :—

1. लुधियाना (जिला 1 (9), 1 (10), 1 (11), 1 (12), और केन्द्रीय सिकल लुधियाना को छोड़ कर

(ii) गुरदासपुर

(iii) बटाला

(iv) मोगा

(v) फिरोजपुर]

(vi) अबोहर

2. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सिकल अमृतसर (उन व्यक्तियों की बाबत जिनका लुधियाना (जिला 1 (9), 1 (10), 1 (11), और 1 (12) लुधियाना को छोड़कर) गुरदासपुर, बटाला, मोगा, फिरोजपुर और अबोहर के आयकर अधिकारियों की अधिकारिता में कारबार का मुख्य स्थान है अथवा जो इन की अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं) ।

3. वेतन सिकल, जालंधर (उन व्यक्तियों की बाबत जिनका लुधियाना (जिला 1 (9), 1 (10), 1 (11), और 1 (12) लुधियाना को छोड़कर) गुरदासपुर, बटाला, मोगा, फिरोजपुर और अबोहर के आयकर अधिकारियों की अधिकारिता में कारबार का मुख्य स्थान है अथवा जो इनकी अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं) ।

स्पष्टीकरण टिप्पण

यह संशोधन आयुक्त के भारसाधन में सहायक आयुक्तों (अपील) को समान कार्यभार समनुविष्ट करने के कारण आवश्यक हो गया है।

(यह टिप्पण अधिसूचना का भाग नहीं है किन्तु इसका आशय स्पष्टीकरण करना मात्र है)

[सं० 202 (फ० सं० 261/1/70—आई टी जे)]

S.O. 1772.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 122 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), and of all other powers enabling it in this behalf, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby makes the following further amendments in the Schedule appended to its Notification No. 12 (F. No. 50/7/69-ITJ) dated 14th January, 1966 as amended from time to time, namely:—

In the Schedule against:—

'S' Range, Calcutta, following shall be substituted:—

1. Howrah.

This notification shall take effect from 1st January, 1971.

Explanatory Note

The amendment has become necessary on account of abolition of 'AF' Range, Calcutta, and consequent re-allocation of the Appellate Assistant Commissioners of Income-tax jurisdiction in West Bengal charge.

(This does not form a part of the Notification but is intended to be merely (clarificatory).)

[No. 203 (F. No. 261/5/70-ITJ.)]

एस० ओ० 1772.—आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 122 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों और इसे इस निमित्त समर्थ बनाने वाली सभी अन्य शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड एतद्वारा इसकी समय समय पर यथासंशोधित अधिसूचना सं० 12 (फा० सं० 50/7/60 आई टी जे) तारीख 14-1-1966 में निम्नलिखित और आगे संशोधन करता है, अर्थात् —

अनुसूची में,

“घ” रेंज, कलकत्ता के सामने निम्नलिखित प्रतिस्थापित किया जायेगा—

1. हावड़ा

यह अधिसूचना 1-1-1971 से प्रभावी होगी। :

स्पष्टीकरण टिप्पण

यह संशोधन “कच” रेंज, कलकत्ता, के उत्पादन और पश्चिम बंगाल भारसाधन में सहायक आयकर आयुक्तों (अपील) के परिणामिक पुनः आवंटन के कारण आवश्यक हो गया है।

(यह अधिसूचना का भाग नहीं है किन्तु इसका आशय स्पष्टीकरण करना मात्र है)।

[सं० 203 (फ० सं० 261/5/70—आई टी जे)]

New Delhi, the 6th January, 1971.

S.O. 1773.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 122 of the Income-Tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and of all other powers enabling it in that behalf and in supersession of all the previous notifications in this regard, the Central Board of Direct Taxes, hereby directs that the Appellate Assistant Commissioners of Income-tax, of the Range specified in column 1 of the Schedule below, shall perform their functions in respect of all persons and incomes assessed to Income-tax or Super-tax in the Income-tax Circles, Wards, and Districts specified in the corresponding entry in column 2 thereof :—

SCHEDULE

Range	Income-tax Circles, Wards and Districts
(1)	(2)
Poona Range-I, Poona	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. B-Ward, Poona. 2. D-Ward, Poona. 3. Addl. D-Ward, Poona. 4. G-Ward, Poona. 5. Addl. C-Ward, Poona. 6. C-Ward, Poona. 7. Addl. C-Ward, Poona. 8. Wealth-tax Circle, Poona. 9. Special Investigation Circle, Poona. 10. K-Ward, Poona. 11. Collection-I, Poona. 12. Collection-II, Poona. 13. L-Ward, Poona. 14. M-Ward, Poona.
Poona Range-II, Poona	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A-Ward, Poona. 2. Addl. A-Ward, Poona. 3. Central Circle, Poona. 4. Companies Circle, Poona. 5. Addl. Companies Circle, Poona. 6. E-Ward, Poona. 7. Addl. E-Ward, Poona. 8. F-Ward, Poona. 9. Addl. F-Ward, Poona. 10. H-Ward, Poona. 11. J-Ward, Poona. 12. Addl. J-Ward, Poona.
Kolhapur Range, Kolhapur	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A-Ward, Kolhapur. 2. B-Ward, Kolhapur. 3. C-Ward, Kolhapur. 4. D-Ward, Kolhapur. 5. E-Ward, Kolhapur. 6. F-Ward, Kolhapur. 7. G-Ward, Kolhapur. 8. H-Ward, Kolhapur. 9. Collection Circle, Kolhapur. 10. Ratnagiri. 11. A-Ward, Satara. 12. B-Ward, Satara. 13. C-Ward, Satara. 14. A-Ward, Sangli. 15. B-Ward, Sangli. 16. C-Ward, Sangli. 17. D-Ward, Sangli. 18. Special Survey Circle, Poona. 19. Addl. Special Survey Circle, Poona. 20. Salaries and Refunds Circle, Poona. 21. G.H.Q., Income-tax Circle, Poona.

(1)	(2)
Nasik Range, Nasik	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A-Ward, Nasik. 2. B-Ward, Nasik. 3. C-Ward, Nasik. 4. D-Ward, Nasik. 5. E-Ward, Nasik. 6. F-Ward, Nasik. 7. Collection Circle, Nasik. 8. A-Ward, Malegaon. 9. B-Ward, Malegaon. 10. A-Ward, Dhulia. 11. B-Ward, Dhulia. 12. C-Ward, Dhulia. 13. A-Ward, Jalgaon. 14. B-Ward, Jalgaon. 15. C-Ward, Jalgaon. 16. D-Ward, Jalgaon. 17. A-Ward, Ahmednagar. 18. B-Ward, Ahmednagar. 19. C-Ward, Ahmednagar.
Thana, Range, Thana	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A-Ward, Thana. 2. Addl. A-Ward, Thana. 3. B-Ward, Thana. 4. C-Ward, Thana. 5. D-Ward, Thana. 6. E-Ward, Thana. 7. F-Ward, Thana. 8. G-Ward, Thana. 9. H-Ward, Thana. 10. J-Ward, Thana. 11. K-Ward, Thana. 12. Collection Circle-I, Thana. 13. Collection Circle-II, Thana. 14. Special Survey Circle, Thana. 15. Addl. Special Survey Circle, Thana. 16. Recovery Circle-III, Thana. 17. Palghar. 18. A-Ward, Panvel. 19. B-Ward, Panvel. 20. C-Ward, Panvel. 21. A-Ward, Sholapur. 22. B-Ward, Sholapur. 23. C-Ward, Sholapur. 24. D-Ward, Sholapur. 25. E-Ward, Sholapur. 26. Income-tax Circle, Barsi.

When an Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof stands transferred by this notification from one Range to another Range, appeals arising out of assessments made in that Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof and pending immediately before the date of this notification before the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax of the Range from whom that Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof is transferred shall, from the date this notification shall take effect, be transferred to and dealt with by the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of the Range to whom the said Circle, Ward or District or part thereof is transferred.

The notification shall take effect from 1-2-1971.

Explanatory Note :

The amendments have become necessary on account of reallocation of work amongst the Appellate Assistant Commissioners in the Commissioner's Charge due to abolition of Sholapur Range.

(This note does not form a part of the notification, but is intended to be merely clarificatory).

No. (F. No. 261/8/70-IT)

नई दिल्ली, 6 जनवरी, 1971

एस० ओ० 1773-आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 122 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों और इस निमित्त उसे समर्थ बनाने वाली अन्य सभी शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इस संबंध में सभी पूर्वतन अधिसूचनाओं को अधिकांत करते हुए, केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड एतद्वारा निदेश देता है कि नीचे की अनुसूची के स्तम्भ 1 में विनिर्दिष्ट रेंजों के सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (अपील) उसके स्तम्भ 2 में तत्स्थानी प्रविष्टि में विनिर्दिष्ट आयकर सकिलों, वार्डों और जिलों में आयकर या अधिकार से निर्धारित सभी व्यक्तियों और आयों के बारे में अपने कृत्यों का पालन करेंगे

अनुसूची

रेंज	आय कर सकिल, वार्ड और जिले
1	2
पूना रेंज-1, पूना	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. ख-वार्ड, पूना 2. घ-वार्ड, पूना 3. अतिरिक्त घ-वार्ड, पूना 4. छ-वार्ड, पूना 5. अतिरिक्त छ-वार्ड पूना 6. ग-वार्ड, पूना 7. अतिरिक्त ग-वार्ड, पूना 8. धन कर सकिल, पूना 9. विशेष अन्वेषण सकिल, पूना 10. ट-वार्ड, पूना 11. संग्रहण-1, पूना 12. संग्रहण-2, पूना 13. ठ-वार्ड, पूना 14. ड-वार्ड, पूना
पूना रेंज-2, पूना	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. क-वार्ड, पूना 2. अतिरिक्त क-वार्ड, पूना 3. केन्द्रीय सकिल, पूना 4. कम्पनी सकिल, पूना 5. अतिरिक्त कम्पनी सकिल, पूना 6. इ-वार्ड, पूना 7. अतिरिक्त इ-वार्ड, पूना 8. च-वार्ड, पूना 9. अतिरिक्त छ-वार्ड, पूना 10. ज-वार्ड, पूना 11. झ-वार्ड, पूना 12. अतिरिक्त झ-वार्ड, पूना

1

2

कोल्हापुर रेंज,
कोल्हापुर

1. क—वार्ड, कोल्हापुर
2. ख—वार्ड, कोल्हापुर
3. ग—वार्ड, कोल्हापुर
4. घ—वार्ड, कोल्हापुर
5. ङ—वार्ड, कोल्हापुर
6. च—वार्ड, कोल्हापुर
7. छ—वार्ड, कोल्हापुर
8. ज—वार्ड, कोल्हापुर
9. संग्रहण सफिल, कोल्हापुर
10. रत्नागिरि
11. क—वार्ड, सतारा
12. ख—वार्ड, सतारा
13. ग—वार्ड, सतारा
14. क—वार्ड, सांगली
15. ख—वार्ड, सांगली
16. ग—वार्ड, सांगली
17. घ—वार्ड, सांगली
18. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सफिल, पूना
19. अतिरिक्त विशेष सर्वेक्षण सफिल, पूना
20. सम्बलम और प्रतिदाय सफिल, पूना
21. साधारण मुख्यालय आय-कर सफिल, पूना

नासिक रेंज,
नासिक

1. क—वार्ड, नासिक
2. ख—वार्ड, नासिक
3. ग—वार्ड, नासिक
4. घ—वार्ड, नासिक
5. ङ—वार्ड, नासिक
6. च—वार्ड, नासिक
7. संग्रहण सफिल, नासिक
8. क—वार्ड, मालेगांव
9. ख—वार्ड, मालेगांव
10. क—वार्ड, धुलिया
11. ख—वार्ड, धुलिया
12. ग—वार्ड, धुलिया
13. क—वार्ड, जलगांव
14. ख—वार्ड, जलगांव
15. ग—वार्ड, जलगांव
16. घ—वार्ड, जलगांव
17. क—वार्ड, अहमदनगर

1

2

धाना रेंज,
धाना

18. ख—वार्ड, अहमदनगर
19. ग—वार्ड, अहमदनगर
1. क—वार्ड, धाना
2. अतिरिक्त क—वार्ड, धाना
3. ख—वार्ड, धाना
4. ग—वार्ड, धाना
5. घ—वार्ड, धाना
6. ङ—वार्ड, धाना
7. च—वार्ड, धाना
8. छ—वार्ड, धाना
9. ज—वार्ड, धाना
10. झ—वार्ड, धाना
11. ट—वार्ड, धाना
12. संग्रहण सकिल—1, धाना
13. संग्रहण सकिल—2, धाना
14. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सकिल, धाना
15. अतिरिक्त विशेष सर्वेक्षण सकिल, धाना
16. वसूली सकिल—3, धाना
17. पालघर
18. क—वार्ड, पनवेल
19. ख—वार्ड, पनवेल
20. ग—वार्ड, पनवेल
21. क—वार्ड, शोलापुर
22. ख—वार्ड, शोलापुर
23. ग—वार्ड, शोलापुर
24. घ—वार्ड, शोलापुर
25. ङ—वार्ड, शोलापुर
26. आयकर सकिल, वसों

जहां इस अधिसूचना द्वारा कोई आयकर सकिल, वार्ड या जिला या उसका कोई भाग एक रेंज से दूसरी रेंज को अन्तरित हो गया हो वहां उस आयकर सकिल, वार्ड या जिला या उसके किसी भाग में किए गए निर्धारणों के परिणामस्वरूप की गई अपीलें, जो इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से अधिक ठीक पहले उस रेंज के, जिससे वह आयकर सकिल, वार्ड, या जिला या उसका कोई भाग अन्तरित कर दिया गया है, सहायक आयुक्त (अपील) के समक्ष लम्बित थी, इस अधिसूचना के प्रभावी होने की तारीख से उस रेंज के, जिसको उक्त सकिल वार्ड या जिला या उसका कोई भाग अन्तरित कर दिया गया है, सहायक आयुक्त (अपील) को अन्तरित कर दी जायगी जो उनके संबंध में कार्यवाही करेगा।

यह अधिसूचना 1-2-1971 से प्रभावी होगी।

स्पष्टीकारक टिप्पणी

यह संशोधन, शोलापुर रेंज के उत्पादन के कारण आयुक्त के भारसाधन में सहायक आयुक्तों (अपील) के मध्य कार्य के पुनर्भांजन के कारण आवश्यक हो गए हैं।

(यह टिप्पण अधिसूचना का भाग नहीं है वरन् इसका आशय केवल स्पष्टीकरण मात्र है)।

[सं० 3 (का० सं० 261/8/70-आई० टी० जे०)]

New Delhi, the 8th January 1971

S.O. 1774.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 122 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and of all other powers enabling it in that behalf, the Central Board of Direct Taxes, hereby make the following amendment in the Schedule appended to its notification No. 183 (261/8/70-ITJ) dated 20th November, 1970, namely:—

Again Thana Range, Thana under column 2 of the following shall be added:—

24. K.Ward, Thana.

This notification shall take effect from 8th January, 1971.

Explanatory Note

The amendmnet has become necessary on account of creation of a new Ward known as 'K' Ward, Thana in the Commissioner's charge.

(This note does not form part of the notification but is intended to be merely clarificatory.)

[No. 6 (F. No. 261/8/70-ITJ).]

नई दिल्ली, 8 जनवरी 1971

एस० ओ० 1774.—आय कर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 122 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का और उद्देश्य निमित्त सार्वजनिक बनाने वाली अन्य सभी शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड द्वारा अपनी अधिसूचना सं० 183 (261/8/70-आई० टी० जे०) ता० 20-11-1970 से उल्लेख अनुसूची में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करता है, अर्थात् :

स्तम्भ 2 के अन्तर्गत थाना रेंज, थाना के सामने निम्नलिखित जोड़ा जाएगा :—

24. ट—वार्ड, थाना

यह अधिसूचना 8-1-1971 से प्रभावी होगी।

स्पष्टीकारक टिप्पण :

आयुक्त के भारसाधन में "ट" वार्ड थाना नामक एक नए वार्ड के बन जाने के कारण यह संशोधन आवश्यक हो गया है।

(यह टिप्पण अधिसूचना का भाग नहीं है किन्तु इसका आशय स्पष्टीकरण मात्र है)।

[सं० 6 (का० सं० 261/8/70-आई० टी० जे०)]

New Delhi, the 27th January 1971

S.O. 1775.—In exercise of powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 122 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and all other powers enabling it in that behalf, the Central Board of Direct Taxes, New Delhi, hereby makes the following further amendments in the Schedule appended to its Notification No. 94 (F. No. 261/20/70-ITJ) dated, 8-6-70 viz.,

(i) In the said Schedule against A-Range, Jabalpur the following entries shall be substituted viz.,

Bhopal Range, Bhopal	1. I.T.O., A-Ward, Bhopal.
	2. I.T.O., B-Ward, Bhopal.
	3. I.T.O., C-Ward, Bhopal.
	4. I.T.O., D-Ward, Bhopal.
	5. I.T.O., E-Ward, Bhopal.
	6. I.T.O., F-Ward, Bhopal.
	7. I.T.O., Betul.
	8. I.T.O., A-Ward, Itarsi.
	9. I.T.O., B-Ward, Itarsi.
A-Range, Jabalpur	1. I.T.O., Central Circle, Jabalpur.
	2. I.T.O., A-Ward, Jabalpur.
	3. I.T.O., Special Estate Duty cum-Income-tax Circle, Jabalpur.
	4. I.T.O., A-Ward, Satna.
	5. I.T.O., B-Ward, Satna.
	6. I.T.O., C-Ward, Satna.
	7. I.T.O., D-Ward, Satna.

(ii) In the said Schedule under Column 1 between B-Range, Jabalpur and Raipur Range, Raipur, C-Range, Jabalpur will be inserted and against C-Range, Jabalpur under Column 2, the following entries shall be inserted viz.,

C-Range, Jabalpur	1. I.T.O., B-Ward, Jabalpur.
	2. I.T.O., G-Ward, Jabalpur.
	3. I.T.O., A-Ward, Sagar.
	4. I.T.O., B-Ward, Sagar.
	5. I.T.O., C-Ward, Sagar.

This notification shall take effect from 1-2-1971.

Explanatory Note

The amendment has become necessary on account of creation of an Appellate Range at Jabalpur known as C-Range, Jabalpur.

(The above note does not form part of the notification, but is intended to be merely clarificatory).

[No. 11(F. No. 261/1/71-ITJ).]

नई दिल्ली, 27 जनवरी 1971

एस० ओ० 1775 : आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 122 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का और उसे इस निमित्त संपूर्ण बनाने वाली सभी अन्य शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड एतद्वारा अपनी अधिसूचना सं० 94 (फा०

सं० 261/20/70-आई०टी०जे०), ता० 8-6-70 से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करता है, अर्थात् —

(I) उक्त अनुसूची में क-रेंज, जबलपुर के सामने निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टियां प्रतिस्थापित की जायेंगी :

भोपाल रेंज, भोपाल

1. आयकर अधिकारी, क—वार्ड, भोपाल
2. आयकर अधिकारी, ख—वार्ड, भोपाल
3. आयकर अधिकारी, ग—वार्ड, भोपाल
4. आयकर अधिकारी, घ—वार्ड, भोपाल
5. आयकर अधिकारी, ङ—वार्ड, भोपाल
6. आयकर अधिकारी, च—वार्ड, भोपाल
7. आयकर अधिकारी, बलुल
8. आयकर अधिकारी, क—वार्ड, इटारसी
9. आयकर अधिकारी, ख—वार्ड, इटारसी

क—रेंज, जबलपुर

1. आयकर अधिकारी, जबलपुर
2. आयकर अधिकारी, क—वार्ड, जबलपुर
3. आयकर अधिकारी, विशाख सम्पदा बल्क-एवमं
आयकर सर्किल, जबलपुर
4. आयकर अधिकारी, क—वार्ड, सतना
5. आयकर अधिकारी, ख—वार्ड, सतना
6. आयकर अधिकारी, ग—वार्ड, सतना
7. आयकर अधिकारी, घ—वार्ड, सतना

(ii) उक्त अनुसूची में स्तम्भ 1 के अन्तर्गत ख—रेंज, जबलपुर और रायपुर रेंज, रायपुर के बीच, ग—रेंज, जबलपुर अन्तःस्थापित किया जाएगा और स्तम्भ 2 के अन्तर्गत ग—रेंज, जबलपुर के सामने निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टियां अन्तःस्थापित की जाएंगी अर्थात् :

ग—रेंज, जबलपुर

1. आयकर अधिकारी, ख—वार्ड, जबलपुर
2. आयकर अधिकारी, छ—वार्ड, जबलपुर
3. आयकर अधिकारी, क—वार्ड, सागर
4. आयकर अधिकारी, ख—वार्ड, सागर
5. आयकर अधिकारी, ग—वार्ड, सागर

यह अधिसूचना 1-2-1971 से प्रभावी होगी।

स्पष्टीकारक टिप्पण :

जबलपुर में ग-रेंज, जबलपुर नामक अभी तो रेंज के बन जाने के कारण यह संशोधन, आवश्यक हो गया है।

(उपर्युक्त टिप्पण अधिसूचना का भाग नहीं है किन्तु इसका आशय स्पष्टीकरण मात्र है)।

[सं० 11 (फा० सं० 261/1/71)-आई०टी०जे०]

New Delhi, the 28th January 1971

S.O. 1776.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 122 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and of all the other powers enabling it in that behalf, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby makes the following amendment in the Schedule appended to its notification No. 199 (F. No. 261/9/78-ITJ) dated 24th December, 1970, namely:—

In the said Schedule against Jorhat Range, the following shall be added under Column 2—

"4. Dimapur Circle."

This notification shall take effect from 1st February, 1971.

Explanatory Note

The amendment has become necessary on account of the creation of a new Income-tax Circle at Dimapur.

(The above note does not form part of the notification but is intended to be merely clarificatory).

[No. 12(261/3/71-ITJ)]

नई दिल्ली, 28 जनवरी 1971

एस० ओ० 1776.—आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 122 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का और उसे इस निमित्त समर्थ बनाने वाली अन्य सभी शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड एतद्वारा अपनी अधिसूचना सं० 199 फा० सं० 261/9/70—आई०टी०जे०), ता० 24-12-1970 से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करता है, अर्थात् :

उक्त अनुसूची में जोरहट रेंज के सामने, स्तम्भ 2 के अन्तर्गत निम्नलिखित जोड़ा जाएगा
" 4 दिमापुर सर्किल "

यह अधिसूचना 1-2-1971 से प्रभावी होगी।

स्पष्टीकारक टिप्पण

दिमापुर में एक नए आयकर सर्किल के बन जाने के कारण यह संशोधन, आवश्यक हो गया है।

(उपर्युक्त टिप्पण अधिसूचना का भाग नहीं है किन्तु इसका आशय स्पष्टीकरण मात्र है)।

[सं० 12 (फा० सं० 261/3/71-आई०टी०जे०)]

S. O. 1777.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 122 of the Income-Tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and of all other powers enabling it in that behalf and in supersession of all previous notifications in this regard, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby directs that the

Appellate Assistant Commissioners of Income-tax of the Ranges specified in column 2 of the Schedule below shall perform their functions in respect of all persons and incomes assessed to Income-Tax or Super-tax in the Income-tax Circles, Wards and Districts, specified in the corresponding entry in column 3 thereof:—

S. No.	Ranges	Income-tax Circles, Wards & Districts
(1)	(2)	(3)
1	Range-I, Lucknow	1. Circle-I, Lucknow excluding :— (i) C-Ward, Circle-I, Lucknow. (ii) F-Ward, Circle-I, Lucknow. 2. Salary Circle, Lucknow. 3. Special Circle, Lucknow. 4. Lucknow. 5. Special Survey Circle, Lucknow. 6. Project Circle, Lucknow. 7. Hardoi.
2	Range-II, Lucknow.	1. Circle-II, Lucknow (which existed upto 31-5-68 and from 1-8-68 to 1-6-69 and thereafter). 2. C-Ward, Circle-I, Lucknow. 3. F-Ward, Circle-I, Lucknow. 4. Company Circle, Lucknow. 5. Estate-duty-cum-I.T. Circle, Lucknow.
3	Range-I, Varanasi	1. C-Ward, Varanasi. 2. E-Ward, Varanasi. 3. F-Ward, Varanasi. 4. Special Circle, Varanasi. 5. Jaunpur. 6. Azamgarh.
4	Range-II, Varanasi	1. Varanasi Circle excluding :— (i) C-Ward, Varanasi. (ii) E-Ward, Varanasi. (iii) F-Ward, Varanasi. 2. Special Survey Circle, Varanasi. 3. Project Circle, Varanasi. 4. Ballia.
5	Range-I, Allahabad	1. Allahabad Circle, excluding :— (i) B-Ward, Allahabad. (ii) F-Ward, Allahabad. (iii) I.T.O. (Collection). 2. Salary Circle, Allahabad. 3. Estate-duty-cum-I.T. Circle, Allahabad. 4. Faizabad. 5. Gonda.
6	Range-II, Allahabad	1. B-Ward, Allahabad. 2. F-Ward, Allahabad. 3. I.T.O. (Collection), Allahabad. 4. I.T.O. (Administration) & (Collection), Allahabad. 5. Mirzapur.
7	Gorakhpur	1. Gorakhpur. 2. Basti.
8	Range-I, Bareilly	1. Bareilly Circle, excluding :— (i) B-Ward, Bareilly. (ii) D-Ward, Bareilly. (iii) F-Ward, Bareilly. 2. Nainital. 3. Haldwani.
9	Range-II, Bareilly	1. B-Ward, Bareilly. 2. Pilibhit. 3. Shahjahanpur.

(1)	(2)	(3)
10. Range-III, Bareilly	1. D-Ward, Bareilly. 2. F-Ward, Bareilly. 3. Lakhmipur-Kheri. 4. Sitapur 5. Almora. 6. Badaun.	
11. Range-I, Moradabad.	1. Moradabad Circle, excluding (i) B-Ward, Moradabad. (ii) D-Ward, Moradabad. (iii) E-Ward, Moradabad. 2. Najibabad.	
12. Range-II, Moradabad.	1. B-Ward, Moradabad. 2. D-Ward, Moradabad. 3. E-Ward, Moradabad. 4. Bulandshahr. 5. Rampur. 6. Kashipur.	

Where an Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof stands transferred by this Notification from one Range to another Range, appeals arising out of assessments made in that Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof and pending immediately before the date of this notification before the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of the range from whom that Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof, is transferred shall, from the date this notification takes effect be transferred to and effect dealt with by the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of the Range to whom the said Circle, Ward or District thereof is transferred.

This notification shall take effect from 1-2-1971.

Explanatory Note

The amendments have become necessary to provide jurisdiction to the new Appellate Assistant Commissioner posted as Appellate Assistant Commissioner Range-III, Bareilly and to make consequential adjustments in the jurisdiction of other Appellate Assistant Commissioners to make better distribution of workload amongst them.

[No. 13(F. No. 261/2/71-ITJ).]

एस० ओ० 1777—आय-कर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 122 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों और इस निमित्त उसे समर्थ बनाने वाली अन्य सभी शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इस सम्बन्ध में सभी पूर्वतन अधिसूचनाओं को अधिकांत करते हुए, केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्षकर बोर्ड एतद्वारा निदेश देता है कि नीचे की अनुसूची के स्तम्भ 2 में विनिर्दिष्ट रेंजों के सहायक आय-कर आयुक्त (अपील) उसके स्तम्भ 3 में तत्स्थानी प्रविष्टि में विनिर्दिष्ट आय-कर सिकिलों, वाडों और जिलों में आयकर या अधिपर से निर्धारित सभी व्यक्तियों और आयों के बारे में अपने कृत्यों का पालन करेंगे :—

अनुसूची

क्रम संख्या	रेंज	आय-कर सिकिल, वाडें और जिले
1	2	3
1. रेंज-1 लखनऊ		1. सिकिल-1, लखनऊ, निम्नलिखित को अपवर्जित करके । (i) ग-वाडें, सिकिल-1, लखनऊ (ii) ज-वाडें, सिकिल-1, लखनऊ

1	2	3
		2. सर्वोत्तम सिकिल, लखनऊ 3. लखनऊ 4. लखनऊ 5. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सिकिल, लखनऊ 6. परियोजना सिकिल, लखनऊ 7. हरदोई
2. रेंज-2, लखनऊ		1. सिकिल-2, लखनऊ (जो कि 31-5-68 तक था और 1-8-68 से 1-6-69 तक और तत्पश्चात् ।) 2. ग-वार्ड, सिकिल-1, लखनऊ 3. च-वार्ड, सिकिल-1, लखनऊ 4. कम्पनी सिकिल, लखनऊ 5. सम्पदा शुल्क-सह-आयकर सिकिल, लखनऊ
3. रेंज-1, वाराणसी		1. ग-वार्ड, वाराणसी 2. ड-वार्ड, वाराणसी 3. च-वार्ड, वाराणसी 4. विशेष सिकिल, वाराणसी 5. जोनपुर 6. ब्राजमगढ़
4. रेंज-2, वाराणसी		1. वाराणसी सिकिल, निम्नलिखित को अपवर्जित करके — (i) ग-वार्ड, वाराणसी (ii) ड-वार्ड, वाराणसी (iii) च-वार्ड, वाराणसी 2. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सिकिल, वाराणसी 3. परियोजना सिकिल, वाराणसी 4. बलिया
5. रेंज-1, इलाहाबाद		1. इलाहाबाद सिकिल, निम्नलिखित को अपवर्जित करके— (i) ख-वार्ड, इलाहाबाद (ii) च-वार्ड, इलाहाबाद (iii) आयकर अधिकारी, (संग्रहण) 2. सम्बलम सिकिल, इलाहाबाद 3. सम्पदा शुल्क-सह-आयकर सिकिल, इलाहाबाद । 4. फैजाबाद 5. गोंडा
6. रेंज-2, इलाहाबाद		1. ख-वार्ड, इलाहाबाद 2. च-वार्ड, इलाहाबाद 3. आयकर-अधिकारी (संग्रहण), इलाहाबाद ।

1	2	3
		4. आयकर अधिकारी (प्रशासन) और (संग्रहण), इलाहाबाद ।
		5. मिर्जापुर
7. गोरखपुर		1. गोरखपुर 2. बस्ती
8. रेंज-1, बरेली		1. बरेली सिकिल, निम्नलिखित को अपवर्जित करके— (i) ख-वार्ड, बरेली (ii) घ-वार्ड, बरेली (iii) च-वार्ड, बरेली 2. नैनीताल 3. हल्द्वानी
9. रेंज-2, बरेली		1. ख-वार्ड, बरेली 2. पीलीभीत 3. शाहजहांपुर
10. रेंज-3, बरेली		1. घ-वार्ड, बरेली 2. च-वार्ड, बरेली 3. लखीमपुर-खड़ी 4. सीतापुर 5. अल्मोड़ा 6. बदायूं
11. रेंज-1, मुरादाबाद		1. मुरादाबाद सिकिल, निम्नलिखित को अपवर्जित करके— (i) ख-वार्ड, मुरादाबाद (ii) घ-वार्ड, मुरादाबाद (iii) झ-वार्ड, मुरादाबाद 2. नजीबाबाद
12. रेंज-2, मुरादाबाद		1. ख-वार्ड, मुरादाबाद 2. घ-वार्ड, मुरादाबाद 3. झ-वार्ड, मुरादाबाद 4. बुलन्दशहर 5. रामपुर 6. काशीपुर

जहां इस अधिसूचना द्वारा कोई आय-कर सिकिल, वार्ड या जिला या उसका कोई भाग एक रेंज से दूसरी रेंज को अन्तर्गत हो गया हो वहां उस आय-कर सिकिल, वार्ड या जिले या उसके

किसी भाग में किए गए, निर्धारणों के परिणामस्वरूप की गई अपीलें, जो इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से ठीक पहले उस रेंज के, जिससे वह आय-कर सकिल, वार्ड या जिला या उसका कोई भाग अन्तर्गत कर दिया गया है, सहायक आयुक्त (अपील) के समक्ष लम्बित थी, इस अधिसूचना के प्रभावी होने की तारीख से उस रेंज के, जिसको उक्त सकिल वार्ड या जिला या उसका कोई भाग अन्तर्गत कर दिया गया है, सहायक आयुक्त (अपील) को अन्तर्गत कर दी जाएगी जो उनके सम्बन्ध में कार्यवाही करेगा।

यह अधिसूचना 1-2-1971 से प्रभावी होगी।

स्पष्टीकारक टिप्पण

सहायक आयुक्त (अपील) रेंज—3, बरेली के रूप में तैनात नये सहायक आयुक्त (अपील) की अधिकारिता का उपबन्ध करने के लिए और अन्य सहायक आयुक्तों (अपील) को उनके बीच अधिकारिता में कार्यभार के उत्तम वितरणार्थ पारिणामिक समायोजन करने के लिए ये संशोधन आवश्यक हो गए हैं।

[सं 13 (फा० सं० 261/2/71-आई टी जे)]

New Delhi, the 25th February 1971

S.O. 1778.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 122 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Board of Direct Taxes transferred the head quarters of the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax, Dharwar Range, Dharwar to Hubli with effect from 1st February, 1971.

[No. 62 (F. No. 261/5/71-ITJ)]

नई दिल्ली, 25 फरवरी 1971

एस० ओ० 1778—आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 122 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड ने सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (अपील) धारवाड़ रेंज, धारवाड़ का मुख्यालय स्थानान्तरित कर के 1 फरवरी, 1971 से हुबली कर दिया है।

[सं० 62 (फा० सं०-261/5/71आई० टी जे)]

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 24th February 1971

S.O. 1779.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 122 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), and of all other powers enabling it in that behalf, the Central Board of Direct Taxes, hereby directs that in the Notification No. 11(261/1/71-ITJ), dated the 27th January, 1971, sub para i of para 1 shall be read as,

"In the said Schedule against Bhopal Range, Bhopal and A-Range, Jabalpur, the following entries shall be substituted viz.,"

against "In the said Schedule against A-Range, Jabalpur, the following entries shall be substituted viz.,"

[No. 61 (F. No. 261/1/71-ITJ)]

P. K. SHARAN, Under Secy

शुद्धिपत्र

नई दिल्ली, 24 फरवरी, 1971

एस० ओ० 1779.—आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 122 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों और उसे इस निमित्त समर्थ बनाने वाली सभी अन्य शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड एतद्वारा निदेश देता है कि अधिसूचना सं० 1 (261/1/71—आई टी ज) तारीख 27 जनवरी, 1971 में पैरा, 1 के उपपैरा 1 "उक्त अनुसूची में क—रेंज, जबलपुर के सामने निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टियाँ प्रतिस्थापित की जाएंगी, अर्थात्" के स्थान पर

"उक्त अनुसूची में भोपाल रेंज, भोपाल और क, रेंज, जबलपुर के सामने निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टियाँ प्रतिस्थापित की जाएंगी, अर्थात्"

पढ़ा जाएगा।

[सं० 61 (फा० सं० 261/1/71—आई० टी० जे०)]

पी० के० शरण, अवर सचिव।

INCOME-TAX

New Delhi, the 11th February 1971

S.O. 1780.—In partial modification of Notification No. 9 dated 21st January 1971 and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 121 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby directs that the Additional Commissioner of Income-tax (Recovery I) Bombay will have jurisdiction over the ranges pertaining to Commissioners charges of City I and Central, Bombay and the Additional Commissioner of Income-tax (Recovery II) Bombay will have jurisdiction over the ranges pertaining to Commissioners charges of City II and III. The Additional Commissioner of Income-tax (Recovery I) Calcutta will have jurisdiction over the ranges pertaining to Commissioners charges of West Bengal I and II and the Additional Commissioner of Income-tax (Recovery II) Calcutta will have jurisdiction over the ranges pertaining to Commissioners charges of West Bengal III and Central Calcutta.

[No. 41 (F. No. 187/2/71-IT(AI).]

B. MADHAVAN, Under Secy.

आयकर

नई दिल्ली, 11 फरवरी, 1971

एस० ओ० 1780.—अधिसूचना सं० 9 तारीख 21-1-71 का आंशिक उपान्तरण और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 121 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड एतद्वारा निदेश देता है कि मुम्बई के अपर आयकर आयुक्त (वसूली 1) की अधिकारिता सिटी और सेन्ट्रल मुम्बई का भारसाधन रखने वाले आयुक्तों से सम्बद्ध रेंजों पर और मुम्बई के अपर आयकर आयुक्त (वसूली 2) की अधिकारिता सिटी 2 और 3 का भारसाधन रखने वाले आयुक्तों से सम्बद्ध रेंजों पर, होगी। कलकत्ता के अपर आयकर आयुक्त (वसूली 1) की अधिकारिता पश्चिम बंगाल 1 और 2 का भारसाधन रखने वाले आयुक्तों से सम्बद्ध रेंजों पर और कलकत्ता के अपर आयकर आयुक्त (वसूली 2) की अधिकारिता पश्चिम बंगाल 3 और सेन्ट्रल कलकत्ता का भारसाधन रखने वाले आयुक्तों से सम्बद्ध रेंजों पर, होगी।

[सं० 41 (फा० सं० 187/2/71—आई० टी० ए० आई०)]

बी० माधवन, , उप सचिव।

CABINET SECRETARIAT

(Department of Personnel)

New Delhi, the 21st April 1971

S.O. 1781.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 5 read with section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (25 of 1946) and of all other powers enabling it in this behalf, the Central Government with the consent of the Government of the State of Maharashtra, hereby extends the powers and jurisdiction of the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment to the State of Maharashtra for the investigation of offences punishable under section 2 of the Criminal Law Amendment Act, 1961 (23 of 1961).

[No. 228/2/71-AVD(II).]

संविदादल सचिवालय

(कार्मिक विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 21 अप्रैल, 1971

का० आ० 1781.—दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापन अधिनियम, 1946 (1946 का 25) की धारा-6 के साथ पठित धारा-5 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों और इस निमित्त से उसे समर्थ बनाने वाली अन्य सभी शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा, दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापन के सदस्यों की शक्तियों और अधिकारिता का दंडिक विधि-नियम संशोधन अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 23) की धारा-2 के अधीन दंडनीय अपराधों के अन्वेषण के लिए, महाराष्ट्र राज्य में विस्तार करती है।

[संख्या 228/2/71-ए० बी० डी० -II]

S.O. 1782.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (25 of 1946) the Central Government hereby specifies the following offences as the offences which are to be investigated by the Delhi Special Police Establishment, namely:—

- (a) offences punishable under section 2 of the Criminal Law Amendment Act 1961 (23 of 1961).
- (b) attempts, abetments and conspiracies in relation to or in connection with one or more of the offences mentioned in clause (a) and any other offence committed in the course of the same transaction arising out of the same facts.

[No. 228/2/71-AVD(II).]

B. C. VANJANI, Under Secy.

का० आ० 1782.—दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापन अधिनियम, 1946 (1946 का 25) की धारा-3 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा निम्नलिखित अपराधों को उन अपराधों के रूप में विनिर्दिष्ट करती है जिनका अन्वेषण दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना द्वारा किया जाना है, अर्थात् :—

- (क) दंडिक विधि-नियम संशोधन अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 23) की धारा-2 के अधीन दंडनीय अपराध ;
- (ख) खण्ड (क) में वर्णित अपराधों और एक ही तथ्यों से उद्भूत होने वाले एक ही संव्यवहार के अनुक्रम में किए गए किसी अन्य अपराध से सम्बन्धित या संलग्न में प्रयत्न, दुरूपेण और षडयन्त्र ;

[संख्या 228/2/71-ए० बी० डी० -II]

बी० सी० वनजानी, अधर सचिव।

MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

New Delhi, the 19th April 1971

S.O. 1783.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to article 309 of the Constitution, the President hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Central Police Training College (Non-gazetted—Ministerial Staff) Recruitment Rules, 1961, namely:—

1. (1) These rules may be called the Central Police Training College (Non-gazetted—Ministerial Staff) Recruitment (Amendment) Rules, 1971.

(2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.

2. In the Central Police Training College (Non-gazetted—Ministerial Staff) Recruitment Rules, 1961,—

(i) for the words "Central Police Training College", wherever they occur, the words "National Police Academy" shall be substituted;

(ii) in the Schedule, below the entry in column 6 against the post of "Lower Division Clerk (including Steno Typist)", the following note shall be inserted, namely:—

"NOTE.—10 per cent of the vacancies in the grade of Lower Division Clerks shall be reserved for being filled up by Class IV employees (borne on regular establishment), subject to the following conditions, namely:—

(a) Selection shall be made through a departmental examination confined to such Class IV employees as fulfil the requirement of minimum educational qualification, namely, Matriculation or equivalent;

(b) the maximum age for this examination shall be 40 years (45 years for Scheduled Caste/Scheduled Tribe candidates);

Provided that for the first two examinations to be conducted under this scheme, the upper age limit shall be 45 years (50 years for Scheduled Caste/Scheduled Tribe candidates);

(c) at least 5 years' service in Class IV shall be essential;

(d) the maximum number of recruits by this method shall be limited to 10 per cent of the vacancies in the cadre of Lower Division Clerks occurring in a year and unfilled vacancies shall not be carried over".

[No. 38/2/70-Pers. I.]

K. THYAGARAJAN, Dy. Secy.

गृह मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 19 अप्रैल, 1971

एस० ओ० 1783.—राष्ट्रपति, संविधान के अनुच्छेद 309 के परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, एतद्वारा केन्द्रीय पुलिस प्रशिक्षण महाविद्यालय (अराजपत्रित—अनुसचिवीय कर्मचारिवृन्द) भर्ती नियम, 1961 में और आगे निम्नलिखित संशोधन करते हैं, अर्थात्:—

1. (1) इन नियमों का नाम केन्द्रीय पुलिस प्रशिक्षण महाविद्यालय (अराजपत्रित—अनुसचिवीय कर्मचारिवृन्द) भर्ती (संशोधन) नियम, 1971 होगा।

2. (2) ये शासकीय राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की तारीख को प्रवृत्त होंगे।

2. केन्द्रीय पुलिस प्रशिक्षण महाविद्यालय (अराजपत्रित—अनुसचिवीय कर्मचारिवृन्द) भर्ती नियम, 1961 में—

(1) जहाँ कहीं भी "केन्द्रीय पुलिस प्रशिक्षण महाविद्यालय" शब्द आएँ, उनके स्थान पर "राष्ट्रीय पुलिस अकादमी" शब्द प्रतिस्थापित किए जाएंगे;

(ii) अनुसूची में, स्तम्भ 6 में की प्रविष्टि के नीचे निम्न श्रेणी लिपिक (जिसमें आशु टंकक सम्मिलित है) के पद के सामने, निम्नलिखित टिप्पण अन्तः स्थापित किया जाएगा; अर्थात् :—“टिप्पण—निम्न श्रेणी लिपिकों की श्रेणी की 10 प्रतिशत रिक्तियाँ, निम्नलिखित शर्तों के अधीन रहते हुए, वर्ग 4 के (नियमित स्थापन पर के कर्मचारियों द्वारा भरी जाने के लिए आरक्षित होंगी; अर्थात् :—

(क) चयन विभागीय परीक्षा द्वारा किया जाएगा जो ऐसे वर्ग 4 के कर्मचारियों तक सीमित होगी जो न्यूनतम शैक्षिक अहंता, अर्थात्—मैट्रिकुलेशन या समतुल्य, की अपेक्षा पूरी करते हों ;

(ख) इस परीक्षा के लिए अधिकतम आयु 40 वर्ष (अनुसूचित जातियों/अनुसूचित जनजातियों के अभ्यर्थियों की दशा में 45 वर्ष) होंगी :

परन्तु इस स्कीम के अन्तर्गत आयोजित की जाने वाली प्रथम दो परीक्षाओं के लिए उच्चतम आयु-सीमा 45 वर्ष (अनुसूचित जातियों / अनुसूचित आदिम जातियों के अभ्यर्थियों के लिए 50 वर्ष) होगी ;

(ग) वर्ग 4 में कम से कम 5 वर्ष की सेवा आवश्यक होगी ;

(घ) इस प्रकार से भर्ती होने वालों की अधिकतम संख्या किसी वर्ष में निम्न श्रेणी लिपिकों के काडर में रिक्तियों के 10 प्रतिशत तक सीमित होंगी और न भरी गई रिक्तियाँ आगे नहीं ले जायी जाएंगी ।”

[सं० 38/2/70—कार्मिक-1]

के० त्यागराजन,

उप सचिव ।

New Delhi the 21st April 1971

S.O.1784.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to article 309 of the constitution, the President hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Class III posts (Directorate of Co-ordination) (Police Wireless) Recruitment Rules, 1962 namely:—

1. (1) These rules may be called the Class III Posts (Directorate of Co-ordination) (Police Wireless) Recruitment (amendment) Rules 1970
(2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.
2. In the Schedule to the Class III Posts (Directorate of Co-ordination) (Police Wireless) Recruitment Rules, 1962, after serial No. 27, and the entries relating thereto, the following shall be inserted thereto:—

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
28	Librarian, [One]	[General Central Service Class III non-gazetted]	Rs. 150-10-250-10-EB-10-290-15-335-EB 15-300	No applicable	Direct recruitment	18-25 years	(a) Higher Secondary or equivalent examination of a recognised University or Board. (b) Certificate in Library Science issued by a recognised Institution/University.	2 Yrs.	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable.	

[No. A. 21/20/70-Wireless (Pers. I)]
P. K. G. KAIMAL, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 21 अप्रैल, 1971

एस०ओ० 1784.—राष्ट्रपति, संविधान के अनुच्छेद 309 के परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए वर्ग 3 पद (समन्वय निदेशालय) पुलिस बेतार भर्ती (संशोधन) नियम, 1962 में और आगे संशोधन करने के लिए निम्नलिखित नियम एतद्द्वारा बनाते हैं, अर्थात् :

1. (1) ये नियम वर्ग 3 पद (समन्वय निदेशालय) पुलिस बेतार भर्ती (संशोधन) नियम, 1970 कहे जा सकेंगे।

(2) ये शासकीय राजपत्र में अपने प्रकाशन की तारीख को प्रवृत्त होंगे।

2. वर्ग 3 पद (समन्वय निदेशालय) (पुलिस बेतार) भर्ती नियम, 1962 की अनुसूची में क्रम संख्या 27 और उससे सम्बद्ध प्रविष्टियों के पश्चात् निम्नलिखित अन्तः स्थापित किए जाएंगे :—

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
28	पुस्तकाध्यक्ष	एक	साधारण केन्द्रीय सेवा वर्ग—3 अराजपन्नित	150-10- 250-द०रो० 10-290- 15-335- द०रो०-15- 380	लागू नहीं सीधी होता भर्ती द्वारा	18-25 वर्ष	

9	10	11	12	13
(क) किसी मान्यता प्राप्त विश्वविद्यालय या बोर्ड की हायर सेकेंडरी या समतुल्य परीक्षा	दो वर्ष	। लागू नहीं होता	लागू नहीं होता	लागू नहीं होता
(ख) किसी मान्यता प्राप्त संस्थान / विश्वविद्यालय द्वारा पुस्तकालय विज्ञान में दिया गया प्रमाणपत्र।				

[सं० क० 21/20/70-बेतार (पसं-1)]

पी० के० जी० काइमल,
अवर सचिव।

NOTICE

New Delhi, the 15th April 1971

S.O. 1785.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of rule 6 of the Notaries Rules, 1956, that application has been made to the said Authority, under rule 4 of the said Rules, by Shri Ajit Kumar Datta, Advocate, 74/1, Acharyya Jagdish Bose Road, Calcutta for appointment as a Notary to practise in Calcutta.

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this Notice.

[No. F.22/24/70-Judl.III.]

B. SHUKLA, Competent Authority.

नोटिस

नई दिल्ली 15 अप्रैल, 1971

एस० ओ० 1785.—इसके द्वारा, लेख्य प्रमाणक नियम (नोटरीज रूल्स), 1956 के नियम 6 के अनुसार, सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा सूचना दी जाती है कि उक्त प्राधिकारी को श्री अजीत कुमार दत्ता, अधिवक्ता 74/1, आचार्य जगदीश बोस मार्ग, कलकत्ता ने उक्त नियमों के नियम 4 के अधीन, कलकत्ता में लेख्य प्रमाणक (नोटरी) का काम करने की नियुक्ति के लिए आवेदन-पत्र भेजा है।

उक्त व्यक्ति की लेख्य प्रमाणक के रूप में नियुक्ति के बारे में यदि कोई आपत्तियां हों तो वे इस नोटिस के प्रकाशित होने के चौदह दिन के अन्दर नीचे हस्ताक्षर करने वाले को लिख कर भेज दिये जायें।

[संख्या 22/24/70—न्यायिक—III]

(ब्रह्मानन्द शुक्ल)

सक्षम प्राधिकारी।

MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY PLANNING

(Department of Health)

New Delhi, the 22nd April 1971

S.O. 1786.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 13 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), the Central Government, after consulting the Medical Council of India, hereby makes the following amendment in Part II of the Third Schedule to the said Act, namely:—

In the said Part of the Third Schedule, after the entry "M.D. (Manila, Philippines)", the following entry shall be inserted, namely:—

"C.M.S. (Licentiate of the State Medical Faculty, Sind, West Pakistan)".

[No. F.19-33/69-M.P.T.]

P. C. ARORA, Under Secy.

स्वास्थ्य तथा परिवार नियोजन मंत्रालय

(स्वास्थ्य विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 22 अप्रैल, 1971

एस० ओ० 1786.—भारतीय चिकित्सा परिषद् अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 102) की धारा 13 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय

चिकित्सा परिषद् से परामर्श करने के पश्चात्, उक्त अधिनियम, की तृतीय अनुसूची के खण्ड 2 में एतद्द्वारा निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है, नामतः—

तृतीय अनुसूची के उक्त खण्ड में, "एम० डी० (मनिला, फिलिपाइन्स)" की प्रविष्टि के पश्चात् निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टि दर्ज की जायेगी, नामतः—

"सी० एम० एस० (लाइसेंसियेट आंव दी स्टेट मेडिकल फैकाल्टी, सिंध पश्चिम पाकिस्तान)"

[सं० फ० 19-33/69-एम० पी० टी०]

पी० सी० अरोरा, अवर सचिव ।

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

PUBLIC NOTICE

New Delhi, the 1st May 1971

S.O. 1787.—The following modification which the Central Government proposes to make in the zonal development plan for zone D-5(D.I.Z. area—Gole Market area) is hereby published for public information. Any person may send the objection or suggestion with respect to the proposed modification may send the objection or suggestion in writing to the Secretary, Delhi Development Authority, Delhi Vikas Bhawan, I.P. Estate, New Delhi, within a period of thirty days from the date of this notice. The person making the objection or suggestion should also give his name and address.

Modification

An area measuring about 1.46 hect. (3.63 acres) designated for Club and for the expansion of electric sub-station in the zonal development plan, bounded by about 60 meters (200') wide strip of parks and play-grounds, in the north, 13.1 meters (43') wide road in the south, 24.38 meters (80') wide Baird Road in the east and 7.85 meters (25') wide service road in the west off Market Road to be changed to residential.

2. The plan indicating the proposed modification will be available for inspection at the office of the Authority, Delhi Vikas Bhawan, I. P. Estate, New Delhi, on all working days except Saturdays within the period referred to above.

[No. F.3(348)/66-MP.]

दिल्ली विकास प्राधिकरण

सूचना

नई दिल्ली, 1 मई, 1971

एस० ओ० 1787.—निम्नलिखित संशोधन जो कि केन्द्रीय सरकार जोन डी-5 (डी० आई० जंड—एरिया—गोल मार्केट) के जोनल डवलपमेंट प्लान में करना चाहती है, ग्राम जानकारी के लिये प्रकाशित किया जाता है : यदि किसी व्यक्ति को प्रस्तावित संशोधन में कोई आपत्ति है या सुझाव देना है तो वह अपनी आपत्तियों सुझाव लिखित रूप से सचिव, दिल्ली विकास प्राधिकरण दिल्ली विकास भवन, इन्द्रप्रस्थ इस्टेट नई दिल्ली को इस सूचना की तिथि से 30दिन के भीतर भेज दें। जो व्यक्ति आपत्ति या सुझाव भेजे वह अपना नाम तथा पूरा पता भी लिखें।

संशोधन

जोनल डवलपमेंट प्लान में लगभग 1.46 हेक्टेयर (3.63 एकड़) भूमि क्लब तथा इलेक्ट्रिक सब-स्टेशन के विस्तार के लिये निर्दिष्ट की गई है, इसके उत्तर में लगभग 60 मीटर (200') चौड़ी पार्कस तथा खेल के मैदानों की पट्टी है, दक्षिण में 13.1 मीटर (43') चौड़ी सड़क है, पूर्व में 24.34 मीटर (80') चौड़ी वेयरड रोड है तथा पश्चिम में मार्केट रोड से परे 7.85 मीटर (25') चौड़ी सर्विस लैन है इस क्षेत्र को 'आवासीय' में परिवर्तन करने का प्रस्ताव है।

2. उक्त प्रस्तावित संशोधन दशति हुए प्लान निरीक्षण के लिये प्राधिकरण के कार्यालय, दिल्ली विकास भवन, इन्द्रप्रस्थ इस्टेट, नई दिल्ली में उक्त अवधि के भीतर शनिवार को छोड़ कर सभी कार्यशील दिनों में प्राप्य होगा।

[संख्या एफ० 3(348) 66-एम० पी०]

S.O. 1788.—The following modification which the Delhi Development Authority proposes to make in the zonal development plan for zone D-1 (Connaught Place and its extension) is hereby published for public information. Any person having any objection or suggestion with respect to the proposed modification may send the objection or suggestion in writing to the Secretary, Delhi Development Authority, Delhi Vikas Bhawan, Indraprastha Estate, New Delhi, within a period of thirty days from the date of this notice. The person making the objection or suggestion should also give his name and address.

Modification

In the text of the zonal development plan in the chapter "Land use and Zoning Regulations" the sentence "Commercial office space will not be allowed on a cinema plot, but a restaurant attached to it with facilities incidental to the cinema shall be allowed" occurring in the second sub-para (b) of para 1 headed "Cinemas", the following words shall be substituted, namely:—

"Subject to the parking requirements of prescribed standards being available, construction of office accommodation shall be allowed on cinema plots with the condition that twice the floor area utilised for cinema hall shall be deducted from the permissible F.A.R. Only the residue thereof shall be permitted for commercial offices. A restaurant attached to the cinema, with facilities incidental to the cinema, may also be allowed".

[No. F. 16(24)/71-MP.]

M. L. MONGIA, Secy.

एस० ओ० 1788.—निम्नलिखित संशोधन जो कि दिल्ली विकास प्राधिकरण जोन डी-1 (कनाट प्लेस तथा एक्सटेंशन) के जोनल डेवलपमेंट प्लान में करना चाहता है, आम जानकारी के लिये प्रकाशित किया जाता है। यदि किसी व्यक्ति को प्रस्तावित संशोधन में कोई आपत्ति है या सुझाव देना है तो वह अपनी आपत्तियां सुझाव लिखित रूप से सचिव, दिल्ली विकास प्राधिकरण दिल्ली विकास भवन इन्द्रप्रस्थ इस्टेट नई दिल्ली को इस सूचना की तिथि से 30 दिन के भीतर भेज दें। जो व्यक्ति आपत्ति या सुझाव भेजे वह अपना नाम तथा पूरा पता भी लिखें।

संशोधन :—

जोनल डेवलपमेंट प्लान में "भूमि उपयोग और क्षेत्र नियमों" के अध्याय में यह वाक्य आया है "सिनेमा भूखण्डों में व्यवसायिक कार्यालय के लिये स्थान नहीं दिया जायेगा किन्तु उससे लगा हुआ रेस्टोरेंट (जलपान गृह) हो सकेगा और उसके साथ साथ ऐसी सुविधाएँ होगी जिनका सिनेमा से आनुषंगिक रूप से सम्बन्ध है।" उक्त वाक्य "सिनेमा" शीर्षक पैरा-1 के दूसरे उपपैरा (बी) में है, उक्त शब्दों के स्थान में नीचे लिखे शब्द होंगे :—

"सवारी गाड़ियों के ठहरने के लिये निर्धारित मानदण्ड के अनुसार स्थान होने पर भूखण्ड पर कार्यालय-भवन के लिये अनुमति दी जा सकेगी किन्तु शर्त यह होगी कि सिनेमा घर के लिये जितने स्थान (प्लोर) का उपयोग हुआ है उसका दुगुना ए० ए० और ० से घटा दिया जायेगा और तब जो स्थान बचेगा उसमें व्यवसायिक कार्यालय बनाये जा सकेंगे। सिनेमा घर से लगे हुए रेस्टोरेंट बनाने की भी अनुमति ऐसी सुविधाओं साथ दी जायेगी जिनका सिनेमा घर से आनुषंगिक रूप से सम्बन्ध हो।

[संख्या एफ० 16(24) 71-एम० पी०]

सदन लाल मोंगिया, सचिव।

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Banking)

New Delhi, the 16th April 1971

S.O. 1789.—Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India, Banking Department as on the 9th April, 1971.

BANKING DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES		Rs.	ASSETS		Rs.
Capital Paid Up		5,00,00,000	Notes		4,82,93,000
			Rupee Coin		2,28,000
Reserve Fund		150,00,00,000	Small Coin		3,29,000
National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund		172,00,00,000	Bills Purchased and Discounted :—		
			(a) Internal		10,92,57,000
			(b) External		9,35,91,000
			(c) Government Treasury Bills		83,34,05,000
National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund		37,00,00,000	Balances held abroad*		103,73,31,000
			Investments**
National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund		95,00,00,000	Loans and Advances to :—		
			(i) Central Government		414,65,64,000
			(ii) State Governments@		
Deposits :—			Loans and Advances to :—		
(a) Government			(i) Scheduled Commercial Banks†		270,26,15,000
(i) Central Government		352,19,72,000	(ii) State Co-operative Banks††		264,63,79,000
(ii) State Governments		4,20,57,000	(iii) Others		11,85,93,000
			Loans, Advances and Investments from National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund		

LIABILITIES	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.
(b) Banks		(a) Loans and Advances to :—	
(i) Scheduled Commercial Banks	190,75,35,000	(i) State Governments	41,91,77,000
(ii) Scheduled State Co-operative Banks	12,57,73,000	(ii) State Co-operative Banks	20,19,35,000
(iii) Non-Scheduled State Co-operative Banks	73,86,000	(iii) Central Land Mortgage Banks
(iv) Other Banks	31,66,000	(b) Investment in Central Land Mortgage Bank Debentures Loans and Advances from National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund	9,59,42,000
(c) Others :		Loans and Advances to State Co-operative Banks	4,27,73,000
Bills Payable	86,17,49,000	Loans, Advances and Investments from National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund :—	
	75,66,73,000	(a) Loans and Advances to the Development Bank	29,83,71,000
Other Liabilities	145,34,96,000	(b) Investment in bonds/debentures issued by the Development Bank
		Other Assets	47,50,24,000
			<u>1326,98,07,000</u>
	Rupees		Rupees
	1326,98,07,000		1326,98,07,000

* Includes Cash, Fixed Deposits and Short-term Securities.

** Excluding Investments from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund.

@ Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund, but including temporary overdrafts to State Governments.

† Includes Rs. 143,05,30,000 advanced to scheduled commercial Banks against usance bills under Section 17(4)(c) of the Reserve Bank of India Act.

†† Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Agricultural Credit Stabilisation Fund.

Dated the 14th day of April, 1971.

An Account pursuant to the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934, for the week ended the 9th day of April 1971

ISSUE DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.	Rs.
Notes held in the Banking Department	4,82,93,000		Gold Coin and Bullion :—		
Notes in circulation	4360,98,86,000		(a) Held in India	182,53,11,000	
TOTAL Notes issued		4365,81,79,000	(b) Held outside India	..	
			Foreign Securities	273,42,00,000	
			TOTAL		455,95,11,000
			Rupee Coin		47,17,37,000
			Government of India Rupee Securities		3862,69,31,000
			Internal Bills of Exchange and other commercial paper		..
TOTAL LIABILITIES		4365,81,79,000	TOTAL ASSETS		4365,81,79,000

Dated the 14th day of April, 1971.

(Sd.) S. JAGANNATHAN,
Governor.
[No. F. 3(3)-BC/71]

वित्त मंत्रालय
(बैंकिंग विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 16 अप्रैल, 1971

एस० नो० 1789.—9 अप्रैल, 1971 को रिजर्व बैंक ऑफ इंडिया के बैंकिंग विभाग के कार्यकलाप का विवरण

देयताएं	रुपये	आस्तियां	रुपये
चुकता पूंजी	5,00,00,000	नोट	4,82,93,000
आरक्षित निधि	150,00,00,000	रुपये का सिक्का	2,28,000
राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण—		छोटा सिक्का	3,29,000
(दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि	172,00,00,000	खरीदे और भुनाये गये बिल :—	
राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण—		(क) देशी	10,92,57,000
(स्थिरीकरण) निधि	37,00,00,000	(ख) विदेशी
राष्ट्रीय औद्योगिक ऋण—		(ग) सरकारी खजाना बिल	9,35,91,000
(दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि	95,00,00,000	विदेशों में रखा हुआ बकाया*	83,34,05,000
जमा राशियां —		निवेश**	103,73,31,000
		ऋण और अधिम :—	
(क) सरकारी—			
(i) केन्द्रीय सरकार	352,19,72,000	(i) केन्द्रीय सरकार को
(ii) राज्य सरकारें	4,20,57,000	(ii) राज्य सरकारों को@	414,65,64,000
(ख) बैंक—		ऋण और अधिम :	
(i) अनुसूचित वाणिज्य बैंक	190,75,35,000	(i) अनुसूचित वाणिज्य बैंकों को†	270,26,15,000
(ii) अनुसूचित राज्य सहकारी बैंक	12,57,73,000	(ii) राज्य सहकारी बैंकों को††	264,63,79,000
		(iii) दूसरों को	11,85,93,000

(iii) गैर अनुसूचित राज्य सहकारी बैंक	73,86,000	राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि से— ऋण, अग्रिम और निवेश	
(iv) अन्य बैंक	31,66,000	(क) ऋण और अग्रिम	
		(i) राज्य सरकारों को	41,91,77,000
		(ii) राज्य सहकारी बैंकों को	20,19,35,000
		(iii) केन्द्रीय भूमिबन्धक बैंकों को
(ग) अन्य		(ख) केन्द्रीय भूमिबन्धक बैंकों के डिबेंचरों में निवेश	9,59,42,000
देय बिल	86,17,49,000	राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (स्थिरीकरण) निधि से ऋण और अग्रिम	
अन्य देयतायें	75,66,73,000	राज्य सहकारी बैंकों को ऋण और अग्रिम	4,27,73,000
		राष्ट्रीय औद्योगिक ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि से	
		ऋण, अग्रिम और निवेश	29,83,71,000
		(क) विकास बैंक को ऋण और अग्रिम	
		(ख) विकास बैंक द्वारा जारी किये गये बांडों/डिबेंचरों	
		में निवेश
	145,34,96,000	अन्य आस्तियां	47,50,24,000
रुपये	1326,98,07,000	रुपये	1326,98,07,000

*नकदी, आवधिक जमा और अल्पकालीन प्रतिभूतियां शामिल हैं।

**राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि और राष्ट्रीय औद्योगिक ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि में से किये गये निवेश शामिल नहीं हैं।

@राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि से प्रदत्त ऋण और अग्रिम शामिल नहीं हैं, परन्तु राज्य सरकारों के अस्थायी ओवरड्राफ्ट शामिल हैं।

†रिजर्व बैंक ऑफ इंडिया अधिनियम की धारा 17 (4) (ग) के अधीन अनुसूचित वाणिज्य बैंकों को मीयादी बिलों पर अग्रिम दिये गये 143,05,30,000/- रुपये शामिल हैं।

††राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि और राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (स्थिरीकरण) निधि से प्रदत्त ऋण और अग्रिम शामिल नहीं हैं।

तारीख : 14 अप्रैल, 1971

रिजर्व बैंक ऑफ इंडिया अधिनियम, 1934 के अनुसरण में अप्रैल, 1971 की 9 तारीख को समाप्त हुए सप्ताह के लिए लेखा
इस विभाग

विवरण	रुपये	रुपये	रुपये
वैदेशी विभाग में रखे हुए नोट	4,82,93,000		182,53,11,000
संचालन में नोट	43,60,98,86,000		273,42,00,000
जारी किये गये कुल नोट		4365,81,79,000	455,95,11,000
रुपये का सिक्का			47,17,37,000
भारत सरकार की रुपया प्रतिभूतियाँ			3862,69,31,000
देशी विनिमय बिल और दूसरे वाणिज्यिक			
कुल देयताएं		4365,81,79,000	4365,81,79,000

तारीख : 14 अप्रैल, 1971

(हं) एस० जगन्नाथन,
महानगर ।

[सं० फा० 3(3)-सी० सी०/71]

S.O. 1790.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 53 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of section 9 of the said Act shall not apply, till the 5th January, 1972, to the Cochin Commercial Bank Ltd., Cochin, in respect of the properties bearing survey Nos. 17/33/16 & 28 and measuring 10 cents held by it at Pandunagaram, Chittur Taluk, Palghat District.

[No. F. 15(5)-BC/71]

एस० ओ० 1790.—बैंकिंग विनियमन अधिनियम, 1949 (1949 का दसवां) की धारा 53 के द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक की सिफारिश पर एतद्द्वारा घोषित करती है कि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 9 के उपबन्ध, कोचीन कर्मागियल बैंक लिमिटेड, कोचीन पर, जिहा पालगट, चित्तूर तालुक के पुन्नुतागराम स्थित सर्वेक्षण संख्या 17/33/16 तथा 28 की 10 सेंट्स सम्पत्तियों पर, 5 जनवरी, 1972 तक लागू नहीं होंगे।

[संख्या एक० 15(5)-बी०सी०/71]

New Delhi, the 17th April 1971

S.O. 1791.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 53 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of Section 9 of the said Act shall not apply till the 15th March, 1972, to the Hindustan Commercial Bank Ltd., Kanpur in respect of the property (plot of land) held by it at Dholpur.

[No. F. 15(9)-BC/71.]

K. YESURATNAM, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 17 अप्रैल, 1971

एस० ओ० 1791.—बैंकिंग विनियमन अधिनियम, 1949 (1949 का दसवां) की धारा 53 के द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक की सिफारिश पर एतद्द्वारा घोषित करती है, कि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 9 के उपबन्ध, हिन्दुस्तान कर्मागियल बैंक लिमिटेड, कानपुर पर जहाँ तक उतका सम्बन्ध, उसके अधीन धौलपुर स्थित सम्पत्ति भूमि (खण्ड) से है, 15 मार्च, 1972 तक लागू नहीं होंगे।

[संख्या एक० 15(9)-बी० सी०/71]

के० येसुरत्नम, अधर सचिव।

(Department of Banking)

New Delhi, the 22nd April 1971

S.O. 1792.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 53 read with Section 56 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Central Government on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of Sub-section (1) of Section 11 of the said Act shall not apply to the Sankeshwar Urban Co-operative Credit Bank Ltd., Sankeshwar for a further period of one year with effect from 1st March, 1971.

[No. F. 15-6/71 AC.II.]

L. D. KATARIA, Dy. Secy.

(बैंकिंग विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 22 अप्रैल, 1971

एस० ओ० 1792.—बैंकिंग विनियमन अधिनियम, 1949 (1949 के दसवें) की धारा 56 के साथ पठित धारा 53 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक की सिफारिश पर एतद्द्वारा यह घोषित करती है कि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा

11 की उपधारा (1) के उपबन्ध दीसवे श्वर व वन क आपरेटिव क्रेडिट बैंक लिमिटेड, सक्श्वर पर 1 मार्च, 1971 से लेकर 1 वर्ष की अलिखित व वधि के लिए लागू नहीं होंगे।

[संख्या एफ० 15-6/71- ए०सी० II]

एल० डी० कटारिया, उपसचिव ।

(Department of Revenue and Insurance)

INSURANCE

New Delhi, the 16th March 1971

S. O. 1793—In pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (2) of Section 64F of the Insurance Act, 1938 (IV of 1938), the Central Government hereby nominates the following persons to the Executive Committee of the General Insurance Council of the Insurance Association of India namely:—

1. Shri A. Rajagopalan, Officer on Special Duty & Chairman (Under clause (a) of sub-section (2) Ex-officio Additional Secretary to the Govt. of India, Ministry of Finance, Deptt. of Revenue & Insurance, New Delhi.
2. Shri C. S. Anantapadmanabhan, Controller of Member (Under clause (a) of sub-section (2) Insurance, New Delhi.
3. Shri D. E. Whittingham, Commercial Union Member (Under clause (d) of sub-section (2) Assurance Company Ltd., 3, Middleton Street, Calcutta-16.
4. Shri H. A. Whittle, Royal Insurance Co. Ltd., Member (Under clause (d) of sub-section (2) 5 & 7, Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta.
5. Shri H. D. R. Edwards, Royal Exchange Assurance Co. Ltd., Member (Under clause (d) of sub-section (2) 6, Lyons Range, Calcutta.
6. Shri G. V. Jannah, General Manager, Oriental Fire & General Insurance Co. Ltd., Oriental Building, Mahatma Gandhi Road, Bombay.
7. Shri A. L. Govindarajulu, Pioneer Fire & General Insurance Co. Ltd., Coimbatore.

[No. F. 51(1)-Ins. 1/71]

M. L. WADHAWAN, DY. SECY.

(राजस्व और बीमा विभाग)

बीमा

नई दिल्ली, 16 मार्च, 1971

का० डा० 1793—बीमा अधिनियम, 1938 (1938 का 4) की धारा 64F की उपधारा (2) के उपबन्धों के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भारतीय बीमा संगम की साधारण बीमा परिषद् की कार्यपालिका समिति के लिए एतद्वारा निम्नलिखित व्यक्तियों को नाम निर्दिष्ट करती है, यथा—

- | | |
|--|---------------------------------|
| 1. श्री ए० राजगोपालन | अध्यक्ष [उपधारा (2) के खण्ड (क) |
| विशेष ड्यूटी पर अधिकारी और एडमिनिस्ट्रेशन के अधीन] | |
| सचिव, भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय | |
| और बीमा विभाग, नई दिल्ली । | |

2. श्री सी० एस० अनन्तपद्मनाभन,
बीमा नियंत्रक, नई दिल्ली [सदस्य [उपधारा 2 के खण्ड (क) के
अधीन]
3. श्री डी० ई० ह्विटघम,
कमर्शियल यूनिजन एस्योरेस कम्पनी लिमिटेड,
3, मिडिलटन स्ट्रीट, कलकत्ता-16 सदस्य [उपधारा 2 के खण्ड (घ) के
अधीन]
4. श्री एच० ए० ह्विटल,
रायल इन्श्योरेस कम्पनी लिमिटेड, 5 और 7
नेताजी सुभाष रोड, कलकत्ता । सदस्य [उपधारा 2 के खण्ड (घ) के
अधीन]
5. श्री एच० डी० थार० एडवर्ड्स,
रायल एक्सचेंज एस्योरेस, 6, लोईन्स रेंज,
कलकत्ता । सदस्य [उपधारा 2 के खण्ड (घ) के
अधीन]
6. श्री जी० बी० जन्नाह,
महाप्रबन्धक, ओरियेंटल फायर एण्ड जनरल
इन्श्योरेस कम्पनी लिमिटेड, ओरिएण्टल
बिल्डिंग, महात्मा गांधी रोड, बम्बई । सदस्य [उपधारा 2 के खण्ड (घ) के
अधीन]
7. श्री ए० एल० गोविन्दराजुलु,
पायनीयर फायर एण्ड जनरल इन्श्योरेस कम्पनी
लिमिटेड, कोयम्बतूर । सदस्य [उपधारा 2 के खण्ड (घ) के
अधीन]

[सं० फा० 51 (1)-इंश्यो०-1/71]

मन मोहन वधावन, उप सचिव ।

(Department of Revenue and Insurance)

INCOME-TAX

New Delhi, the 31st March 1971

➤ S.O. 1794.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) (b) of Section 80G of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government hereby notifies Sri Kengal Hanumantharayaswamy Temple, Kengal to be a place of public worship of renown for the purpose of the said section.

[No. 105 (F.No. 176/5/71-IT(AI).]

B. MADHAVAN, Under Secy.

(राजस्व और बीमा विभाग)

अधिकार

नई दिल्ली, 31 मार्च, 1971

एस० ओ० 1794—आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 80 की उपधारा 2(ख) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा श्री केंगल हनुमन्तराया स्वामी मन्दिर केरल के उक्त धारा के प्रयोजन के लिए विख्यात लोकपूजा का स्थान अधिसूचित करती है ।

[सं० 105/फा० सं० 176/5/71-आई० टी० (ए० 1)]

बी० माधवन, अवर सचिव ।

(Department of Revenue and Insurance)

INCOME-TAX

New Delhi, the 19th April 1971

S.O. 1795.—In pursuance of the provisions of item (iii) of paragraph 15 of the Part B states (Taxation Concession) Order, 1950, read with clause (i) of sub-section (2) of Section 297 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Finance (Revenue Division) No. S.R.O. 1619, dated the 14th May, 1954, namely:

In the Table annexed to the said notification in the entries relating to S. No. 55, in Column 2, item (i), relating to Rangmahal Durbargadh including Building Grounds, stables and out houses, shall be omitted.

[No. 117/F. No. 22/21/63-ITA(II).]

S. N. NAUTIAL, Dy. Secy.

(राजस्व और बीमा विभाग)

अध्यक्ष

नई दिल्ली, 19 अप्रैल, 1971

एस० ओ० 1795.— आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 297 उपधारा (2) के खण्ड () के साथ पठित, भाग व राज्य (कराधान रियायतें) आदेश, 1950, के पैरा 15 की मद (iii) के उक्तियों के अनुवर्ण में भूतपूर्व वित्त मंत्रालय (राजस्व प्रभाग) की अधिसूचना संख्या का० नि० आ० 1619, तारीख 14 मई, 1954 में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है, अर्थात् :—

उक्त अधिसूचना से उदाहरण सारणी में, क्रम संख्या 55 से सम्बन्धित प्रविष्टियों में, स्तम्भ 2 में, रंगमहल दरबारगढ़, जिसमें निर्माण भूमि, अस्तबल और बहिर्गृह सम्मिलित हैं, से सम्बन्धित मद (i) लुप्त कर दी जाएगी।

[सं० 117/फा० सं० 22/21/63 आई० टी० ए० (ii)]

एम० एन० नोटियाल,

उप सचिव

(Department of Revenue and Insurance)

New Delhi, the 21st April 1971

S.O. 1796.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Life Insurance Corporation Act, 1956, (31 of 1956), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri M. V. Sohoni, Managing Director, Life Insurance Corporation of India, as a Member of the Life Insurance Corporation of India for the period upto 12th April, 1972 or upto the date on which he proceeds on leave preparatory to retirement whichever is earlier vice Shri V. H. Vora resigned.

[No. F.1(5)-Ins.11/70.]

R. K. MAHAJAN, Dy. Secy.

(राजस्व और बीमा विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 21 अप्रैल, 1971

एस० ओ० 1796.—जीवन बीमा निगम अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 31) की धारा 4 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार श्री वी० एच० बोस के त्यागपत्र के

देने के कारण उसके स्थान पर श्री एम० बी० सीहानी, प्रबन्ध निदेशक, भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को 12.4.72 तक या उस तारीख तक जिसको वह सेवा निवृत्त से पूर्व छुट्टी पर जाता है, इनमें से जो भी पूर्वतर हो, को अवधि के लिए भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम का सदस्य एतद्द्वारा नियुक्त करती है ।

[सं० फा० 1(5)-बी० II/70]

आर० के० महाजन,

उप सचिव ।

MINISTRY OF FOREIGN TRADE

(Office of the Dy. Chief Controller of Imports and Exports)

ORDER

Panjim, 19th March 1971.

S.O. 1797.—M/s. Carey & Franklin, Panjim were granted import licence No. P/E/0140984/C/XX/37/G/31-32 dated 15th October, 1970 for General Area for the import of motor vehicle parts valued at Rs. 1,000/- under I.T.C. Sr. No. 293-95-97/IV. They have applied for duplicate copies of Custom and Exchange Control Purposes of the above mentioned licence on the ground that the original Custom and Exchange Purposes copies of the licence have been lost. It is further stated that the original Custom Purposes copy and Exchange Control copies of the licence were not registered with any Custom Authority and not utilised at all.

In support of their contention the applicants have filed an affidavit on stamped paper duly attested before the First Class Magistrate, Panjim. The undersigned is satisfied that both the copies of the original licence No. P/E/0140984/CXX/37/G/31-32 dated 15th October, 1970 have been lost or misplaced and direct that a duplicate Custom Purposes Copy and Exchange Control Purposes copy of the said licence should be issued to the applicants.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under Section 9(cc) of Import (Control) Order 1955, I order the cancellation of the original Custom Purpose copy and Exchange Control Purposes copy of the licence No. P/E/0140984/C/XX/37/G/31-32 dated 15th October, 1970.

The applicants are now being issued a duplicate copy of Custom Purpose and Exchange Control Purposes of this licence in accordance with the provisions contained in para 313(I) of the I.T.C. Hand Book of Rules & Procedure, 1970.

[No. EI/293-95-97-IV/64/AM 71.]

C. K. RAMACHANDRA RAO.

Dy. Chief Controller of Imports and Exports.

विदेश व्यापार मंत्रालय

(उप-मुख्य नियंत्रक, आयात-निर्यात का कार्यालय)

आदेश

पंजीम, 16 मार्च, 1971

एस० ओ० 1797.—सर्वश्री कैरी एण्ड फ्रैंकलिन, पंजीम को सामान्य क्षेत्र से आयात व्यापार नियंत्रण क्रम संख्या 293-95-97/चार के अन्तर्गत मोटर वाहन के पुर्जों के आयात के लिए 1,000 रुपये का आयात लाइसेंस संख्या पी/ई/0140984/सी/एक्स एक्स/37/जी/31-32 दिनांक 15-10-1970 स्वीकृत किया गया था उन्होंने उपर्युक्त लाइसेंस की अनुलिपि सीमा-शुल्क तथा मुद्रा विनियम नियंत्रण प्रतियों के लिए इस आघार पर आवेदन किया है कि मूल सीमा-शुल्क तथा

मुद्रा विनिमय नियंत्रण प्रतियां खो गई हैं आगे यह बताया गया है कि लाइसेंस की मूल सीमा शुल्क तथा मुद्रा विनिमय नियंत्रण प्रति किसी भी सीमा शुल्क प्राधिकारी के पास पंजीकृत नहीं की गई थी और उनका बिल्कुल उपयोग नहीं किया गया था ।]

अपने तर्क के समर्थन में आवेदक ने प्रथम श्रेणी मजिस्ट्रेट, पंजिम के समक्ष विधिवत साक्ष्यांकित स्टाम्प कागज पर एक शपथ पत्र जमा किया है अघोहस्ताक्षरी इससे संतुष्ट है कि लाइसेंस संख्या पी/ई/0140984/सी/एक्स एक्स/37/जी/31/32, दिनांक 15-10-1970 की दोनों मूल प्रतियां खो गई हैं अथवा अस्थानस्थ हो गई हैं और निदेश देता है कि आवेदक को उक्त लाइसेंस की अनुलिपि, सीमा शुल्क तथा मुद्रा विनिमय नियंत्रण प्रतियां जारी की जानी चाहिए ।

आयात (नियंत्रण) आदेश, 1955 की धारा 9 (सी सी) के अन्तर्गत प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए मैं लाइसेंस संख्या पी/ई/0140984/सी/एक्स एक्स/37/जी/31-32, दिनांक 15-10-1970 की मूल सीमा-शुल्क तथा मुद्रा विनिमय नियंत्रण प्रतियों को रद्द करने का आदेश देता हूँ ।

आवेदक को अब, आयात व्यापार नियंत्रण नियम तथा कार्य विधि हैबुक्, 1970 की कंडिका 313(1) में निहित व्यवस्थाओं के अनुसार लाइसेंस की अनुलिपि सीमा-शुल्क तथा मुद्रा विनिमय नियंत्रण प्रतियां जारी की जा रही हैं ।

[संख्या ई० आई०/293-95-97-4/64/ए० एम० 71]

सी० के० रामचन्द्र राव,

उप-मुख्य नियंत्रक, आयात-निर्यात ।

(Office of the Chief Controller of Imports and Exports)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 19th March 1971

S.O. 1798.—M/s. Kesoram Rayon, Calcutta were granted licence No. P/D/2170459/C/XX/32/H/29-30 dated 8th July, 1969 for Rs. 1,85,000- for the import of Raw material/Components from General Currency Area. They have requested for issue of duplicate Exchange Purpose Copy of the licence on the ground that the original Exchange Purpose Copy has been lost by them. It has been further reported by the licensee that the licence was lost after utilising Rs. 1,48,435/-. The licence has been registered with Collector of Customs, Calcutta.

In response of their contention the applicant have filed an Affidavit. The undersigned is satisfied that the original Exchange Purpose Copy of licence No. P/D/2170459/C/XX/32/H/29-30 dated 8th July, 1969 has been lost and directs that a duplicate Exchange Purpose Copy of the said licence should be issued to them. The Original Exchange Purpose Copy is cancelled (A duplicate Exchange Purpose Copy of the import licence is being issued separately).

[No. Rayon/5(2)/69-70/RM-6.]

SARDUL SINGH,

Dy. Chief Controller of Imports and Exports.

(मुख्य निर्यातक, आयात-निर्यात का कार्यालय)

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 19 मार्च 1971

एस० ओ० 1798.—सर्वश्री केसवराम रेयन, कलकत्ता को सामान्य मुद्रा क्षेत्र से कच्चा माल/संघटकों के आयात के लिए 1,85,000 रुपये के लिए एक लाइसेंस सं० पी/डी/2170459/ सी एक्स एक्स/32/एच/29-30 दिनांक 8-7-69 जारी किया गया था। उन्होंने लाइसेंस की मुद्रा विनियमन नियंत्रण प्रति की अनुलिपि के लिए इस आधार पर आवेदन किया है कि मूल मुद्रा विनियमन प्रति उन से खो गई है। लाइसेंस धारी द्वारा आगे यह सूचना दी गई है कि लाइसेंस 1,48,435 रुपये का उपयोग होने के बाद खोया गया था। लाइसेंस सीमाशुल्क समाहर्ता, कलकत्ता से पंजीकृत कराया गया है।

अने तर्क के समर्थन में आवेदक ने एक शपथपत्र दाखिल किया है। अधोहस्ताक्षरी संतुष्ट है कि लाइसेंस सं० पी/डी/2170459/सी/एक्स एक्स/32/एच/29-30 दिनांक 8-7-69 की मूल मुद्रा विनियमन नियंत्रण प्रति खो गई है और निदेश देता है कि उनको उक्त लाइसेंस की मुद्रा विनियमन नियंत्रण प्रति की अनुलिपि जारी की जानी चाहिए। मूल मुद्रा विनियमन नियंत्रण प्रति रद्द की जाती है (आयात लाइसेंस की मुद्रा विनियमन नियंत्रण प्रति की अनुलिपि अलग से जारी की जा रही है)।

[संख्या रायन/5(2)/69-70/आर० एम०-6]

सरदूल सिंह,

उप-मुख्य निर्यातक, आयात निर्यात।

(Office of the Chief Controller of Imports and Exports)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 22nd March 1971

S.O. 1796.—Mr. Jivan Jiva Hathalia, P.O. Box 320 Tororo Uganda was granted Custom Clearance Permit No. P/J/2370612/N/MP/34/H/29-30, dated 9th March, 1970 for Rs. 5,000 for import of a 1959 Chevrolet Saloon Car has applied for a duplicate copy of the Custom clearance permit as the original Customs Clearance Permit has been lost. It is further stated that the original Customs Clearance Permit was not registered with any Custom House and not utilised.

In support of this contention Mr. Hathalia has filed an affidavit. He has undertaken to return the Custom Clearance Permit if traced later to this office for record. I am satisfied that the original Custom Clearance Permit No. P/J/2370612/N/MP/34/H/29-30, dated 9th March 1970, has been lost and direct that a duplicate Custom Clearance permit should be issued to him. The original Custom Clearance Permit may be treated as cancelled.

[No. F. 2(R-356)/69-70/BLS/256.]

K. N. KAPOOR,

Dy. Chief Controller of Imports and Exports.

(मुख्य निर्यातक, आयात-निर्यात का कार्यालय)

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 22 मार्च 1971

एस० ओ० 1799.—श्री जीवन जोवा हथालिया, पो० बॉक्स, 320, तोरोरो, युगान्डा को एक 1959 शेवरेल्ट सैलून कार के आयात के लिए 5000 रुपये मूल्य के लिए एक सीमा शुल्क

किासी परमिट संख्या पी/जे/2370612/एन/एम/पी/34/एच/29-30, दिनांक 9-3-70 प्रदान किया गया था। उन्हें सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट की अनुलिपि के लिए इस लिए आवेदन किया है कि मूल सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट खो गया है। आगे यह उल्लेख किया गया है कि मूल सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट किसी सीमा शुल्क कार्यालय से पंजीकृत नहीं कराया गया था और उसका उपयोग नहीं किया गया था।

इस तर्क के समर्थन में श्री हवालिया ने एक शपथ पत्र दाखिल किया है। उन्होंने ने बाद में सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट मिल जाने पर रिकार्ड के लिए कार्यालय को लौटा देने का बचन दिया है। मैं संतुष्ट हूँ कि मूल सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट संख्या पी/जे/237062/एच/एम/पी/34/एच/29-30, दिनांक 9-3-70 खो गया है और निदेश देता हूँ कि आवेदक को सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट की अनुलिपि जारी की जानी चाहिए। मूल सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट को रद्द समझा जाए।

[संख्या 2(आर-356)/69-70/वी० एल० एस०]

के० एन० कपूर,

उप-मुख्य नियंत्रक, आयात निर्यात।

(Office of the Chief Controller of Imports and Exports)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 27th March 1971

S.O. 1800.—M/s. Chowgule and Co. Private Ltd. Mormagao Harbour were granted licence No. P/CG/2040161/D/WG/18/C/H/17/CG.IV, dated 29th October, 1963 for Rs. 2,09,76,552.00 for import of Pelletization Plant and Machinery from West Germany. They have requested for the issue of duplicate Exchange Control copy of the licence on the ground that the original Exchange Control Copy of the licence has been lost by them. It has been further stated by the licensee that the licence was lost after being utilised for Rs. 1,77,61,023.35. The licence has been registered with Collector of Customs, Mormagao.

2. In support of their contention the licensee have filed an affidavit. The undersigned is satisfied that the original Exchange Control copy of the licence No. P/CG/2040161 dated 29th October, 1963 has been lost and directs that a duplicate Exchange Control Copy of the said licence may be issued to them. The original Exchange Control Copy of the import licence is also hereby cancelled.

[No. 2A(59)/63-64/CG.IV.]

H. D. GUPTA,

Dy. Chief Controller of Imports and Exports.

(मुख्य नियंत्रक, आयात निर्यात का कार्यालय)

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 27 मार्च 1971

एस० ओ० 1800.—सर्वश्री चौगुले एण्ड कम्पनी प्रा० लि०, मोर्मागोआ हार्बर को० पश्चिम जर्मनी से पेलिटिजेशन प्लान्ट तथा मशीन के आयात के लिए 2,09,76,552 रुपये का आयात लाइसेंस संख्या पी०/सी० जी०/2040161/डी/डब्ल्यू जी/18/सी/एच/17/सी जी—4, दिनांक 29-10-1963 स्वीकृत किया गया था। उन्होंने उक्त लाइसेंस की अनुलिपि मूद्रा-विनिमय-नियंत्रण प्रति के लिए इस आधार पर आवेदन किया है कि मूल-मूद्रा विनिमय नियंत्रण प्रति उनके द्वारा खो गई है। लाइसेंसधारी द्वारा आगे यह बताया गया है कि लाइसेंस के मूल्य का 1,77,61,023.35 रुपये तक उपयोग करने के पश्चात् खो गया है। लाइसेंस सीमा-शुल्क समाहर्ता, मोर्मागोआ के पास पंजीकृत किया गया है।

2. अपने तर्क के समर्थन में आवेदक ने एक शपथपत्र जमा किया है। अधोहस्ताक्षरी इससे संतुष्ट है कि लाइसेंस संख्या पी/सी जी/2040161, दिनांक 29-10-1963 की मूल मुद्रा विनिमय नियंत्रण प्रति खो गई है और उन्हें उक्त लाइसेंस की अनुलिपि मुद्रा विनिमय नियंत्रण प्रति जारी की जानी चाहिए। लाइसेंस की मूल मुद्रा विनिमय नियंत्रण प्रति एतद्वारा रद्द की जाती है।

[संख्या 2-ए (59)/63-64/सी० जी०-4]

एच० डी० गुप्ता,

उप मुख्य नियंत्रक, आयात निर्यात।

इस्पात और भारी इंजीनियरी मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 29 मार्च 1971

का० प्रो० 1421.—आवश्यक वस्तुएं/लोहा और इस्पात आवश्यक वस्तु अधिनियम, 1955 की धारा 3 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार लोहा और इस्पात (नियंत्रण) आदेश 1956 में और आगे संशोधन करने के लिए एतद्वारा निम्नलिखित आदेश बनाती है, अर्थात् :—

1. (1) इस आदेश का नाम लोहा और इस्पात (नियंत्रण) संशोधन आदेश, 1971 होगा।
- (2) यह शासकीय राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की तारीख को प्रवृत्त होगा।

2. लोहा और इस्पात (नियंत्रण) आदेश, 1956 में :—

- (i) खंड 4 उसके उपखंड (1) के रूप में पुनः संख्यांकित किया जाएगा और इस प्रकार पुनः संख्यांकित उपखंड (1) के पश्चात् निम्नलिखित उपखंड अन्तःस्थापित किया जायेगा, अर्थात् :—

“(2) कोई व्यक्ति ऐसे स्टाक के सिवाय जिसके लिए उसे उपखंड (1) के अधीन प्राधिकृत किया गया है, लोहे या इस्पात का कोई स्टाक नहीं रखेगा”;

(ii) खंड 7 में —

(क) “खंड 4 के उपबन्धों के अनुसार” शब्द और अंक लुप्त हो जायेंगे।

(ख) अन्त में “या ऐसे अर्जन के लिए उससे द्वारा किए गए आवेदन में वर्णित प्रयोजनों से भिन्न” शब्द अन्तःस्थापित किए जायेंगे ;

(iii) खंड 9 में, “व्ययन और अर्जन” शब्दों के स्थान पर “व्ययन, कब्जा या अर्जन” शब्द प्रतिस्थापित किए जायेंगे ;

(iv) खंड 10 में, बिद्यमान टिप्पण को टिप्पण 1 के रूप में संख्यांकित किया जायेगा और इस प्रकार संख्यांकित टिप्पण 1 के पश्चात् निम्नलिखित टिप्पण अन्तःस्थापित किया जायेगा, अर्थात् ;

“टिप्पण 2—लोहे और इस्पात के उन प्रवर्गों की बाबत जिन्हें इस आदेश के सभी या किन्हीं उपबन्धों से छूट दी जाए, इस खंड के अधीन प्रदत्त शक्ति का प्रयोग नियंत्रक द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार के पूर्वानुमोदन पर किया जायेगा ;

परन्तु यदि नियंत्रक को राय हो कि चुरस्त कोई भी आवश्यक है तो वह, जो कारण है उन्हें लिखित करके, केन्द्रीय सरकार के पूर्वानुमोदन के बिना ऐसी शक्तियों का प्रयोग कर सकेगा किन्तु वह शक्तियों के ऐसे प्रयोग के इक्कीस दिन के भीतर इस तथ्य की रिपोर्ट केन्द्रीय सरकार को करेगा जिस पर केन्द्रीय सरकार ऐसे आदेश पारित कर सकेगी जो वह मामले की परिस्थितियों में ठीक समझे।”

(V) खंड 11 को उसके उपखंड (1) के रूप में पुनः संख्यांकित किया जायेगा, और, (क) इस प्रकार पुनः संख्यांकित उपखंड (1) में, “नियंत्रक आदेश कर सकेगा” शब्दों के स्थान पर “इस भाग में या लोहे या इस्पात के किन्हीं प्रवर्गों के व्ययन को शासित करने वाली शक्तों में अन्तर्बिष्ट किसी बात के होते हुए भी, नियंत्रक आदेश कर सकेगा” शब्द प्रतिस्थापित किए जाएंगे।

(ख) इस प्रकार पुनः संख्यांकित उपखंड (1) के पश्चात् निम्नलिखित उपखंड अन्तः स्थापित किया जायेगा, अर्थात् :—

“(2) लोहे या इस्पात के इन प्रवर्गों की बाबत जिन्हें इस आदेश के सभी या किन्हीं उपबन्धों से छूट दी जाए, उपखंड (1) के अधीन प्रदत्त शक्ति का प्रयोग नियंत्रक द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार के पूर्वानुमोदन पर ही किया जाएगा :

“परन्तु यदि नियंत्रक को राय हो कि चुरस्त कोई भी आवश्यक है तो वह, जो कारण है उन्हें लिखित करके, केन्द्रीय सरकार के पूर्वानुमोदन के बिना ऐसी शक्तियों का प्रयोग कर सकेगा किन्तु वह शक्तियों के ऐसे प्रयोग के इक्कीसवें दिन के भीतर इस तथ्य की रिपोर्ट केन्द्रीय सरकार को करेगा, जिस पर केन्द्रीय सरकार ऐसे आदेश पारित कर सकेगी जो वह मामले की परिस्थितियों में ठीक समझे;

(VI) खंड 6 में, “त में निम्नलिखित उपखंड अन्तःस्थापित किया जायेगा, अर्थात् :—

“परन्तु इस खंड के अधीन नियंत्रक द्वारा विनिर्दिष्ट कोई शर्त उद्योग (विकास और वि. यमन) अधिनियम, 1951 और तदधीन बनाए गए नियमों के उपबन्धों के या तो असंगत, या बिना ही नहीं होगी” ;

(VII) खंड 17 के पश्चात् निम्नलिखित खंड अन्तःस्थापित किए जाएंगे, अर्थात् :—

“17क. उन्नावली गाँव की नदी में से की केन्द्रीय सरकार की शक्ति.—केन्द्रीय सरकार लोहे या इस्पात के किसी प्रवर्ग के उत्पादकों और स्टाकधारियों को या ऐसे अन्य व्यक्तियों को जिन्हें वह उत्पाद, स्टोक करने, प्रेषण और उत्पादकों या स्टाकधारियों पर छार्ज करने में अनुमरण को जाने वाली प्रक्रिया के संबंध में निर्देश देना आवश्यक समझे, निर्देश दे सकेगा कि क्या लोहे या इस्पात का ऐसा प्रवर्ग इस आदेश के सभी या किन्हीं उपबन्धों के प्रवर्तन के अधीन है या उसे सनसे छूट दी गई है :

17ब. समितियों आदि बनाने की केन्द्रीय सरकार की शक्ति (1) इस आदेश के उपबन्धों को प्रभावी करने के प्रयोजन के लिए लोहे या इस्पात के किसी प्रवर्ग की बाबत, कि क्या ऐसा प्रवर्ग ऐसे उपबन्धों के प्रवर्तन के अधीन है या उसे उनसे छूट दी गई है, केन्द्रीय सरकार शासकीय राजस्व में अधिमूल्यता द्वारा समन-समय पर ऐसी

समितियों, निकाय या प्राधिकारी स्थापित या नियुक्त कर सकेंगी जिसे वह आवश्यक समझे :

- (2) उपखंड (1) के अधीन स्थापित समिति, निकाय या नियुक्त प्राधिकारी ऐसे कार्य करेंगे जो उस अधिसूचना में विनिर्दिष्ट किए गए हों, जिसके अधीन ऐसी समिति, निकाय या प्राधिकारी की स्थापना या नियुक्ति की गई हो।

(VIII) खंड 22 के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित खंड प्रतिस्थापित किया जायेगा, अर्थात् “22, सीधे विक्रय की शक्ति—(1) नियंत्रक, लिखित आदेश द्वारा, स्क्रेप का स्टॉक धारण करने वाली किसी व्यक्ति से, चाहे उसने वह खंड 18 के उपबन्धों के अनुसार या अन्यथा अर्जित किया हो स्टॉक को संग्रह या कोई भाग ऐसे व्यक्ति या व्यक्तियों के वर्ग को और ऐसे निबन्धनों तथा शर्तों पर जो आदेश में विनिर्दिष्ट की जाएँ विक्रीत करने की छपेक्षा कर सकेगा।

- (2) स्क्रेप के उन प्रवर्गों की वास्तविकता जिन्हें इस आदेश के सभी या किन्हीं उपबन्धों से तत्सम छुट दी जाए, उपखंड (1) के अधीन प्रदत्त शक्ति का प्रयोग केन्द्रीय सरकार के पूर्वनिर्मुदल से ही किया जायेगा।

परन्तु यदि नियंत्रक की राय हो कि तुरन्त कार्रवाई आवश्यक है तो वह, जो कारण है उन्हें विविध करके, ऐसी शक्तियों का प्रयोग केन्द्रीय सरकार के पूर्वनिर्मुदल के बिना कर सकेगा किन्तु वह शक्तियों के ऐसे प्रयोग के इस्कीसबेँ दिन के भीतर इस तथ्य की रिपोर्ट केन्द्रीय सरकार को करेगा जिस पर केन्द्रीय सरकार ऐसे आदेश पारित कर सकेगी जो वह मामले की परिस्थितियों में ठीक समझे।”

(IX) खंड 23 उसके उपखंड (1) के रूप में पुनः संख्यांकित किया जायेगा और इस प्रकार पुनः संख्यांकित उपखंड (1) के पश्चात् निम्नलिखित उपखंड श्रुतः स्थापित किया जायेगा, अर्थात् :—

“(2) स्क्रेप के उन प्रवर्गों की वास्तविकता जिन्हें इस आदेश के सभी या किन्हीं उपबन्धों से तत्सम छुट दी जाए, उपखंड (1) के अधीन प्रदत्त शक्ति का प्रयोग नियंत्रक द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार के पूर्वनिर्मुदल पर ही किया जायेगा :

परन्तु यदि नियंत्रक की राय हो कि तुरन्त कार्रवाई आवश्यक है तो, वह जो कारण हैं उन्हें निम्नलिखित करके, ऐसी शक्तियों का प्रयोग केन्द्रीय सरकार के पूर्वनिर्मुदल के बिना कर सकेगा। किन्तु वह शक्तियों के ऐसे प्रयोग के इस्कीस दिन के भीतर इस तथ्य की रिपोर्ट केन्द्रीय सरकार को करेगा जिसपर केन्द्रीय सरकार ऐसे आदेश पारित कर सकेगी जो वह मामले की परिस्थितियों में ठीक समझे।”

[सं० फा० एस० सी० (1) 9(1)/69]

एम० प्रसाद, संयुक्त सचिव।

MINISTRY OF FOOD, AGRICULTURE, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND COOPERATION

(Department of Food)

New Delhi, the 20th March 1971

S.O. 1801.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 42 of the Warehousing Corporations Act, 1962 (58 of 1962), the Central Warehousing Corporation, with the previous sanction of the Central Government, hereby makes the

following regulations further to amend the Central Warehousing Corporation Employees' Provident Fund Regulations, 1962, namely:—

1. (1) These regulations may be called the Central Warehousing Corporation Employees' Provident Fund (Amendment) Regulations, 1971.

(2) Regulations 2 and 3 shall be deemed to have come into force on the 1st day of August, 1969 and regulations 4 and 5 shall come into force on the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.

2. In the Central Warehousing Corporation Employees' Provident Fund Regulations, 1962, (hereinafter referred to as the said regulations), in regulation 3, clause (c) shall be omitted.

3. In the said regulations, for regulation 7, the following regulation shall be substituted, namely:—

"7. Subscriber to the Fund.—Every employee of the Corporation shall subscribe to the Fund from the date of his joining the service under the Corporation:

Provided that any person in receipt of remuneration from the Corporation other than casual remuneration may subscribe to the fund if so permitted by the Committee."

4. In the said regulations, after regulation 14, the following regulation shall be inserted, namely:—

"14A. Withdrawal from the Fund.—Subject to the conditions specified herein, withdrawals may be sanctioned by the Committee for special reasons at any time after the completion of twenty years of service (including broken period of service, if any, and the service, if any, rendered, while in the Government service, before his permanent absorption in the service of the Corporation) of a subscriber or within ten years before the date of his retirement on superannuation, whichever is earlier, from the amount standing to his credit in the Fund, for one or more of the following purposes, namely:—

(a) Meeting the cost of higher education, including where necessary, the travelling expenses of any child of the subscriber in the following cases, namely:—

- (i) for education outside India for academic, technical, professional or vocational course beyond the High School stage, and
- (ii) for any medical, engineering or other technical or specialised course in India beyond the High School stage, provided that the course of study is for not less than three years.

(b) Meeting the expenditure in connection with the marriage of the subscriber's sons or daughters and if he has no daughter, of any other female relation dependent on him.

(c) Meeting the expenses in connection with the illness, including where necessary, the travelling expenses, of the subscriber or any person actually dependent on him.

(d) Building or acquiring a suitable house for his residence including the cost of the site or repaying any outstanding amount on account of loan expressly taken for this purpose before the date of receipt of the application for withdrawal but not earlier than twelve months of that date, or reconstructing, or making additions or alterations to a house already owned or acquired by a subscriber.

(e) Purchasing a house-site or repaying any outstanding amount on account of loan expressly taken for this purpose before the date of receipt of the application for withdrawal but not earlier than twelve months of that date.

(f) For constructing a house on a site purchased utilising the sum withdrawn under clause (e):

Provided that a subscriber who has availed himself of an advance under the Scheme of the Department of Works, Housing and Urban Development, for the grant of advances for house-building purpose, or has been allowed any assistance in this regard from any other Government source, shall not be eligible for the grant of final withdrawal under clause (d), (e) and (f) except for the express purpose of repayment of any loan taken under the aforesaid Scheme.

- (2) Any sum withdrawn by a subscriber at one time for one or more of the purposes specified in sub-regulation (1) from the amount standing to his credit in the Fund shall not exceed two-thirds of such amount. The Committee may, however, sanction the withdrawal of an amount in excess of this limit upto three-fourths of the balance at his credit in the Fund having due regard to (i) the object for which the withdrawal is being made, (ii) the status of the subscriber, and (iii) the amount standing to his credit in the Fund.
- (3) A subscriber who has been permitted to withdraw money from the Fund under this sub-regulation (1) shall satisfy the sanctioning authority within a reasonable period as may be specified by that authority that the money has been utilised for the purpose for which it was withdrawn, and if he fails to do so, the whole of the sum so withdrawn, or so much thereof as has not been applied for the purpose for which it was withdrawn shall forthwith be repaid in one lump sum together with interest thereon by the subscriber to the Fund, and in default of such payment, it shall be ordered by the sanctioning authority to be recovered from his emoluments either in a lump sum or in such number of monthly instalments as may be determined by the sanctioning authority."

5. In sub-regulation (2) of regulation 15 of the said regulations, for clause (b), the following clause shall be substituted, namely:—

- "(b) the subscriber voluntarily leaves his employment otherwise than on account of ill health or other unavoidable causes before the expiration of the term of his service or within five years of his entry into the service of the Corporation (including service, if any, rendered while in the Government service before his permanent absorption in the service of the Corporation), as the case may be."

Explanatory Memorandum

Regulation 3 of the Central Warehousing Corporation Employees' Provident Fund (Amendment) Regulations, 1971, is intended to bring regulation 7 of the Central Warehousing Corporation Employees' Provident Fund Regulations, 1962, *on par with the rule in this behalf applicable to non-pensionable servants of the Central Government* and is being given effect to from the 1st August, 1969, i.e., the month following in which it was decided to make this amendment. The omission of clause (c) of regulation 3 of the principal regulations is only consequential. The employees of the Corporation had been demanding this for quite some time. Thus, the interests of any person already in the service of the Corporation will not be prejudicially affected by reason of the retrospective operation of regulations 2 and 3 of these regulations.

[No. F. 26-8/67-SC.II.]

M. SHAMS-UD-DIN, Under Secy.

खाद्य कृषि, सामुदायिक विकास और सहकारिता मंत्रालय

(खाद्य विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 20 मार्च, 1971

का.अ. 1801.—भाण्डागारण नियम—अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 58) की धारा 42 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय भाण्डागारण निगम, केन्द्रीय सरकार की पूर्व मंजूरी से, केन्द्रीय भाण्डागारण निगमकर्मचारी भविष्य निधि विनियम, 1962 में और आगे संशोधन करने के लिए एतद्वारा निम्नलिखित विनियम बनाता है, अर्थात् :—

1. (1) ये नियम केन्द्रीय भाण्डागारण निगम कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि (संशोधन) विनियम, 1971 कहे जा सकेंगे।

(2) विनियम 2 और 3 अगस्त, 1969 के प्रथम दिन से प्रवृत्त समझे जायेंगे और विनियम 4 और 5 शासकीय राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की तारीख को प्रवृत्त होंगे।

2. केन्द्रीय भ्राण्डागारण निगम कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि विनियम, 1962 (जिन्हें इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त विनियम कहा गया है) में विनियम 3 में खण्ड (ग) लुप्त कर दिया जायगा।

3. उक्त विनियमों में, विनियम 7 के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित विनियम प्रतिस्थापित किया जायेगा, अर्थात् :—

7. “निधि में अंशदायक :—निगम का हर एक कर्मचारी निगम के अधीन सेवा में आने की तारीख से निधि में अंशदाय करेगा :

परन्तु कोई भी व्यक्ति, जो निगम से आकस्मिक पारिश्रमिक से भिन्न पारिश्रमिक पाता है, यदि समिति वैसे अनुज्ञा दे तो निधि में अंशदाय कर सकेगा।”

4. उक्त विनियमों में, विनियम 14 के पश्चात निम्नलिखित विनियम अन्तःस्थापित किया जायगा, अर्थात् :—

“14—क निधि में से धन निकालना :—इसमें विनिर्दिष्ट शर्तों के अध्वधीन, समिति अंशदायी के बीस वर्ष की सेवा पूर्ण करने के पश्चात (जिसमें निगम की सेवा में उसके स्थायी रूप से आमेलित किए जाने से पूर्व का खंडित सेवाकाल, यदि कोई हो, और सरकारी सेवा के दौरा की गई सेवा, यदि कोई हो, सम्मिलित है) या अधिवर्षिता पर उसकी सेवानिवृत्ति की तारीख से दस वर्ष के भीतर, इनमें जो भी पहले पड़े, किसी भी समय, विशेष कारणों से यह मंजूरी दे सकेगी कि निधि में अंशदाय के नाम जमा रकम में से निम्नलिखित एक या अधिक कारणों से धन निकाला जा सकेगा, अर्थात् :—

(क) अंशदायक के किसी बालक की उच्चशिक्षा के व्यय, जिसमें जहां आवश्यक हो वहां उस बालक के यात्रा-व्यय भी सम्मिलित हैं, को निम्नलिखित दशाओं में पूरा करना अर्थात् :—

(i) हाई स्कूल स्तर से आगे के शैक्षणिक, तकनीकी, बौद्धिक या व्यवसायिक पाठ्यक्रम की भारत से बाहर शिक्षा के लिए, और

(ii) भारत में हाई स्कूल स्तर से आगे के चिकित्सा, इंजीनियरी या अन्य तकनीकी या विशिष्ट पाठ्यक्रम के लिए, परन्तु यह तब जब अध्ययन पाठ्यक्रम तीन वर्ष से कम के लिए न हो।

(ख) अंशदायक के पुत्रों या पुत्रियों के और यदि उसकी कोई पुत्री नहीं है तो किसी अन्य स्त्री नातेदार के, जो उस पर आश्रित है, विवाह के सम्बन्ध में—व्ययों को पूरा करना।

(ग) अंशदायक की या किसी अन्य व्यक्ति की जो उस पर वस्तुतः आश्रित है, बीमारी के सम्बन्ध में व्ययों को जिनमें जहां आवश्यक हो वहां यात्रा व्यय भी सम्मिलित है, पूरा करना।

(घ) अपने निवास के लिए उपयुक्त गृह बनाना या अर्जित करना जिसमें स्थल की लागत या किसी बकाया रकम की वापसी भी सम्मिलित है, जो इंधन निकालने के आवेदन की प्राप्ति की तारीख से पूर्व, किन्तु उस तारीख से बारह मास से पूर्व नहीं इस प्रयोजन के लिए अभिव्यक्त रूप से लिये गए ऋण भूँ हों, या पहले से ही अंशदायक के स्वामित्व वाले उसके द्वारा अर्जित गृह का पुनर्निर्माण करना या उसमें परिवर्धन या परिवर्तन कराना।

- (ख) गृह स्थल खरीदना या किसी ऐसी बकाया रकम की वापसी जो घन निकालने के लिए आवेदन की प्राप्ति की तारीख से पूर्व, किन्तु उस तारीख से बारह मास से पूर्व नहीं, इस प्रयोजन के लिए अभिव्यक्त रूप से लिए गए ऋण बढ़ हो।
(च) ऐसे स्थल पर, जिसे खण्ड (ड) के अधीन निकाली गई राशि को उपयोग में लाकर खरीदा गया हो गृह का निर्माण :

परन्तु वह अंशदायक जिसने निर्माण, आवास और नगर विकास विभाग की गृह निर्माण प्रयोजन के लिए उधार देने की स्कीम के अधीन उधार लिया है, या जिसे किसी अन्य सरकारी बोलों से इस सम्बन्ध में कोई सहायता दी गई है, खण्ड (ब) (ड) और (च) के अधीन अन्तिम रूप से घन निकालने की मंजूरी के लिए, पूर्वोक्त स्कीम के अधीन लिए गए किसी ऋण को वापस करने के अभिव्यक्त प्रयोजन के सिवाय, पात्र नहीं होगा।

- (2) निधि में अंशदायक के नाम जमा रकम में से उप-विनियम (1) में विनिर्दिष्ट एक या अधिक प्रयोजनों के लिए एक समय में उसके द्वारा निकाली गई राशि ऐसी जमा रकम की दो तिहाई से अधिक नहीं होगी। फिर भी, समिति (i) जिस उद्देश्य के लिए घन निकाला जा रहा है उसे (ii) अंशदायक की हैसियत को और (iii) निधि में उसके नाम जमा रकम की सम्यक रूप में ध्यान में रखते हुए इस सीमा से अधिक किन्तु निधि में उसके नाम जमा अतिशेष की तीन चौथाई रकम तक निकाले जाने के मंजूरी दे सकती।

- (3) वह अंशदायक जिसे उपविनियम (1) के अधीन निधि में से घन निकालने की अनुज्ञा दी गई है, मंजूरकर्ता प्राधिकारी का ऐसी यक्तियुक्त अर्बन्ध के भीतर, जो उस प्राधिकारी द्वारा विनिर्दिष्ट की जाए यह समाधान करायगा कि घन का उपयोग उसी प्रयोजन के लिए किया गया है जिसके लिए वह निकाला गया था और यदि वह ऐसा नहीं करता तो अंशदायक द्वारा इस प्रकार निकाली गई कुल राशि या उसका उतना भाग, जो उस प्रयोजन के लिए उपयोग में नहीं लाया गया है जिसके लिए वह निकाला गया था, निधि में एक मुक्त राशि के रूप में, उस पर व्याज सहित तुरन्त वापस कर दिया जायगा और ऐसी वापसी न किए जाने पर उसे मंजूरकर्ता प्राधिकारी द्वारा उसकी उपलब्धियों में से या तो एक मुक्त या उतनी भासिक किस्तों में जितनी मंजूरकर्ता प्राधिकारी द्वारा अवधारित की जाए, वसूल करने का आदेश दिया जायगा।

5. उक्त विनियमों के विनियम 15 के उपविनियम (2) में खण्ड (ख) के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित खण्ड प्रतिस्थापित किया जाएगा, अर्थात् :—

“(ख) अंशदायक ऐसे कारणों से, जो अस्वस्थता या अन्य अपरिहार्य कारणों से भिन्न हों, यथास्थिति, अपनी सेवावधि के अवसान से पूर्व या निगम की सेवा में आने से पांच वर्ष के भीतर (जिसमें निगम की सेवा में उसके स्थायी रूप से आमंत्रित किए जाने से पूर्व सरकारी सेवा के दौरान की गई सेवा, यदि कोई हो, सम्मिलित है) अपनी नौकरी स्वेच्छापूर्वक छोड़ देता है।”

स्पष्टीकरण ज्ञापन

केन्द्रीय आण्डागारण निगम कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि (संशोधन) विनियम, 1971 के विनियम 3 का आशय केन्द्रीय आण्डागारण निगम कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि, विनियम, 1962

के विनियम 7 को इस निमित्त केन्द्रीय सरकार के पेंशन आयोध्य सेवकों को लागू नियम के स्तर पर लाना है और इसे 1 अगस्त 1969 से अर्थात् उस मास से अगले मास से जिसमें यह संशोधन कर का विनिश्चय किया गया था। प्रभावी किया जा रहा है। मूल विनियमों के विनियम 3 के खण्ड (ग) का लीप केवल पारिणामिक है। दिगम के कर्मचारी इसकी मांग बहुत पहिले से कर रहे थे। अतः निगम की पहिले से ही सेवा कर रहे किसी व्यक्ति के हितों पर इस विनियमों के विनियम 2 और 3 के प्रवर्तन को भूतलक्षी प्रभाव देने के कारण कोई प्रतिकूल प्रभावी नहीं पड़ेगा।

[सं० फा० 26-8/67-एस० जी० II]

मु० शमसुद्दीन, अवर सचिव।

(Department of Cooperation)

New Delhi, the 27th March 1971

S.O. 1802.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 5B of the Multi-Unit Co-operative Societies Act, 1942 (6 of 1942), and in supersession of all previous notifications on the subject, the Central Government hereby directs that all the powers and authority exercisable by the Central Registrar of Cooperative Societies under the said Act, shall in relation to the cooperative societies specified in column (2) of the Table below, be exercisable also by the officers specified in the corresponding entry in column (1) of the said Table, subject to the following conditions, namely:—

- (1) that the powers and authority so delegated shall in respect of the following co-operative societies be exercisable by the said officers only in relation to matters pertaining to audit, settlement of disputes, appeals, revision and review and execution of awards, decisions, decrees and orders:

1. All India Central Land Development Banks' Cooperative Union Limited, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh.
2. All India State Cooperative Banks' Federation Limited, Bombay, Maharashtra.
3. National Cooperative Union of India Limited, Delhi.
4. National Agricultural Cooperative Marketing Federation Limited, Delhi.
5. National Cooperative Consumers' Federation Limited, Delhi.
6. National Federation of Cooperative Sugar Factories Limited, Delhi.
7. National Federation of Industrial Cooperatives Limited, Delhi.
8. National Cooperative Housing Federation Limited, Delhi.
9. Indian Farmers' Fertiliser Cooperative Limited, Delhi.

- (2) that in the exercise of the powers and authority so delegated in relation to the matters specified in (1) above (other than appeals, revision and review), the said officers shall comply with such directions as may be issued by the Central Registrar of Cooperative Societies.

TABLE

Officers	Multi-unit cooperative societies
1	2
1 Registrar of Co-operative Societies for the State of Andhra Pradesh appointed under section 3 of the Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies Act, 1964.	All multi-unit co-operative societies which actually are or are deemed to be registered in the State of Andhra Pradesh.
2 Registrar of Co-operative Societies for the State of Assam appointed under section 3 of the Assam Co-operative Societies Act, 1949	All multi-unit co-operative societies which actually are or are deemed to be registered in the State of Assam.
3 Registrar of Co-operative Societies for the State of Bihar appointed under section 6 of the Bihar and Orissa Co-operative Societies Act, 1935.	All multi-unit co-operative societies which actually are or are deemed to be registered in the State of Bihar.
4 Registrar of Co-operative Societies for the State of Gujarat appointed under section 3 of the Gujarat Co-operative Societies Act, 1961.	All multi-unit co-operative societies which actually are or are deemed to be registered in the State of Gujarat.
5 Registrar of Co-operative Societies for the State of Haryana appointed under section 3 of the Punjab Co-operative Societies Act, 1961 as in force in the State of Haryana.	All multi-unit co-operative societies which actually are or are deemed to be registered in the State of Haryana.
6 Registrar of Co-operative Societies for the State of Himachal Pradesh appointed under section 3 of the Himachal Pradesh Co-operative Societies Act, 1956.	All multi-unit co-operative societies which actually are or are deemed to be registered in the State of Himachal Pradesh.
7 Registrar of Co-operative Societies for the State of Kerala appointed under section 3 of the Kerala Co-operative Societies Act, 1969.	All multi-unit co-operative societies which actually are or are deemed to be registered in the State of Kerala.
8 Registrar of Co-operative Societies for the State of Madhya Pradesh appointed under section 3 of the M. P. Co-operative Societies Act, 1960.	All multi-unit co-operative societies which actually are or are deemed to be registered in the State of Madhya Pradesh.
9 (i) Registrar of Co-operative Societies for the State of Maharashtra appointed under section 3 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960. (ii) Divisional Joint Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Bombay Division, Bombay.	All multi-unit co-operative societies which actually are or are deemed to be registered in the State of Maharashtra.
10 Registrar of Co-operative Societies for the State of Mysore appointed under section 2A of the Mysore Co-operative Societies Act, 1959.	All multi-unit co-operative societies which actually are or are deemed to be registered in the State of Mysore.
11 Registrar of Co-operative Societies for the State of Orissa appointed under section 3 of the Orissa Co-operative Societies Act, 1962.	All multi-unit co-operative societies which actually are or are deemed to be registered in the State of Orissa.
12 Registrar of Co-operative Societies for the State of Punjab appointed under section 3 of the Punjab Co-operative Societies Act, 1961.	All multi-unit co-operative societies which actually are or are deemed to be registered in the State of Punjab.
13 Registrar of Co-operative Societies for the State of Rajasthan appointed under section 3 of the Rajasthan Co-operative Societies Act, 1965.	All multi-unit co-operative societies which actually are or are deemed to be registered in the State of Rajasthan.

- | | |
|--|--|
| <p>14 (i) Registrar of Co-operative Societies for the State of Tamil Nadu appointed under section 3 of the Tamil Nadu Co-operative Societies Act, 1961.</p> <p>(ii) Joint Registrar of Co-operative Societies (Intensive Agricultural Area Programme), Tamil Nadu, Madras.</p> | <p>All multi-unit co-operative societies which actually are or are deemed to be registered in the State of Tamil Nadu.</p> |
| <p>15 Registrar of Co-operative Societies for the State of Uttar Pradesh appointed under section 3 of the Uttar Pradesh Co-operative Societies Act, 1965.</p> | <p>All multi-unit co-operative societies which actually are or are deemed to be registered in the State of Uttar Pradesh.</p> |
| <p>16 (i) Registrar of Co-operative Societies for the State of West Bengal appointed under section 9 of the Bengal Co-operative Societies Act, 1940.</p> <p>(ii) Additional Registrar of Co-operative Societies, West Bengal, Calcutta.</p> | <p>All multi-unit co-operative societies which actually are or are deemed to be registered in the State of West Bengal.</p> |
| <p>17 Registrar of Cooperative Societies for Chandigarh appointed under section 3 of the Punjab Cooperative Societies Act, 1961 as in force in the Union territory of Chandigarh.</p> | <p>All multi-unit co-operative societies which actually are or are deemed to be registered in the Union territory of Chandigarh.</p> |
| <p>18 Registrar of Co-operative Societies for Delhi appointed under section 4 of the Bombay Co-operative Societies Act, 1925 as extended to Delhi.</p> | <p>All multi-unit co-operative societies which actually are or are deemed to be registered in the Union territory of Delhi.</p> |
| <p>19 Registrar of Co-operative Societies for Goa, Daman and Diu, appointed under section 3 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960, as applied to the Union territory of Goa, Daman & Diu.</p> | <p>All multi-unit co-operative societies which actually are or are deemed to be registered in the Union territory of Goa, Daman and Diu.</p> |
| <p>20 Registrar of Co-operative Societies for Manipur appointed under section 3 of the Assam Co-operative Societies Act, 1949, as extended to Manipur.</p> | <p>All multi-unit cooperative societies which actually are or are deemed to be registered in the Union territory of Manipur.</p> |
| <p>21 Registrar of Co-operative Societies for Pondicherry appointed under section 3 of the Pondicherry Co-operative Societies Act, 1965.</p> | <p>All multi-unit co-operative societies which actually are or are deemed to be registered in the Union territory of Pondicherry.</p> |
| <p>22 Registrar of Co-operative Societies for Tripura appointed under section 4 of the Bombay Co-operative Societies Act, 1925, as extended to Tripura.</p> | <p>All multi-unit co-operative societies which actually are or are deemed to be registered in the Union territory of Tripura.</p> |
| <p>23 (i) The Director of Industries and Commerce, Tamil Nadu.</p> <p>(ii) The Joint Director of Industries and Commerce, Tamil Nadu.</p> | <p>All multi-unit industrial co-operative societies which actually are or are deemed to be registered in Tamil Nadu.</p> |

[No. 4/110 17.2/70—Coord.]

S. SATYABHAMA, Dy. Secy.

(सहकारिता विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 27 मार्च, 1971

एस० ओ० 1802.—बहुएकक सहकारिता सोसाइटी अधिनियम 1942 (1942 का 6) की धारा 5ख द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इस विषय पर सभी पूर्व अधिसूचनाओं को अधिक्रान्त करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा निदेश देती है कि उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन केन्द्रीय रजिस्ट्रार सहकारी सोसाइटी द्वारा प्रयोक्तव्य प्राधिकार, नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (2) में विनिर्दिष्ट सहकारी सोसाइटियों के संबंध में उक्त सारणी के स्तम्भ (1) में की तत्स्थानी प्रविष्टि में विनिर्दिष्ट अधिकारियों द्वारा भी निम्नलिखित शर्तों के अधीन रहते हुए प्रयोक्तव्य होंगे, अर्थात् :—

(1) कि निम्नलिखित सहकारी सोसाइटियों की बाबत इस प्रकार प्रत्यायोजित शक्तियां और प्राधिकार उक्त अधिकारियों द्वारा केवल संपरिक्षा, विवादों का निपटारा, अपील पुनरीक्षण और पुनर्विलोकन और पंचादों, विनिश्चयों, डिक्कियों और आदेशों के निष्पादन से संबंधित मामलों के संबंध में प्रयोक्तव्य होंगे :

1. अखिल भारतीय केन्द्रीय भूमि विकास बैंक सहकारी संघ लिमिटेड, हैदराबाद, आन्ध्र प्रदेश ।
2. अखिल भारतीय राज्य सहकारी बैंक परिसंघ लिमिटेड, मुम्बई, महाराष्ट्र ।
3. भारतीय सहकारी संघ लिमिटेड, दिल्ली ।
4. राष्ट्रीय कृषि सहकारी विपणन परिसंघ लिमिटेड, दिल्ली ।
5. राष्ट्रीय सहकारी उपभोक्ता परिसंघ लिमिटेड, दिल्ली ।
6. राष्ट्रीय सहकारी चीनी कारखाना परिसंघ लिमिटेड, दिल्ली ।
7. राष्ट्रीय औद्योगिक सहकारी परिसंघ लिमिटेड, दिल्ली ।
8. राष्ट्रीय सहकारी आवास परिसंघ लिमिटेड, दिल्ली ।
9. भारतीय कृषक उर्बर्क सहकारी समिति लिमिटेड, दिल्ली ।

(2) कि उपरोक्त (1) में विनिर्दिष्ट मामलों (अपील पुनरीक्षण और पुनर्विलोकन मिला) से संबंधित इस प्रकार प्रत्यायोजित शक्तियों और प्राधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए उक्त अधिकारी ऐसे निदेशों का अनुपालन करेंगे जैसे कि केन्द्रीय रजिस्ट्रार सहकारी सोसाइटी द्वारा जारी किए जाएं ।

सारणी

क्रम सं०	अधिकारी	बहुएकक सहकारी सोसाइटियां
1		2
1	आन्ध्र प्रदेश सहकारी सोसाइटी अधिनियम, 1964 की धारा 3 के अधीन नियुक्त, आन्ध्र प्रदेश राज्य के लिए रजिस्ट्रार सहकारी सोसाइटी ।	वे सभी बहुएकक सहकारी सोसाइटियां जो आन्ध्र प्रदेश राज्य में वास्तविक रूप से रजिस्ट्रीकृत हैं या रजिस्ट्रीकृत समझी गई हैं ।

1

2

- | | | |
|-------|--|---|
| 2 | असम सहकारी सोसाइटी अधिनियम, 1949 की धारा 3 के अधीन नियुक्त असम राज्य के लिए रजिस्ट्रार सहकारी सोसाइटी । | वे सभी बहुएकक सहकारी सोसाइटियां जो असम राज्य में वास्तविक रूप से रजिस्ट्रीकृत हैं या रजिस्ट्रीकृत समझी गई हैं । |
| 3 | बिहार और उड़ीसा सहकारी सोसाइटी अधिनियम, 1935 की धारा 6 के अधीन नियुक्त, बिहार राज्य के लिए रजिस्ट्रार सहकारी सोसाइटी । | वे सभी बहुएकक सहकारी सोसाइटियां जो बिहार राज्य में वास्तविक रूप से रजिस्ट्रीकृत हैं या रजिस्ट्रीकृत समझी गई हैं । |
| 4 | गुजरात सहकारी सोसाइटी अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 3 के अधीन नियुक्त गुजरात राज्य के लिए रजिस्ट्रार सहकारी सोसाइटी । | वे सभी बहुएकक सहकारी सोसाइटियां जो गुजरात राज्य में वास्तविक रूप से रजिस्ट्रीकृत हैं या रजिस्ट्रीकृत समझी गई हैं । |
| 5 | हरियाणा राज्य में यथा प्रवृत्त पंजाब सहकारी सोसाइटी अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 3 के अधीन नियुक्त हरियाणा राज्य के लिए रजिस्ट्रार सहकारी सोसाइटी । | वे सभी बहुएकक सहकारी सोसाइटियां जो हरियाणा राज्य में वास्तविक रूप से रजिस्ट्रीकृत हैं या रजिस्ट्रीकृत समझी गई हैं । |
| 6 | हिमाचल प्रदेश सहकारी सोसाइटी अधिनियम, 1956 की धारा 3 के अधीन नियुक्त, हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य के लिए रजिस्ट्रार सहकारी सोसाइटी । | वे सभी बहुएकक सहकारी सोसाइटियां जो हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य में वास्तविक रूप से रजिस्ट्रीकृत हैं या रजिस्ट्रीकृत समझी गई हैं । |
| 7 | केरल सहकारी सोसाइटी अधिनियम, 1969 की धारा 3 के अधीन नियुक्त केरल राज्य के लिए रजिस्ट्रार सहकारी सोसाइटी । | वे सभी बहुएकक सहकारी सोसाइटियां जो केरल राज्य में वास्तविक रूप से रजिस्ट्रीकृत हैं या रजिस्ट्रीकृत समझी गई हैं । |
| 8 | मध्य प्रदेश सहकारी सोसाइटी अधिनियम, 1960 की धारा 3 के अधीन नियुक्त मध्य प्रदेश राज्य के लिए रजिस्ट्रार सहकारी सोसाइटी । | वे सभी बहुएकक सहकारी सोसाइटियां जो मध्य प्रदेश में वास्तविक रूप से रजिस्ट्रीकृत हैं या रजिस्ट्रीकृत समझी गई हैं । |
| 9 (i) | महाराष्ट्र सहकारी सोसाइटी अधिनियम, 1960 की धारा 3 के अधीन नियुक्त महाराष्ट्र राज्य के लिए रजिस्ट्रार सहकारी सोसाइटी । | वे सभी बहुएकक सहकारी सोसाइटियां जो महाराष्ट्र राज्य में वास्तविक रूप से रजिस्ट्रीकृत हैं या रजिस्ट्रीकृत समझी गई हैं । |
| (ii) | मण्डल संयुक्त रजिस्ट्रार सहकारी सोसाइटी, मुम्बई मण्डल, मुम्बई । | |

1

2

- 10 मैसूर सहकारी सोसाइटी अधिनियम, 1969 की धारा 2क के अधीन नियुक्त मैसूर राज्य के लिए रजिस्ट्रार सहकारी सोसाइटी । वे सभी बहुएकक सहकारी सोसाइटियां जो मैसूर राज्य में वास्तविक रूप से रजिस्ट्रीकृत हैं या रजिस्ट्रीकृत समझी गई हैं ।
- 11 उड़ीसा सहकारी सोसाइटी अधिनियम, 1962 की धारा 3 के अधीन नियुक्त उड़ीसा राज्य के लिए रजिस्ट्रार सहकारी सोसाइटी । वे सभी बहुएकक सहकारी सोसाइटियां जो उड़ीसा राज्य में वास्तविक रूप से रजिस्ट्रीकृत हैं या रजिस्ट्रीकृत समझी गई हैं ।
- 12 पंजाब सहकारी सोसाइटी अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 3 के अधीन नियुक्त पंजाब राज्य के लिए रजिस्ट्रार सहकारी सोसाइटी । वे सभी बहुएकक सहकारी सोसाइटियां जो पंजाब राज्य में वास्तविक रूप से रजिस्ट्रीकृत हैं या रजिस्ट्रीकृत समझी गई हैं ।
- 13 राजस्थान सहकारी सोसाइटी अधिनियम 1965 की धारा 3 के अधीन नियुक्त राजस्थान राज्य के लिए रजिस्ट्रार सहकारी सोसाइटी । वे सभी बहुएकक सहकारी सोसाइटियां जो राजस्थान राज्य में वास्तविक रूप से रजिस्ट्रीकृत हैं या रजिस्ट्रीकृत समझी गई हैं ।
- 14 (i) तमिल नाडू सहकारी सोसाइटी अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 3 के अधीन नियुक्त, तमिल नाडू राज्य के लिए रजिस्ट्रार सहकारी सोसाइटी । वे सभी बहुएकक सहकारी सोसाइटियां जो तमिल नाडू राज्य में वास्तविक रूप से रजिस्ट्रीकृत हैं या रजिस्ट्रीकृत समझी गई हैं ।
- (ii) संयुक्त रजिस्ट्रार, सहकारी सोसाइटी (सचन कृषि क्षेत्र कार्यक्रम) तमिल नाडू मद्रास ।
- 15 उत्तर प्रदेश सहकारी सोसाइटी अधिनियम, 1965 की धारा 3 के अधीन नियुक्त, उत्तर प्रदेश राज्य के लिए रजिस्ट्रार सहकारी सोसाइटी । वे सभी बहुएकक सहकारी सोसाइटियां जो उत्तर प्रदेश राज्य में वास्तविक रूप से रजिस्ट्रीकृत हैं या रजिस्ट्रीकृत समझी गई हैं ।
- 16 (i) बंगाल सहकारी सोसाइटी अधिनियम, 1940 की धारा 9 के अधीन नियुक्त पश्चिमी बंगाल राज्य के लिए रजिस्ट्रार सहकारी सोसाइटी । वे सभी बहुएकक सहकारी सोसाइटियां जो पश्चिमी बंगाल राज्य में वास्तविक रूप से रजिस्ट्रीकृत हैं या रजिस्ट्रीकृत समझी गई हैं ।
- (ii) अपर रजिस्ट्रार सहकारी सोसाइटी, पश्चिमी बंगाल, कलकत्ता । वे सभी बहुएकक सहकारी सोसाइटियां जो पश्चिमी बंगाल राज्य में वास्तविक रूप से रजिस्ट्रीकृत हैं या रजिस्ट्रीकृत समझी गई हैं ।

- 17 पंजाब सहकारी सोसाइटी अधिनियम, 1961 जैसा चंडीगढ़ राज्य क्षेत्र में प्रवृत्त है, की धारा 3 के अधीन नियुक्त चंडीगढ़ के लिए रजिस्ट्रार सहकारी सोसाइटी । वे सभी बहुएकक सहकारी सोसाइटियां जो चंडीगढ़ राज्य क्षेत्र में वास्तविक रूप से रजिस्ट्रीकृत हैं या रजिस्ट्रीकृत समझी गई हैं ।
- 18 दिल्ली पर यथा विस्तारित मुम्बई सहकारी सोसाइटी अधिनियम, 1925 की धारा 4 के अधीन नियुक्त दिल्ली के लिए रजिस्ट्रार सहकारी सोसाइटी । वे सभी बहुएकक सहकारी सोसाइटियां जो दिल्ली संघ राज्य क्षेत्र में वास्तविक रूप से रजिस्ट्रीकृत हैं या रजिस्ट्रीकृत समझी गई हैं ।
- 19 गोवा, दमन और दीव संघ राज्य क्षेत्र में लागू महाराष्ट्र सहकारी सोसाइटी अधिनियम, 1960 की धारा 3 के अधीन नियुक्त गोवा, दमन और दीव के लिए रजिस्ट्रार सहकारी सोसाइटी । वे सभी बहुएकक सहकारी सोसाइटियां जो गोवा, दमन और दीव संघ राज्य क्षेत्र में वास्तविक रूप से रजिस्ट्रीकृत हैं या रजिस्ट्रीकृत समझी गई हैं ।
- 20 मणिपुर पर यथा विस्तारित असम सहकारी सोसाइटी अधिनियम, 1949 की धारा 3 के अधीन नियुक्त मणिपुर के लिए रजिस्ट्रार सहकारी सोसाइटी । वे सभी बहुएकक सहकारी सोसाइटियां जो मणिपुर संघ राज्य क्षेत्र में वास्तविक रूप से रजिस्ट्रीकृत हैं या रजिस्ट्रीकृत समझी गई हैं ।
- 21 पांडिचेरी सहकारी सोसाइटी अधिनियम, 1965 की धारा 3 के अधीन नियुक्त पांडिचेरी के लिए रजिस्ट्रार सहकारी सोसाइटी : वे सभी बहुएकक सहकारी सोसाइटियां जो पांडिचेरी संघ राज्य क्षेत्र में वास्तविक रूप से रजिस्ट्रीकृत हैं या रजिस्ट्रीकृत समझी गई हैं ।
- 22 त्रिपुरा पर यथा विस्तारित मुम्बई सहकारी सोसाइटी अधिनियम, 1925 की धारा 4 के अधीन नियुक्त त्रिपुरा के लिए रजिस्ट्रार सहकारी सोसाइटी । वे सभी बहुएकक सहकारी सोसाइटियां जो त्रिपुरा संघ राज्य क्षेत्र में वास्तविक रूप से रजिस्ट्रीकृत हैं या रजिस्ट्रीकृत समझी गई हैं ।
- 23(i) निदेशक उद्योग और वाणिज्य, तामिल-नाडू । वे सभी बहुएकक सहकारी सोसाइटियां जो तामिल नाडू में वास्तविक रूप से रजिस्ट्रीकृत हैं या
- (ii) संयुक्त निदेशक, उद्योग और वाणिज्य, तामिल नाडू : रजिस्ट्रीकृत समझी गई हैं ।

[सं० एल० 11011/2/70-को प्राई]

स० सत्यभामा, उप सचिव ।

MINISTRY OF LABOUR, EMPLOYMENT AND REHABILITATION

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 16th April 1971

S.O. 1803.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to Messrs Shantilal Khushaldas and Brothers, Vasco-da-Gama and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 31st March, 1971.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BOMBAY.**REFERENCE No. CGIT-17 of 1968****PARTIES:**

Employers in relation to M/s. Shantilal Khushaldas and Bros. Vasco-da-Gama.

AND

Their workmen.

PRESENT:

Shri A. T. Zambre, Presiding Officer.

STATE.—Union Territory of Goa.**INDUSTRY:** Stevedoring Major Ports and Decks.*Bombay dated 22nd March 1971***AWARD**

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation, Department of Labour and Employment, have by their Order No. 29(5)/68-LRIII dated 27th July 1968 referred to this Tribunal for adjudication an industrial Dispute existing between the employers in relation to M/s. Shantilal Khushaldas and Brothers, Vasco-da-Gama and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the schedule thereto annexed.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the following demands of the office staff engaged in the stevedoring-cum shipping work of Messrs. Shantilal Khushaldas and Brothers, Vasco-da-Gama are justified? If so, to what relief are they entitled, and from what date?"

- (i) The existing scales of pay should be revised.
- (ii) Dearness allowance and Interim Relief should be paid as per the recommendations of the Central Wage Board for Port and Dock Workers.
- (iii) The staff should be paid house rent allowance.
- (iv) The staff should be granted National Holidays and Port Trust holidays as enjoyed by the staff of the Port.
- (v) The staff should be granted 30 days privilege leave, which should be allowed to accumulate upto 90 days, 20 days sick leave and 12 days casual leave per year.
- (vi) The staff and their families should be entitled to free medical attention.
- (vii) Bonus should be paid as per the Payment of Bonus Act, 1965.
- (viii) A scheme of gratuity should be introduced by which every employee who has put in 5 years of service should be paid 15 days wages per year and those who have put in 10 years and 15 years of service should be paid 20 days and 30 days wages respectively as gratuity.
- (ix) The staff should be paid overtime at double the ordinary rates, particularly Drivers, Peons, for duty beyond 8 hours."

2. After the receipt of this reference order on 22nd August 1968 the first notice to the parties was issued on 28th August 1968 requiring them to file their written statements relating to the issues within two weeks of the notice and fixing the first hearing of the dispute on 7th November 1968. However, the parties did not file any written statement nor did they appear before this tribunal.

3. Subsequently, various notices were issued but neither party appeared or filed any statement. Ultimately the Tribunal issued a notice to both the parties dated

17th September 1970 fixing the hearing at Panjim on 28th September 1970 specially mentioning in the notice that the reference order was dated 27th July 1968 and various notices had been issued to the parties but they had neither attended the proceedings nor had filed any written statement so far and it appeared that they were not interested and the matter was therefore fixed for final hearing and in case the parties failed to attend the matter would be heard and decided *ex-parte* on the basis of the material available on record. In spite of this notice the parties did not appear nor did they file any statement. But again a chance was given to the parties to appear in the proceedings and notice dated 3rd March 1971 fixing the hearing on 19th March 1971 was issued. But they have not appeared nor have they filed any statement or made any request for adjournment and it appears that the parties are not interested in the dispute.

4. The reference order shows that the workmen had raised various demands in respect of their terms and conditions of service. There were about nine demands and the fact that they have not put forth any statement of claim shows that they are not interested. They have not filed any statement nor have they applied for adjournment of the hearings. They have not also appeared at any time. It therefore appears that the parties are not interested in the reference and there is no dispute subsisting between them. Hence my award accordingly.

No order as to costs.

(Sd.) A. T. ZAMBER

Presiding Officer.

[No. 29/5/68-LR.III/P&D.]

S.O. 1804.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court (No. 1) Dhanbad in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to Calcutta Port Commissioners, Calcutta and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 31st March, 1971.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT DHANBAD

In the matter of a Reference under Sec. 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947).

REFERENCE NO. 56 OF 1968

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Calcutta Port Commissioner, Calcutta.

AND

Their workmen.

PRESENT:

Shri A. C. Sen, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

For the Employers.—Shri G. V. Karlekar, Chief Labour Officer.

For the Workmen.—Shri Makhan Chatterjee, General Secretary, Calcutta Port Shramik Union.

STATE: West Bengal.

INDUSTRY: Dock/Port.

Dhanbad, dated, the 23rd March, 1971.

AWARD

The following dispute has been referred to this Tribunal under Section 10(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act:—

SCHEDULE

"Whether the claim for promotion of Shri Batukeswar Banerjee, Tindal, Crane Vessel to the post of Crane Driver of Crane Vessel on and from the 21st March, 1964 was justified? If so, what should be the relief?"

2. The reference was made by the Central Government by its Order No. 23/51/68-LR/II dated the 9th July, 1968, and the said order was received in this Tribunal on 18th July 1968.

3. The facts are not disputed and they lie within a narrow compass. Shri Batukeswar Banerjee, the workman concerned, while working as Fireman (promoted to temporary greaser) applied by a letter on 7th February 1964 for the inclusion of his name as a candidate for one of the vacancies of crane trainees. The said letter was addressed to the Chief Mechanical Engineer, Calcutta Port Commissioners. Para 1 of the letter runs as follows: "In connection with your circular No. M/1964 of 5th February 1964 I beg to offer myself as a candidate for one of the vacancies of Crane Trainees. I am working in the capacity of Fireman."

4. The Board held a trade test for the selection of the candidates for the posts of crane driver's trainees on the crane vessel 'Virbahu' on 24th February 1964. Batukeswar Banerjee refused to appear at the trade test. The report submitted by the Board shows that in the trade-test held on 24th February 1964, out of 13 candidates, 6 appeared at the test and 7 others including Batukeswar Banerjee refused to appear at the test, and only three candidates, viz., Sankarpada Mazumdar, Fireman, C. V. Atlas, Nikhil Krishna Biswas, Fireman, C. V. Atlas and Ranjit Kumar Das, Engine room Tindal, C. V. Samson passed the trade test.

5. Those who refused to appear including Batukeswar Banerjee at the trade test held on 24th February 1964 later on changed their mind and made a request for another chance to appear at the trade test. Another trade test was held at their request for the selection of candidates for the posts of crane trainees. After a theoretical test on 28th February 1964 and a practical test on 1st March 1964 Batukeswar Banerjee was found suitable for the post of a crane trainee along with two others. Thereafter Batukeswar Banerjee along with others was posted as a crane trainee.

6. After the training these crane driver's trainees were trade tested to find out their suitability for appointment to the posts of crane drivers. The first trade test was held on 21st March 1964. At this test Batukeswar Banerjee failed to qualify. Only one candidate, viz., Sankar Pada Majumdar was found suitable. At the subsequent test held on 6th May 1964 Nikhil Krishna Biswas was found suitable and at another test held on 9th September 1964 all the candidates including Batukeswar Banerjee got plucked. Thereafter by a letter dated 29th September 1964 Batukeswar Banerjee and another were asked to take keen interest in learning the job of crane driver so as to pass the trade test within two months from the date and were further informed that otherwise their names would be removed from the posts of crane driver's trainee. Subsequently at a trade test held on 9th December 1964 Batukeswar Banerjee along with Bhibhuti Bhusan Paul passed the test.

7. Batukeswar Banerjee submitted a petition to the Chief Mechanical Engineer, on 18th March, 1964 complaining against the posting of two of the junior men, Sankarpada Mazumdar and Nikhil Kumar Biswas as crane Drivers in supersession of his claim. Last two paragraphs of the said petition run thus:

"That amongst the 4 crane Trainees including myself I am the senior most one. But to my utter surprise it is noted that 2 junior men have been posted to work as Crane Drivers superseding me.

Under the circumstances I shall be highly obliged if you will kindly look into the matter and arrange for my posting as a Crane Driver which is my legitimate claim being the senior most one."

8. It is not disputed that Sankarpada Mazumdar, one of the crane driver's trainees, passed the trade test for the selection of crane drivers from among the trainees on 21st March 1964 and Nikhil Kumar Biswas passed a similar test on 6th May 1964. It is also not disputed that Batukeswar Banerjee passed a similar test at the third chance on 9th December 1964.

9. It is the common case that the question of promotion in this case is to be decided in accordance with the rules laid down in the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal at Calcutta (Das Gupta Tribunal) given in Reference No. 1 of 1956. In support of the claim of Batukeswar Banerjee Mr. Chatterji appearing on behalf of the workmen referred to the following rules laid down in the said award:

"27(b). Vacancies in higher grades shall be filled up from among the lower grades. Direct recruitment to the higher grades may be resorted to only when suitable persons are not available from among the workmen in the lower grades.

- 27(c). . . . Promotion to a post shall be from the grade just below and the claim of workmen further down shall be considered only when a suitable man is not available from the grade just below."

Reference was made to this rule to indicate the suitability of Batukeswar Banerjee for the post of a crane driver, because all the relevant time he was not in a grade just below the post of a crane driver. I may point out that the employers too do not dispute the suitability of Batukeswar Banerjee for the post of a crane driver. The only question involved in this case is whether he should have been appointed a crane driver with effect from 21st March 1964 in preference to Sankarpada Mazumdar. The relevant rule for this purpose is contained in clauses (e), (f) and (g) of rule 27 of the rules laid down in the Award in Reference No. 1 of 1956. Those clauses are quoted below:

- "(e) The existing practice of holding tests to determine suitability of persons for a job shall continue.
- (f) If at a particular test more workmen than what are required are found suitable for a job, those who could not be taken in at the time shall be placed in a waiting list in order of seniority and shall be called upon to fill up vacancies as and when they crop in future. A list of successful candidates indicating the names of those who have been taken in and of those who are in the waiting list shall be posted on the Notice Board of the Recruiting Office.
- (g) A workman once passed over shall not be debarred permanently for promotion. He may be admitted to a subsequent test and if he passes the test, he will be considered for promotion only after all the persons who had passed the previous tests as well as those who are senior to him and have qualified at the subsequent test been absorbed."

10. At the test held on 21st March 1964, Sankarpada Mazumdar alone passed and Batukeswar Banerjee failed to qualify. Therefore on 21st March 1964 Batukeswar Banerjee could not claim to be appointed as a crane driver in preference to Sankarpada Mazumdar even if it be conceded that on that date he was senior to Sankarpada Mazumdar. I am, therefore, constrained to hold that the claim for promotion of Batukeswar Banerjee to the post of Crane Driver of Crane Vessel on and from the 21st March, 1964 was not justified. That being the position he is not entitled to any relief. It transpires from the service book of Batukeswar Banerjee that he acted as a crane driver for 10 days from 4th September 1964 to 13th September 1964 prior to his passing the trade test. But that is wholly irrelevant to the present dispute.

11. My award, therefore, is as follows. The claim for promotion of Batukeswar Banerjee to the post of Crane Driver of Crane Vessel on and from the 21st March, 1964 was not at all justified and consequently he is not entitled to any relief.

12. A copy of this award may be forwarded to the Central Government under Sec. 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act.

(Sd.) A. C. SEN,

Presiding Officer.

[No. 28/51/68-LR.III/P&D]

New Delhi, the 21st April 1971

S.O. 1805.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948, the Central Government hereby makes the following Scheme further to amend the Madras Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1956, the same having been previously published as required by the said sub-section, namely:—

1. This Scheme may be called the Madras Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Amendment Scheme, 1971.

2. In clause 45 of the Madras Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1956 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme), for item (b) of sub-clause (3) the following item shall be substituted, namely:—

- "(b) Where a worker has been suspended by an order under item (a), he shall be paid for the first ninety days from the date of suspension, a subsistence allowance to one-half of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances to which he would have been entitled if he were

on leave with wages, and thereafter the Chairman may, in exceptional cases, grant higher subsistence allowance not exceeding three-fourths of such basic wages, dearness and other allowances:

Provided that where such enquiry is prolonged beyond a period of ninety days for reasons directly attributable to the worker, the subsistence allowance shall, for the period exceeding ninety days, be reduced to one-fourth of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances."

3. In clause 46 of the said Scheme, for item (a) of sub-clause (4-A) the following item shall be substituted, namely:—

"(a) Where a worker has been suspended pending enquiry, he shall be paid for the first ninety days from the date of suspension, a subsistence allowance to one-half of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances to which he would have been entitled if he were on leave with wages, and thereafter the Chairman may, in exceptional cases, grant higher subsistence allowance not exceeding three-fourths of such basic wages, dearness and other allowances:

Provided that where such enquiry is prolonged beyond a period of ninety days for reasons directly attributable to the worker, the subsistence allowance shall, for the period exceeding ninety days, be reduced to one-fourth of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances."

4. In clause 51 of the said Scheme, for item (a) of sub-clause (3-A) the following item shall be substituted namely:—

"(a) Where a worker has been suspended pending enquiry, he shall be paid for the first ninety days from the date of suspension, a subsistence allowance to one-half of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances to which he would have been entitled if he were on leave with wages, and thereafter the Chairman may, in exceptional cases, grant higher subsistence allowance not exceeding three-fourths of such basic wages, dearness and other allowances:

Provided that where such enquiry is prolonged beyond a period of ninety days for reasons directly attributable to the worker, the subsistence allowance shall, for the period exceeding ninety days, be reduced to one-fourth of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances."

[No. 528/183/65-Fac. II/P & D.]

श्रम रोजगार और पुनर्वास मंत्रालय

(श्रम और रोजगार विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 21 अप्रैल 1971

का० आ० 1805—डांक कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) अधिनियम 1948 की धारा 4 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार मद्रास डांक कर्मकार-नियोजन का विनियमन) स्कीम 1956 में और संशोधन करने के लिए निम्नलिखित स्कीम, जिसे उक्त उप-धारा द्वारा यथा अपेक्षित पहले ही प्रकाशित किया जा चुका है, एतद्वारा बनाती है, अर्थात् :—

1. स स्कीम का नाम मद्रास डांक कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) संशोधन स्कीम, 1971 होगा।

2. मद्रास डांक कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) स्कीम, 1956 (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है,) के खण्ड 45 में उपखण्ड (3) की मद (ख) के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित मद प्रतिस्थापित की जाएगी, अर्थात् :—

"(ख) जहाँ किसी कर्मकार को मद (क) के अन्वीन अदेश द्वारा निलम्बित किया गया हो, वहाँ उसे निलम्बित की तारीख से प्रथम नव्वे दिन के लिए

उस आधार्मिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के लिये आद्य के समतुल्य निर्वाह भत्ता संदत्त किया जाएगा जिसका वह मजदूरी-सहित-छुट्टी पर होने की दशा में हकदार होता, और उसके पश्चात् अग्र्यक्ष, असाधारण दशाओं में, ऐसा उच्चतर निर्वाह-भत्ता मंजूर कर सकेगा जो ऐसी आधार्मिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के तीन-चौथाई से अधिक न हो ।

परन्तु जहाँ ऐसी जांच, ऐसे कारणों से, जिन के लिए कर्मकार ही प्रत्यक्ष रूप से जिम्मेदार है, नब्बे दिन की अवधि के बाद भी चलती रहे तो वहाँ नब्बे दिन से अधिक की अवधि वाले निर्वाह-भत्ते को घटा कर, आधार्मिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के एक-चौथाई के समतुल्य कर दिया जाएगा ।”

3. उक्त स्कीम के खण्ड 46 में, उपखण्ड (4-क) की मद (क) के स्थान पर निम्न-लिखित मद प्रतिस्थापित की जाएगी, अर्थात् :—

“(क) जहाँ किसी कर्मकार को जांच के लम्बित रहने तक निलम्बित किया गया हो वहाँ उसे निलम्बन की तारीख से प्रथम नब्बे दिन के लिए उस आधार्मिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के आधे के समतुल्य निर्वाह-भत्ता संदत्त किया जाएगा, जिसका वह मजदूरी-सहित-छुट्टी पर होने की दशा में हकदार होता और उसके पश्चात् अग्र्यक्ष असाधारण दशाओं में ऐसा उच्चतर निर्वाह भत्ता मंजूर कर सकेगा जो ऐसी आधार्मिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के तीन-चौथाई से अधिक न हो ;

परन्तु जहाँ ऐसी जांच ऐसे कारणों से जिन के लिए कर्मकार ही प्रत्यक्ष रूप से जिम्मेदार है, नब्बे दिन की अवधि के बाद भी चलती रहे तो वहाँ नब्बे दिन से अधिक की अवधि वाले निर्वाह-भत्त को घटा कर आधार्मिक मजदूरी महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के एक-चौथाई के समतुल्य कर दिया जाएगा ।”

4. उक्त स्कीम के खण्ड 51 में उपखण्ड (3-क) की मद (क) के स्थान पर निम्न-लिखित मद प्रतिस्थापित की जाएगी अर्थात् :—

“(क) जहाँ किसी कर्मकार को जांच के लम्बित रहने तक निलम्बित किया गया हो वहाँ उसे निलम्बन की तारीख से प्रथम नब्बे दिन के लिए उस आधार्मिक मजदूरी महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के आधे के समतुल्य निर्वाह-भत्ता संदत्त किया जाएगा जिसका वह मजदूरी-सहित-छुट्टी पर होने की दशा में हकदार होता और उसके पश्चात् अग्र्यक्ष असाधारण दशाओं में ऐसा उच्चतर निर्वाह भत्ता मंजूर कर सकेगा जो ऐसी आधार्मिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के तीन-चौथाई से अधिक न हो ।

परन्तु जहाँ ऐसी जांच ऐसे कारणों से, जिन के लिए कर्मकार ही प्रत्यक्ष रूप से जिम्मेदार है, नब्बे दिन की अवधि के बाद भी चलती रहे तो वहाँ नब्बे दिन से अधिक की अवधि वाले निर्वाह-भत्ते को घटा कर आधार्मिक मजदूरी महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के एक-चौथाई के समतुल्य कर दिया जाएगा ।”

[सं० 528/183/65-वै०-221/वी० एंड डी०]

S.O. 1806.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948, the Central Government hereby makes the following Scheme further to amend the Bombay Chipping and Painting Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1969, the same having been previously published as required by the said sub-section, namely:—

1. This Scheme may be called the Bombay Chipping and Painting Workers (Regulation of Employment) Amendment Scheme, 1971.

2. In clause 44 of the Bombay Chipping and Painting Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1969 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme), for item (b) of sub-clause (3) the following item shall be substituted, namely:—

“(b) Where a worker has been suspended by an order under item (a), he shall be paid for first ninety days from the date of suspension, a subsistence allowance to one-half of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances to which he would have been entitled if he were on leave with wages, and thereafter the Chairman may, in exceptional cases, grant higher subsistence allowance not exceeding three-fourth of such basic wages, dearness and other allowances:

Provided that where such enquiry is prolonged beyond a period of ninety days for reasons directly attributable to the worker, the subsistence allowance shall, for the period exceeding ninety days, be reduced to one-fourth of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances.”

3. In clause 45 of the said Scheme, for item (a) of sub-clause (5) the following item shall be substituted, namely:—

“(a) Where a worker has been suspended pending enquiry, he shall be paid for the first ninety days from the date of suspension, a subsistence allowance to one-half of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances to which he would have been entitled if he were on leave with wages and thereafter the Chairman may, in exceptional cases, grant higher subsistence allowance not exceeding three-fourths of such basic wages, dearness and other allowances:

Provided that where such enquiry is prolonged beyond a period of ninety days for reasons directly attributable to the worker, the subsistence allowance shall, for the period exceeding ninety days, be reduced to one-fourth of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances.”

4. In clause 50 of the said scheme, for item (a) of sub-clause (3)(iii) the following item shall be substituted, namely:—

“(a) Where a worker has been suspended pending enquiry, he shall be paid for the first ninety days from the date of suspension, a subsistence allowance to one-half of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances to which he would have been entitled if he were on leave with wages and thereafter the Chairman may, in exceptional cases, grant higher subsistence allowance not exceeding three-fourths of such basic wages, dearness and other allowances:

Provided that where such enquiry is prolonged beyond a period of ninety days for reasons directly attributable to the worker, the subsistence allowance shall, for the period exceeding ninety days, be reduced to one-fourth of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances.”

[No. 528/183/65-Fac. II/P & D.]

क्र० आ० 1806.— डाक कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) अधिनियम 1948 की धारा 4 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार मुम्बई छंटाई और रंगाई कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) स्कीम, 1969 में और संशोधन करने के लिए निम्नलिखित स्कीम, जिसे उक्त उप-धारा द्वारा यथा अपेक्षित पहले ही काशित किया जा चुका है, एतद्वारा बनाती है अर्थात्:—

1. इस स्कीम का नाम मुम्बई छंटाई और रंगाई कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) संशोधन स्कीम, 1971 होगा।

2. मम्बई छंटाई और रंगाई कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) स्कीम 1969 (जिसे

इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के खण्ड 4.4 में उपखण्ड (3) की मद (ख) के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित मद प्रतिस्थापित की जाएगी अर्थात् :—

“(ख) जहाँ किसी कर्मकार को मद (क) के अधीन आदेश द्वारा निलम्बित किया गया हो वहाँ उसे निलम्बन की तारीख से प्रथम नव्वे दिन के लिए उस आधार्तिक मजदूरी, मंहगाई और अन्य भत्तों के आधे के समतुल्य निर्बाह-भत्ता संदत्त किया जाएगा जिसका वह मजदूरी-सहित-छूटी पर होने की दशा में हकदार होता और उसके पश्चात् अध्यक्ष असाधारण दशाओं में ऐसा उच्चतर निर्बाह भत्ता मंजूर कर सकेगा जो ऐसी आधार्तिक मजदूरी, मंहगाई और अन्य भत्तों के तीन-चौथाई से अधिक न हो :

परन्तु जहाँ ऐसी जांच ऐसे कारणों से जिनके लिए कर्मकार ही प्रत्यक्ष रूप से जिम्मेदार है नव्वे दिन की अवधि के बाद भी चलती रहे तो वहाँ नव्वे दिन से अधिक की अवधि वाले निर्बाह-भत्ते को घटा कर, आधार्तिक मजदूरी, मंहगाई और अन्य भत्तों के एक-चौथाई के समतुल्य कर दिया जाएगा।”

3. उक्त स्कीम के खण्ड 4.5 में, उपखण्ड (5) की मद (क) के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित मद प्रतिस्थापित की जाएगी, अर्थात् :—

“(क) जहाँ किसी कर्मकार को जांच के लम्बित रहने तक निलम्बित किया गया हो, वहाँ उसे निम्नलिखित की तारीख से प्रथम नव्वे दिन के लिए उस आधार्तिक मजदूरी, मंहगाई और अन्य भत्तों के आधे के समतुल्य निर्बाह-भत्ता संदत्त किया जाएगा, जिसका वह मजदूरी-सहित-छूटी पर होने की दशा में हकदार होता और उसके पश्चात् अध्यक्ष असाधारण दशाओं में, ऐसा उच्चतर निर्बाह भत्ता मंजूर कर सकेगा जो ऐसी आधार्तिक मजदूरी, मंहगाई और अन्य भत्तों के तीन-चौथाई से अधिक न हो :

परन्तु जहाँ ऐसी जांच ऐसे कारणों से जिनके लिए कर्मकार ही प्रत्यक्ष रूप से जिम्मेदार है नव्वे दिन की अवधि के बाद भी चलती रहे तो वहाँ नव्वे दिन से अधिक की अवधि वाले निर्बाह-भत्ते को घटा कर आधार्तिक मजदूरी, मंहगाई और अन्य भत्तों के एक-चौथाई के समतुल्य कर दिया जाएगा।”

4. उक्त स्कीम के खण्ड 5.0 में उपखण्ड (3) (iii) की मद (क) के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित मद प्रतिस्थापित की जाएगी अर्थात् :—

“(क) जहाँ किसी कर्मकार को जांच के लम्बित रहने तक निलम्बित किया गया हो वहाँ उसे निलम्बन की तारीख से प्रथम नव्वे दिन के लिए उस आधार्तिक मजदूरी, मंहगाई और अन्य भत्तों के आधे के समतुल्य निर्बाह-भत्ता संदत्त किया जाएगा जिसका वह मजदूरी-सहित-छूटी पर होने की दशा में हकदार होता और उसके पश्चात् अध्यक्ष असाधारण दशाओं में ऐसी उच्चतर निर्बाह-भत्ता मंजूर कर सकेगा जो ऐसी आधार्तिक मजदूरी, मंहगाई और अन्य भत्तों के तीन-चौथाई से अधिक न हो :

परन्तु जहाँ ऐसी जांच ऐसे कारणों से जिनके लिए कर्मकार ही प्रत्यक्ष रूप से जिम्मेदार है नव्वे दिन की अवधि के बाद भी चलती रहे तो वहाँ नव्वे दिन से अधिक

की अवधि वाले निर्वाह-भत्ते को घटा कर आधारीक मजदूरी, मंहगाई और अन्य भत्तों के एक-चौथाई के समतुल्य कर दिया जाएगा।"

[सं० 528/183/65-फैक्. 2/पी एंड डी]

S.O. 1807.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4, the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948, the Central Government hereby makes the following Scheme further to amend the Bombay Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1956, the same having been previously published as required by the said sub-section, namely:

1. This Scheme may be called the Bombay Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Amendment Scheme, 1971.

(2) In clause 44 of the Bombay Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1956 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme), for item (b) of sub-clause (3) the following item shall be substituted, namely:—

"(b) Where a worker has been suspended by an order under item (a), he shall be paid for the first ninety days from the date of suspension, a subsistence allowance to one-half of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances to which he would have been entitled if he were on leave with wages, and thereafter the Chairman may, in exceptional cases, grant higher subsistence allowance not exceeding three-fourths of such basic wages, dearness and other allowances:

Provided that where such enquiry is prolonged beyond a period of ninety days for reasons directly attributable to the workers, the subsistence allowance shall, for the period exceeding ninety days, be reduced to one-fourth of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances."

3. In clause 45 of the said Scheme, for item (a) of sub-clause (4-A) the following item shall be substituted, namely:—

"(a) Where a worker has been suspended pending enquiry, he shall be paid for the first ninety days from the date of suspension, a subsistence allowance to one-half of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances to which he would have been entitled if he were on leave with wages, and thereafter the Chairman may, in exceptional cases, grant higher subsistence allowance not exceeding three-fourths of such basic wages, dearness and other allowances:

Provided that where such enquiry is prolonged beyond a period of ninety days for reasons directly attributable to the workers, the subsistence allowance shall, for the period exceeding ninety days, be reduced to one-fourth of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances."

4. In clause 50 of the said Scheme, for item (a) of sub-clause (3-A), the following item shall be substituted, namely:—

"(a) Where a worker has been suspended pending enquiry, he shall be paid for the first ninety days from the date of suspension, a subsistence allowance to one-half of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances to which he would have been entitled if he were on leave with wages, and thereafter the Chairman may, in exceptional cases, grant higher subsistence allowance not exceeding three-fourths of such basic wages, dearness and other allowances:

Provided that where such enquiry is prolonged beyond a period of ninety days for reasons directly attributable to the worker, the subsistence allowance shall, for the period exceeding ninety days, be reduced to one-fourth of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances."

[No. 528/183/65-Fac.II/P&D.]

का० आ० 1807—डाक कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) अधिनियम, 1948 की धारा 4 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार मुम्बई डाक कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) स्कीम, 1956 में और संशोधन करने के लिए निम्नलिखित स्कीम, जिसे उक्त उप धारा द्वारा यथा अपेक्षित पहले ही प्रकाशित किया जा चुका है, एतद्वारा बनाती है, अर्थात्:—

1. इस स्कीम का नाम मुम्बई डाक कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) संशोधन स्कीम, 1971 होगा।

2. गुरुबई हाक कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) स्कीम, 1956 (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के खण्ड 4.4 में, उपखण्ड (3) की मद (ख) के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित मद प्रतिस्थापित की जाएगी, अर्थात् :—

“(ख) जहाँ किसी कर्मकार को मद (क) के अधीन आदेश द्वारा निलम्बित किया गया हो, वहाँ उसे निलम्बन की तारीख से प्रथम नब्बे दिन के लिए उस आधारिक मजदूरी, मंहगाई और अन्य भत्तों के आधे के समतुल्य निर्वाह-भत्ता संदत्त किया जाएगा, जिसका वह मजदूरी सहित छुट्टी पर होने की दशा में हकदार होता, और उसके पश्चात् अध्यक्ष, असाधारण दशाओं में, ऐसा उच्चतर निर्वाह-भत्ता मंजूर कर सकेगा जो ऐसी आधारिक मजदूरी, मंहगाई और अन्य भत्तों के तीन-चौथाई से अधिक न हो :

परन्तु जहाँ ऐसी जांच, ऐसे कारणों से, जिनके लिए कर्मकार ही प्रत्यक्ष रूप से जिम्मेदार है, नब्बे दिन की अवधि के बाद भी चलती रहे तो वहाँ नब्बे दिन से अधिक की अवधि वाले निर्वाह-भत्त को घटाकर, आधारिक मजदूरी, मंहगाई और अन्य भत्तों के एक-चौथाई के समतुल्य कर दिया जाएगा ।”

3. उक्त स्कीम के खण्ड 4.5 में, उपखण्ड (4-क) की मद (क) के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित मद प्रतिस्थापित की जाएगी, अर्थात् :—

“(क) जहाँ किसी कर्मकार को जांच के लक्षित रहने तक निलम्बित किया गया हो, वहाँ उसे निलम्बन की तारीख से प्रथम नब्बे दिन के लिए उस आधारिक मजदूरी, मंहगाई और अन्य भत्तों के आधे के समतुल्य निर्वाह-भत्ता संदत्त किया जाएगा, जिसका वह मजदूरी सहित छुट्टी पर होने की दशा में हकदार होता और उसके पश्चात् अध्यक्ष असाधारण दशाओं में, ऐसा उच्चतर निर्वाह-भत्ता मंजूर कर सकेगा जो ऐसी आधारिक मजदूरी, मंहगाई और अन्य भत्तों के तीन-चौथाई से अधिक न हो :

परन्तु जहाँ ऐसी जांच ऐसे कारणों से, जिनके लिए कर्मकार ही प्रत्यक्ष रूप से जिम्मेदार है, नब्बे दिन की अवधि के बाद भी चलती रहे तो वहाँ नब्बे दिन से अधिक की अवधि वाले निर्वाह-भत्ते को घटा कर, आधारिक मजदूरी, मंहगाई और अन्य भत्तों के एक-चौथाई के समतुल्य कर दिया जाएगा ।”

4. उक्त स्कीम के खण्ड 5.0 में, उपखण्ड (3-क) की मद (क) के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित मद प्रतिस्थापित की जाएगी, अर्थात् :—

“(क) जहाँ किसी कर्मकार को जांच के लक्षित रहने तक निलम्बित किया गया हो, वहाँ उसे निलम्बन की तारीख से प्रथम नब्बे दिन के लिए उस आधारिक मजदूरी मंहगाई और अन्य भत्तों के आधे के समतुल्य निर्वाह-भत्ता संदत्त किया जाएगा, जिसका वह मजदूरी सहित छुट्टी पर होने की दशा में हकदार होता, और उसके पश्चात् अध्यक्ष, असाधारण दशाओं में, ऐसा उच्चतर निर्वाह-भत्ता मंजूर कर सकेगा जो ऐसी आधारिक मजदूरी, मंहगाई और अन्य भत्तों के तीन-चौथाई से अधिक न हो ।

परन्तु जहाँ ऐसी जांच ऐसे कारणों से, जिनके लिए कर्मकार ही प्रत्यक्ष रूप से जिम्मेदार है, नब्बे दिन की अवधि के बाद भी चलती रहे तो वहाँ नब्बे दिन से अधिक की अवधि वाले निर्वाह-भत्ते को घटा कर आधारिक मजदूरी मंहगाई और अन्य भत्तों के एक-चौथाई के समतुल्य कर दिया जाएगा ।”

[संख्या 528/183/65-श्रेक० 2/पी० एंड डी०]

S.O. 1808.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948, the Central Government hereby makes the following Scheme further to amend the Kandla Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1968, the same having been previously published as required by the said sub-section, namely:—

1. This scheme may be called the Kandla Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Amendment Scheme, 1971.

2. In clause 46 of the Kandla Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1968 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme), for item (b) of sub-clause (3), the following item shall be substituted namely:—

“(b) Where a worker has been suspended by an order under item (a), he shall be paid for the first ninety days from the date of suspension, a subsistence allowance to one-half of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances to which he would have been entitled if he were on leave with wages, and thereafter the Chairman may, in exceptional cases, grant higher subsistence allowance not exceeding three-fourths of such basic wages, dearness and other allowances:

Provided that where such enquiry is prolonged beyond a period of ninety days for reasons directly attributable to the workers, the subsistence allowance shall, for the period exceeding ninety days, be reduced to one-fourth of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances.”

3. In clause 47 of the said Scheme, for item (a) of sub-clause (5), the following item shall be substituted, namely:—

“(a) Where a worker has been suspended pending enquiry, he shall be paid for the first ninety days from the date of suspension, a subsistence allowance to one-half of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances to which he would have been entitled if he were on leave with wages, and thereafter the Chairman may, in exceptional cases, grant higher subsistence allowance not exceeding three-fourths of such basic wages, dearness and other allowances:

Provided that where such enquiry is prolonged beyond a period of ninety days for reasons directly attributable to the workers, the subsistence allowance shall, for the period exceeding ninety days, be reduced to one-fourth of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances.”

4. In clause 53 of the said Scheme, for item (a) of sub-clause (2)(iii), the following item shall be substituted, namely:—

“(a) Where a worker has been suspended pending enquiry, he shall be paid for the first ninety days from the date of suspension, a subsistence allowance to one-half of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances to which he would have been entitled if he were on leave with wages, and thereafter the Chairman may, in exceptional cases, grant higher subsistence allowance not exceeding three-fourths of such basic wages, dearness and other allowances:

Provided that where such enquiry is prolonged beyond a period of ninety days for reasons directly attributable to the workers, the subsistence allowance shall, for the period exceeding ninety days, be reduced to one-fourth of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances.”

[No. 528/165/65-Fac. II/P&D.]

का० आ० 1808.—डाक कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) अधिनियम, 1948 की धारा 4 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार कांडला डाक कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) स्कीम, 1968 में और संशोधन करने के लिए निम्नलिखित स्कीम, जिसे उक्त उप-धारा द्वारा यथा अपेक्षित पहले ही प्रकाशित किया जा चुका है, पृष्ठद्वारा बनाती है, अर्थात्:—

1. इस स्कीम का नाम कांडला डाक कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) संशोधन स्कीम, 1971 होगा।

2. कान्डला डांक कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) स्कीम, 1968 (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के खण्ड 46 में, उपखण्ड (3) की मद (ख) के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित मद प्रतिस्थापित की जाएगी, अर्थात् :—

(ख) "जहां किसी कर्मकार को मद (क) के अधीन आदेश द्वारा निलम्बित किया गया हो, वहां उसे निलम्बन की तारीख से प्रथम नब्बे दिन के लिए उस आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के आधे के समतुल्य निर्वाह भत्ता संदत्त किया जाएगा, जिसका वह मजदूरी, सहित छुट्टी पर होने की दशा में हकदार होता, और उसके पश्चात् अग्र्यक्ष असाधारण दशाओं में, ऐसा उच्चतर निर्वाह-भत्ता मंजूर कर सकेगा जो ऐसी आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के तीन-चौथाई से अधिक न हो।

परन्तु जहां ऐसा जांच, ऐसे कारणों से जिनके लिए कर्मकार ही प्रत्यक्ष रूप से जिम्मेदार है, नब्बे दिन की अवधि के बाद भी चलती रहे तो वहां नब्बे दिन से अधिक की अवधि वाले निर्वाह-भत्ते को घटा कर आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के एक-चौथाई के समतुल्य कर दिया जाएगा।"

3. उक्त स्कीम के खण्ड 47 में, उपखण्ड (5) की मद (क) के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित मद प्रतिस्थापित की जाएगी, अर्थात् :—

"(क) जहां किसी कर्मकार को जांच के लम्बित रहने तक निलम्बित किया गया हो, वहां उसे निलम्बन की तारीख से प्रथम नब्बे दिन के लिए उस आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के आधे के समतुल्य निर्वाह भत्ता संदत्त किया जाएगा, जिसका वह मजदूरी सहित छुट्टी पर होने की दशा में हकदार होता, और उस के पश्चात् अग्र्यक्ष असाधारण दशाओं में, ऐसा उच्चतर निर्वाह भत्ता मंजूर कर सकेगा जो ऐसी आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के तीन-चौथाई से अधिक न हो :

परन्तु जहां ऐसी जांच ऐसे कारणों से, जिनके लिए कर्मकार ही प्रत्यक्ष रूप से जिम्मेदार है, नब्बे दिन की अवधि के बाद भी चलती रहे तो वहां नब्बे दिन से अधिक की अवधि वाले निर्वाह-भत्ते को घटा कर आधार्तिक मजदूरी महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के एक-चौथाई के समतुल्य कर दिया जाएगा।"

4. उक्त स्कीम के खण्ड 53 में, उपखण्ड (2) (iii) की मद (क) के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित मद प्रतिस्थापित की जाएगी, अर्थात् :—

"(क) जहां किसी कर्मकार को जांच के लम्बित रहने तक निलम्बित किया गया हो, वहां उसे निलम्बन की तारीख से प्रथम नब्बे दिन के लिए उस आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के आधे के समतुल्य निर्वाह भत्ता संदत्त किया जाएगा, जिसका वह मजदूरी सहित छुट्टी पर होने की दशा में हकदार होता, और उसके पश्चात् अग्र्यक्ष असाधारण दशाओं में, ऐसा उच्चतर निर्वाह-भत्ता मंजूर कर सकेगा जो ऐसी आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के तीन-चौथाई से अधिक न हो।

परन्तु जहां ऐसी जांच ऐसे कारणों से, जिनके लिए कर्मकार ही प्रत्यक्ष रूप से जिम्मेदार है, नब्बे दिन की अवधि के बाद भी चलती रहे तो वहां नब्बे दिन से अधिक की अवधि वाले निर्वाह-भत्ते को घटा कर आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के एक-चौथाई के समतुल्य कर दिया जाएगा।"

[अन्तर्गत 528/183/65-देक० 2/वी० एण्ड डी०]

S.O. 1809.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948, the Central Government hereby makes the following Scheme further to amend the Visakhapatnam Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme 1959, the same having been previously published as required by the said sub-section, namely:—

1. This scheme may be called the Visakhapatnam Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) First Amendment Scheme 1971.

2. In clause 44 of the Visakhapatnam Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1959 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme, for item (b) of sub-clause (3), the following item shall be substituted, namely:—

“(b) Where a worker has been suspended by an order under item (a), he shall be paid for the first ninety days from the date of suspension, a subsistence allowance to one-half of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances to which he would have been entitled if he were on leave with wages, and thereafter the Chairman may, in exceptional cases, grant higher subsistence allowance not exceeding three-fourths of such basic wages, dearness and other allowances:

Provided that where such enquiry is prolonged beyond a period of ninety days for reasons directly attributable to the workers, the subsistence allowance shall, for the period exceeding ninety days, be reduced to one-fourth of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances.”

3. In clause 45 of the said Scheme, for item (a) of sub-clause (4-A), the following item shall be substituted, namely:—

“(a) Where a worker has been suspended pending enquiry, he shall be paid for the first ninety days from the date of suspension, a subsistence allowance to one-half of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances to which he would have been entitled if he were on leave with wages, and thereafter the Chairman may, in exceptional cases, grant higher subsistence allowance not exceeding three-fourths of such basic wages, dearness and other allowances:

Provided that where such enquiry is prolonged beyond a period of ninety days for reasons directly attributable to the workers, the subsistence allowance shall, for the period exceeding ninety days, be reduced to one-fourth of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances.”

4. In clause 50 of the said Scheme, for item (a) of sub-clause (2)(iii), the following item shall be substituted, namely:—

“(a) Where a worker has been suspended pending enquiry, he shall be paid for the first ninety days from the date of suspension, a subsistence allowance to one-half of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances to which he would have been entitled if he were on leave with wages, and thereafter the Chairman may, in exceptional cases, grant higher subsistence allowance not exceeding three-fourths of such basic wages, dearness and other allowances:

Provided that where such enquiry is prolonged beyond a period of ninety days for reasons directly attributable to the worker, the subsistence allowance shall, for the period exceeding ninety days, be reduced to one-fourth of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances.”

[No. 528/183/67-Fac. II/P&D.]

का० प्रा० 1809—डॉक कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) अधिनियम, 1948 की धारा 4 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार विशाखापत्तनम डॉक कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) स्कीम, 1959 में और संशोधन करने के लिए निम्नलिखित स्कीम, जिसे उक्त उप-धारा द्वारा यथा अपेक्षित पहले ही प्रकाशित किया जा चुका है, एतद्वारा बनाती है, अर्थात् :—

1. इस स्कीम का नाम विशाखापत्तनम डॉक कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) प्रथम संशोधन स्कीम, 1971 होगा।

2. विद्याखापत्तनम डांक कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) स्कीम, 1959 (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के खण्ड 44 में उपखण्ड (3) की मद (ख) के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित मद प्रतिस्थापित की जाएगी, अर्थात् :—

“(ख) जहां किसी कर्मकार को मद (क) के अधीन आदेश द्वारा निलम्बित किया गया हो, वहां उसे निलम्बन की तारीख से प्रथम नव्वे दिन के लिए उस आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के आधे के समतुल्य निर्वाह-भत्ता संदत्त किया जाएगा, जिसका वह मजदूरी सहित छट्टी पर होने की दशा में हकदार होता, और उसके पश्चात् अग्रधारण मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के तीन-चौथाई से अधिक न हो :

परन्तु जहां ऐसी जांच, ऐसे कारणों से, जिनके लिए कर्मकार ही प्रत्यक्ष रूप से जिम्मेदार है, नव्वे दिन की अवधि के बाद भी चलती रहे तो वहां नव्वे दिन से अधिक की अवधि वाले निर्वाह-भत्ते को घटा कर, आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के एक-चौथाई के समतुल्य कर दिया जाएगा।”

3. उक्त स्कीम के खण्ड 45, उपखण्ड (4-क) की मद (क) के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित मद प्रतिस्थापित की जाएगी, अर्थात् :—

“(क) जहां किसी कर्मकार को जांच के लम्बित रहने तक निलम्बित किया गया हो, वहां उसे निलम्बन की तारीख से प्रथम नव्वे दिन के लिए उस आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के आधे के समतुल्य निर्वाह-भत्ता संदत्त किया जाएगा, जिसका वह मजदूरी-सहित-छट्टी पर होने की दशा में हकदार होता और उसके पश्चात् अग्रधारण मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के तीन-चौथाई से अधिक न हो।

परन्तु जहां ऐसी जांच ऐसे कारणों से, जिनके लिए कर्मकार ही प्रत्यक्ष रूप से जिम्मेदार है, नव्वे दिन की अवधि के बाद भी चलती रहे तो वहां नव्वे दिन से अधिक की अवधि वाले निर्वाह-भत्ते को घटा कर, आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों का एक-चौथाई के समतुल्य कर दिया जाएगा।”

4. उक्त स्कीम के खण्ड 50 में, उपखण्ड (2) (iii) की मद (क) के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित मद प्रतिस्थापित की जाएगी, अर्थात् :—

“(क) जहां किसी कर्मकार को जांच के लम्बित रहने तक निलम्बित किया गया हो, वहां उसे निलम्बन की तारीख से प्रथम नव्वे दिन के लिए उस आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के आधे के समतुल्य निर्वाह-भत्ता संदत्त किया जाएगा, जिसका वह मजदूरी-सहित-छट्टी पर होने की दशा में हकदार होता और उसके पश्चात् अग्रधारण मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के तीन-चौथाई से अधिक न हो :

परन्तु जहां ऐसी जांच ऐसे कारणों से, जिनके लिए कर्मकार ही प्रत्यक्ष रूप से जिम्मेदार है, नव्वे दिन की अवधि के बाद भी चलती रहे तो वहां नव्वे दिन से अधिक की अवधि वाले निर्वाह-भत्ते को घटा कर आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के एक-चौथाई के समतुल्य कर दिया जाएगा।”

[संख्या 528/183/65-क.क. 2/वी० एड डी०]

S.O. 1810.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948, "Central Government hereby makes the following Scheme further to amend the Mormugao Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1965, the same having been previously published as required by the said sub-section, namely:—

1. This Scheme may be called the Mormugao Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Amendment Scheme, 1971.

2. In clause 46 of the Mormugao Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1965 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme), for item (b) of sub-clause (3), the following item shall be substituted, namely:—

"(b) Where a worker has been suspended by an order under item (a), he shall be paid for the first ninety days from the date of suspension, a subsistence allowance to one-half of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances to which he would have been entitled if he were on leave with wages, and thereafter the Chairman may, in exceptional cases, grant higher subsistence allowance not exceeding three-fourths of such basic wages, dearness and other allowances:

Provided that where such enquiry is prolonged beyond a period of ninety days for reasons directly attributable to the worker, the subsistence allowance shall, for the period exceeding ninety days, be reduced to one-fourth of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances."

3. In clause 47 of the said Scheme for item (a) of sub-clause (5), the following item shall be substituted, namely:—

"(a) Where a worker has been suspended pending enquiry, he shall be paid for the first ninety days from the date of suspension, a subsistence allowance to one-half of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances to which he would have been entitled if he were on leave with wages, and thereafter the Chairman may, in exceptional cases, grant higher subsistence allowance not exceeding three-fourths of such basic wages, dearness and other allowances:

Provided that where such enquiry is prolonged beyond a period of ninety days for reasons directly attributable to the worker, the subsistence allowance shall, for the period exceeding ninety days, be reduced to one-fourth of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances."

4. In clause 53 of the said Scheme, for item (a) of sub-clause (2)(iii), the following item shall be substituted, namely:—

"(a) Where a worker has been suspended pending enquiry, he shall be paid for the first ninety days from the date of suspension, a subsistence allowance to one-half of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances to which he would have been entitled if he were on leave with wages, and thereafter the Chairman may, in exceptional cases, grant higher subsistence allowance not exceeding three-fourths of such basic wages, dearness and other allowances:

Provided that where such enquiry is prolonged beyond a period of ninety days for reasons directly attributable to the worker, the subsistence allowance shall, for the period exceeding ninety days, be reduced to one-fourth of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances."

[No. 528/183/65-Fac. II/P&D.]

का० आ० 1810—डाक कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) अधिनियम, 1948 की धारा 4 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार मोरमूगाओ डाँक कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) स्कीम, 1965 में और संशोधन करने के लिए निम्नलिखित स्कीम, जिसे उक्त उप-धारा द्वारा यथा अपेक्षित पहले ही प्रकाशित किया जा चुका है, एतद्वारा बनाती है, अर्थात्:—

1. इस स्कीम का नाम मोरमूगाओ डाँक कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) संशोधन स्कीम, 1971 होगा।

2. मोरमग्राओ डाक कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) स्कीम, 1965 (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के खण्ड 46 में, उपखण्ड (3) की मद (ख) के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित मद प्रतिस्थापित की जाएगी, अर्थात् :—

“(ख) जहां किसी कर्मकार को मद (क) के अशून आदेश द्वारा निलम्बित किया गया हो, वहां, उसे निलम्बन की तारीख से प्रथम नब्बे दिन के लिए उस आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के आधे के समतुल्य निर्वाह भत्ता संदत्त किया जाएगा, जिसका वह मजदूरी-सहित-छुट्टी पर होने की दशा में हकदार होता। और उसके पश्चात् अशून, असाधारण दशाओं में, ऐसा उच्चतर निर्वाह भत्ता मंजूर कर सकेगा जो ऐसी आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के तीन-चौथाई से अधिक न हो :

परन्तु जहां ऐसी जांच, ऐसे कारणों से, जिनके लिए कर्मकार ही प्रत्यक्ष रूप से जिम्मेदार है, नब्बे दिन की अवधि के बाद भी चलती रहे तो वहां नब्बे दिन से अधिक की अवधि वाले निर्वाह-भत्ते को घटा कर आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों का एक-चौथाई के समतुल्य कर दिया जाएगा।”

3. उक्त स्कीम के खण्ड 47 में, उपखण्ड (5) की मद (क) के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित मद प्रतिस्थापित की जाएगी, अर्थात् :—

“(क) जहां किसी कर्मकार को जांच के लम्बित रहने तक निलम्बित किया गया हो, वहां उसे निलम्बन की तारीख से प्रथम नब्बे दिन के लिए उस आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के आधे के समतुल्य निर्वाह भत्ता संदत्त किया जाएगा, जिसका वह मजदूरी-सहित-छुट्टी पर होने की दशा में हकदार होता और उसके पश्चात् अन्य असाधारण दशाओं में ऐसा उच्चतर निर्वाह भत्ता मंजूर कर सकेगा जो ऐसी आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के तीन-चौथाई से अधिक न हो :

परन्तु जहां ऐसी जांच ऐसे कारणों से, जिनके लिए कर्मकार ही प्रत्यक्ष रूप से जिम्मेदार है, नब्बे दिन की अवधि के बाद भी चलती रहे तो वहां नब्बे दिन से अधिक की अवधि वाले निर्वाह-भत्ते को घटा कर, आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के एक-चौथाई के समतुल्य कर दिया जाएगा।”

4. उक्त स्कीम के खण्ड 53 में, उपखण्ड (2) (iii) की मद (क) के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित मद प्रतिस्थापित की जाएगी, अर्थात् :—

“(क) जहां किसी कर्मकार को जांच के लम्बित रहने तक निलम्बित किया गया हो, वहां उसे निलम्बन की तारीख से प्रथम नब्बे दिन के लिए उस आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के आधे के समतुल्य निर्वाह-भत्ता संदत्त किया जाएगा, जिसका वह मजदूरी-सहित-छुट्टी पर होने की दशा में हकदार होता, और उसके पश्चात् अन्य असाधारण दशाओं में, ऐसा उच्चतर निर्वाह भत्ता मंजूर कर सकेगा जो ऐसी आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के तीन-चौथाई से अधिक न हो :

परन्तु जहां ऐसी जांच ऐसे कारणों से, जिनके लिए कर्मकार ही प्रत्यक्ष रूप से जिम्मेदार है, नब्बे दिन की अवधि के बाद भी चलती रहे तो वहां नब्बे दिन से अधिक की अवधि वाले निर्वाह-भत्ते को घटा कर आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के एक-चौथाई के समतुल्य कर दिया जाएगा।”

S.O. 1811.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948, the Central Government hereby makes the following scheme further to amend the Cochin Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1959, the same having been previously published as required by the said sub-section, namely:—

1. This Scheme may be called the Cochin Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Amendment Scheme, 1971.

2. In clause 45 of the Cochin Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1959 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme), for item (b) of sub-clause (3), the following item shall be substituted, namely:—

“(b) Where a worker has been suspended by an order under item (a), he shall be paid for the first ninety days from the date of suspension, a subsistence allowance to one-half of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances to which he would have been entitled if he were on leave with wages, and thereafter the Chairman may, in exceptional cases, grant higher subsistence allowance not exceeding three-fourths of such basic wages, dearness and other allowances:

Provided that where such enquiry is prolonged beyond a period of ninety days for reasons directly attributable to the worker, the subsistence allowance shall, for the period exceeding ninety days, be reduced to one-fourth of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances.”

3. In clause 46 of the said Scheme, for item (a) of sub-clause (4-A), the following item shall be substituted, namely:—

“(a) Where a worker has been suspended pending enquiry, he shall be paid for the first ninety days from the date of suspension, a subsistence allowance to one-half of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances to which he would have been entitled if he were on leave with wages, and thereafter the Chairman may, in exceptional cases, grant higher subsistence allowance not exceeding three-fourths of such basic wages, dearness and other allowances:

Provided that where such enquiry is prolonged beyond a period of ninety days for reasons directly attributable to the workers, the subsistence allowance shall for the period exceeding ninety days, be reduced to one-fourth of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances.”

4. In clause 51 of the said Scheme, for item (a) of sub-clause (3-A), the following item shall be substituted, namely:—

“(a) Where a worker has been suspended pending enquiry, he shall be paid for the first ninety days from the date of suspension, a subsistence allowance to one-half of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances to which he would have been entitled if he were on leave with wages, and thereafter the Chairman may, in exceptional cases, grant higher subsistence allowance not exceeding three-fourths of such basic wages, dearness and other allowances:

Provided that where such enquiry is prolonged beyond a period of ninety days for reasons directly attributable to the worker, the subsistence allowance shall, for the period exceeding ninety days, be reduced to one-fourth of the basic wages, dearness and other allowances.”

[No. 528/183/65-Fac II/P&D.]

AJIT CHANDRA, Under Secy.

का० प्रा० 1811—ड.क.कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) अधिनियम, 1948 की धारा 4 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार कोचीन डक कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) स्कीम, 1959 में और संशोधन करने के लिए निम्नलिखित स्कीम, जिसे उक्त उप-धारा द्वारा यथा अपेक्षित पहले ही प्रकाशित किया जा चुका है, एतद्वारा बनाती है, अर्थात्:—

1. इस स्कीम का नाम कोचीन डक कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) संशोधन स्कीम, 1971 होगा।

2. कोचीन डाक कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) स्कीम, 1959 (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के खण्ड 45 में, उपखण्ड (3) की मद (ख) के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित मद प्रतिस्थापित की जाएगी, अर्थात् :—

“(ख) जहाँ किसी कर्मकार को मद (क) के अधीन आदेश द्वारा निलम्बित किया गया हो, वहाँ उसे निलम्बन की तारीख से प्रथम नव्वे दिन के लिए उस आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के आधे के समतुल्य निर्वाह भत्ता संदत्त किया जाएगा, जिसका वह मजदूरी सहित छुट्टी पर होने की दशा में हकदार होता, और उसके पश्चात् अर्धश्रमधारण दशाओं में, ऐसा उच्चतर निर्वाह-भत्ता मंजूर कर सकेगा जो ऐसी आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के तीन-चौथाई से अधिक न हो :

परन्तु जहाँ ऐसी जांच, ऐसे कारणों से, जिनके लिए कर्मकार ही प्रत्यक्ष रूप से जिम्मेदार है, नव्वे दिन की अवधि के बाद भी चलती रहे तो वहाँ नव्वे दिन से अधिक की अवधि वाले निर्वाह-भत्ते को घटा कर आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के एक-चौथाई के समतुल्य कर दिया जाएगा।”

3. उक्त स्कीम के खण्ड 46 में, उपखण्ड (4-क) की मद (क) के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित मद प्रतिस्थापित की जाएगी, अर्थात् :—

“(क) जहाँ किसी कर्मकार को जांच के लम्बित रहने तक निलम्बित किया गया हो, वहाँ उसे निलम्बन की तारीख से प्रथम नव्वे दिन के लिए उस आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के आधे के समतुल्य निर्वाह भत्ता संदत्त किया जाएगा, जिसका वह मजदूरी सहित छुट्टी पर होने की दशा में हकदार होता और उसके पश्चात् अर्धश्रमधारण दशाओं में, ऐसा उच्चतर निर्वाह भत्ता मंजूर कर सकेगा जो ऐसी आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई, और अन्य भत्तों के तीन-चौथाई से अधिक न हो :

परन्तु जहाँ ऐसी जांच ऐसे कारणों से, जिनके लिए कर्मकार ही प्रत्यक्ष रूप से जिम्मेदार है, नव्वे दिन की अवधि के बाद भी चलती रहे तो वहाँ नव्वे दिन में अधिक की अवधि वाले निर्वाह-भत्त को घटा कर आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के एक-चौथाई के समतुल्य कर दिया जाएगा।”

4. उक्त स्कीम के खण्ड 51 में, उपखण्ड (3-क) की मद (क) के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित मद प्रतिस्थापित की जाएगी, अर्थात् :—

“(क) जहाँ किसी कर्मकार को जांच के लम्बित रहने तक निलम्बित किया गया हो वहाँ उसे निलम्बन की तारीख से प्रथम नव्वे दिन के लिए उस आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के आधे के समतुल्य निर्वाह भत्ता संदत्त किया जाएगा, जिसका वह मजदूरी सहित छुट्टी पर होने की दशा में हकदार होता, और उसके पश्चात् अर्धश्रमधारण दशाओं में, ऐसा उच्चतर निर्वाह-भत्ता मंजूर कर सकेगा जो ऐसी आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के तीन-चौथाई से अधिक न हो :

परन्तु जहाँ ऐसी जांच ऐसे कारणों से, जिनके लिए कर्मकार ही प्रत्यक्ष रूप से जिम्मेदार है, नव्वे दिन की अवधि के बाद भी चलती रहे तो वहाँ नव्वे दिन से अधिक की अवधि वाले निर्वाह भत्ते को घटा कर आधार्तिक मजदूरी, महंगाई और अन्य भत्तों के एक-चौथाई के समतुल्य कर दिया जाएगा।”

[संख्या 528/183/65 फ़ैक 0 2/पी० एंड डी०]

अजीत चन्दा, अवसर सचिव।

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 17th April 1971

S.O. 1812.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Bankola Colliery of Messrs Burrakur Coal Company Limited, Post Office Ukhra, District Burdwan and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 12th April, 1971.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 7 OF 1971

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Bankola Colliery of Messrs Burrakur Coal Company Limited,

AND

Their workmen

PRESENT:

Mr. B. N. Banerjee, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers—Sri S. B. Sanyal, Legal Adviser.

On behalf of Workmen—Shri Benarashi Singh Azad, General Secretary of Khan Shramik Congress.

STATE: West Bengal.

INDUSTRY: Coal Mines.

AWARD

By Order No. 6/83/70-LR.II, dated December 24, 1970, the Government of India, Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), referred the following industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Bankola Colliery of Messrs Burrakur Coal Company Limited and their workmen, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, namely:

"Whether the management of Bankola Colliery of Messrs Burrakur Coal Company Limited, Post Office Ukhra District Burdwan was justified in stopping the work of Shri Sk. Akbar, Cleaning Mazdoor from 29th June, 1970

If not to what relief the workman is entitled?"

2. The workmen represented by the Khan Shramik Congress filed a written statement. The management also filed a rejoinder thereto. Thereafter, parties arrived at a settlement fully and completely settling the dispute and filed the petition of settlement before this Tribunal.

3. Now, that there is no further dispute between the parties, I pass an award in terms of the settlement. Let the petition of settlement form part of this award.

Dated, April 5, 1971.

(Sd.) B. N. BANERJEE,
Presiding Officer.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 7 OF 1971

Management of Bankola Colliery of the Burrakur Coal Co. Ltd., P.O. Ukhra,
Distt. Burdwan

Vs

Their workmen represented through the Khan Shramik Congress, P.O. Ukhra,
Distt. Burdwan

Most Respectfully Sheweth,

1. That the parties to the dispute have settled and resolved the differences on the following terms:

(a) That the concerned persons named in the order of Reference shall be paid a sum of Rs. 150/- each as full and final settlement.

(b) The Union shall have no further claim what-so-ever in relation to the dispute.

(c) That in case of a vacancy arising of cleaning mazdoor in the colliery the concerned persons shall be given first preference for their employment.

For Workmen

(Sd.) Illegible

Genl. Secy.

Dated, April 3, 1971

For Management

(Sd.) Illegible

Personnel Officer and Principal Officer.

[No. 6/83/70-LRII.]

S.O. 1813.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Banalee Colliery of Messrs Nimcha Coal Company Limited, Post Office Nandi, District Burdwan and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 13th April, 1971.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 2 OF 1971

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Banalee Colliery of Messrs Nimcha Coal Company Limited,

AND

Their workmen

PRESENT:

Mr. B. N. Banerjee, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers—Mr. K. P. Mukherjee, Counsel.

On behalf of Workmen—Shri Jagannath Dubey, one of the concerned workmen.

STATE: West Bengal

INDUSTRY: Coal Mines.

AWARD

By Order No. 1/33/70-LR-II, dated December 9, 1970, the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), referred the following industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Banalee Colliery of Messrs Nimcha Coal Company Limited, and their workmen, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, namely:

"Whether the management of Banalee Colliery was justified in not allowing Shri Kamala Kant Misra, Time Keeper with effect from the 24th March 1970 and Shri Jagannath Dubey, Driller and Shri Ramanand Pandey, Guard with effect from the 28th March, 1970, to resume their duties?

If not, to what relief these workmen are entitled?"

2. The management of Banalee colliery filed a written statement. In paragraph 1 of the written statement it was stated as follows:

"That the Nimcha Coal Co. Ltd., is the owner of two collieries, viz. Nimcha Colliery and Banalee Colliery. By a notice of closure, dated the 28th August, 1970, the Banalee Colliery has been completely closed down with effect from the 29th August, 1970. Such closure is real and the Company has got no intention to run the Colliery in future."*

The reason for not allowing the three concerned workmen to resume their duties was explained by the management in paragraph 3 of the written statement. Shorn of verbiage, the explanation substantially is that the three workmen went on leave but over-stayed the sanctioned leave. Thereupon, they lost their lien on their respective appointments and were put on the badli list, in accordance with the provisions of Clause 15(2) of the certified Standing Orders of Banalee colliery.

3. The workmen, at the time the dispute was raised, were represented by a trade union called Colliery Mazdoor Congress (HMS). Later on, they came to be represented by another trade union called Colliery Mazdoor Congress (HMP), which later trade union filed a written statement. I do not propose to refer to this written statement because the case pleaded therein was not proved by anybody.

4. I did not have the assistance of the trade union filing the written statement on behalf of the workmen because they did not appear on the date fixed for hearing. The circumstances under which I had to proceed in the absence of the trade union have already been discussed in my Order dated March 30, 1971 and I need not repeat the same again in this award.

5. I need, first of all, find out whether the story of closure is a real story. It is settled law that where a business has been closed and the closure is real, any dispute arising with reference thereof would not fall within the purview of the jurisdiction of the Industrial Disputes Act and that will *a fortiori* be so, if a dispute arises—if such can be conceded—after the closure of the business between a quondam employers and employees. In the case of *Indian Hume Pipe Co. Ltd. vs. their Workmen*, 1969, 1 LLJ 242 (245) the Supreme Court further observed:

"In our opinion, it was not open to the Tribunal to go into the question as to the motive of the appellant in closing down its factory at Barakar and to enquire whether it was *bona fide* or *mala fide* with some oblique purpose, namely, to punish the workmen for the union activities in fighting the appellant. It has been laid down by this Court in a series of decisions that it is not for industrial tribunals to enquire into the motive to find out whether the closure is justified or not."

I have already observed in another reference between the management of Benalee colliery and their workmen, in Reference No. 49 of 1970, that the closure of the colliery is a real one. It has again been proved before me by the evidence of D. R. Sakuja, one of the Directors, that the colliery has been closed. That also appears from the minutes of the meeting of the Board of Directors of Nimcha Coal Co. Ltd., owning the Benalee colliery, dated August 27, 1970, a copy of which is marked Ex. 2. Therefore, I have to proceed on the basis that the Benalee colliery has been closed with effect from August 29, 1970, as pleaded in paragraph 1 of the written statement.

6. I have next to see whether the case pleaded by the management in justification of not allowing the concerned workmen to resume their duties has been proved. In this respect however, the management is in a disadvantageous position. In paragraph 9 of the written statement, the management pleaded that in view of the complete closure of Benalee colliery relevant records were unavailable, nevertheless, it was hoped to collect them. This hope did not materialise. Biman Kanti Bagchi, witness No. 1 for the employers, stated in his evidence that the records were in the colliery under his control but they somehow became misplaced. He does not appear to be a well informed witness and had nothing to offer in support of his oral evidence. D. R. Sakuja, one of the Directors, who was called as a Court witness however, was more can did. In answer to certain questions put by the learned Counsel for the management, he said "when this written statement was drafted, the materials were collected from what appeared in the Failure report copy of which was sent to me from the Labour Ministry at New Delhi". The correctness or otherwise of the facts stated in the failure report is anybody's guess. In these circumstances, I am not satisfied with the plea of the management in explanation of their refusal to allow the concerned workmen to resume their duties.

7. Although this is so, colliery now stands closed and it would be useless to direct the management to allow the concerned workmen to resume their duties in a closed colliery, even if I hold that I have jurisdiction over the misdeeds of the management prior to the closure.

8. D. R. Sakuja, the Director witness, however, made an offer to give appointment to one of the workmen, namely, Jagannath Dubey in the same capacity at Nimcha colliery which was only three miles away from Benalee colliery. Jagannath Dubey, the concerned workman, who was present before the Tribunal, readily agreed to accept such an appointment. The other two workmen were not present before the tribunal and D. R. Sakuja did not offer anything for them. The case pleaded on behalf of the workmen has not been proved before this Tribunal. The colliery is a closed one. Therefore, I do not think I can make any order in favour of the remaining workmen.

9. In the result, I hold that I direct the management to give a fresh appointment to Jagannath Dubey, Driller, as driller in Nimcha colliery, with effect from April 1, 1971. I uphold the action of the management in all other respects. Save as aforesaid, the workmen are not entitled to any other relief.

This is my award.

Dated, April 2, 1971.

(Sd.) B. N. BANERJEE, Presiding Officer.

[No. 1/33/70-LRII.]

S.O. 1814.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Selected Fatka Colliery, Post Office Nirsachatti, District Dhanbad, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 13th April, 1971.

**BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT
DHANBAD**

In the matter of a reference under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

REFERENCE No. 51 of 1968

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Selected Fatka Colliery, Post Office Nirsachatti, District Dhanbad

AND
Their workmen

PRESENT:

Shri A. C. Sen, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

For the Employers—Shri S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate, with Shri P. K. Bose, Advocate.

For the Workmen—Shri S. K. Mukherjee, Advocate.

STATE: Bihar.

INDUSTRY: Coal.

Dhanbad, dated the 31st March, 1971

AWARD

The present Reference arises out of Order No. 2/49/68-LR11, dated New Delhi, the 28th June, 1968 passed by the Central Government in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, whereby the following dispute between the parties hereto was referred to this Tribunal for adjudication:

"Whether the management of the Selected Fatka Colliery, Post Office Nirsachatti, District Dhanbad was justified in dismissing its workman, Shri Sunil Baran Shell, Mining Sirdar, with effect from the 13th September, 1967? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

2. The order of the Ministry was received in this Tribunal on 9th July, 1968. The written statement on behalf of the workmen was filed on 7th December, 1968. The written statement-cum-rejoinder of the management was filed on 12th December, 1968.

3. The case for the workmen may thus be stated briefly. The workman concerned while working in the Selected Fatka Colliery as a Mining Sirdar tried to follow strictly the safety rules, mines regulations and provisions laid down in the Mines Act. The management was not satisfied with such conduct, because this particular management was after raising extra coal by means fall or foul so as to increase its profit. The workman concerned on account of some violation of safety rule and irregularities in the mining operations verbally made a report to the Mining Department, Dhanbad, whereupon there was a thorough inquiry into the matter. The management, according to the workmen, issued a charge sheet in getting a scent of this enquiry. The charge sheet dated 23rd August, 1967 was received by the workman per registered post on 26th August, 1967.

4. Their further case is that there was no proper enquiry. Para 6 of the written statement dealing with the enquiry runs thus: "That there was no proper enquiry with regard to the aforesaid frivolous charges levelled against this workman as per charge sheet dated 23rd August, 1967. It was simply told that the Director in charge will hold the enquiry but as a matter of fact there was no enquiry at all into the matter in issue. No witness have been examined nor they were allowed to be cross-examined by the workman nor he was given opportunity to adduce evidence as laid down in the standing orders and domestic enquiry procedure."

5. On the question of dismissal their case is that the management issued a letter of dismissal dated 4th September, 1967 dismissing the workman concerned retrospectively with effect from 23rd August, 1967 and such retrospective dismissal was bad in law.

6. The management in their written statement-cum-rejoinder has stated that as the workman concerned was extracting money from the miners and allowing them to rob pillars a charge sheet dated 23rd August, 1967 was issued to him and he was suspended pending enquiry, and that he submitted an explanation dated 26th August, 1967 denying the charge.

7. On the question of the departmental enquiry the management has stated that a notice dated 30th August, 1967 was issued to the workman concerned fixing the departmental enquiry on 2nd September, 1967, that the departmental enquiry was held on 2nd September 1967 by the Director in presence of the workman giving him full chance and opportunities to cross-examine the witness and defend himself, that at the departmental enquiry the misconduct mentioned in the charge sheet was satisfactorily established, and that consequently the workman concerned was dismissed by a letter dated 4th September, 1967 with effect from the date of his suspension.

8. The workman concerned in his cross-examination has admitted that he got the notice of enquiry proceedings, that he attended the place of enquiry at the colliery office and that Shri T. R. Agarwala conducted the enquiry. It is in evidence that T. R. Agarwala is the Director of the Selected Fatka Colliery. He has also admitted that T. R. Agarwala called him and asked him as to who had drafted the reply to the chargesheet and that after he had replied to the question of T. R. Agarwala he was asked to sign on a paper and he signed. Therefore it is clear that he was examined and that he himself put his signature on the enquiry proceedings.

9. The only dispute is whether two witnesses were examined on behalf of the management and whether the workman concerned had opportunity to cross-examine them. The enquiry proceedings have been proved by T. R. Agarwala himself and they have been marked as Ext. M. 3. The enquiry was conducted in Hindi. The proceedings show that two witnesses, namely Lakhiram Majhi and Fucha Majhi deposed on behalf of the management, and that the concerned workman refused to cross-examine them and refused to put his signatures at the end of their depositions. T. R. Agarwala has stated in his deposition that at first the two miners were examined that the concerned workman was asked to cross-examine the witnesses of the employers, and that he refused to do so.

10. I have no reason to disbelieve the evidence of T. R. Agarwala. From the evidence on record, both oral and documentary, it appears that the departmental enquiry was properly held and that the letter of dismissal was issued by T. R. Agarwala himself. But still I refrain from passing any final opinion as to the validity of the enquiry proceeding and of the letter of dismissal and as to the truth or otherwise of the misconduct alleged in the charge sheet as, in my opinion, for the reason to be presently stated, there was and is no industrial dispute between the employers and its workmen. The Central Government was not justified in making the reference in the absence of such dispute and this Tribunal is not competent to entertain the reference. But before I enter upon the validity of the reference I may dispose of another objection as to the validity of the order of dismissal. It is contended on behalf of the workmen that the order of dismissal being retrospective in its operation is void in toto. This contention must fail in view of the following observation of the Supreme Court in *Jeevaratnam V. State of Madras*, (1967) 1 L.L.J. 391 at 393: "An order of dismissal with retrospective effect is in substance, an order of dismissal as from the date of the order with the superadded direction that the order should operate retrospectively as from an anterior date. The two parts of the order are clearly severable. Assuming that the second part of the order is invalid, there is no reason why the first part of the order should not be given the fullest effect. The Court cannot pass a new order of dismissal, but surely it can give effect to the valid and severable part of the order."

11. The workman concerned has stated in his cross-examination that he did not write any letter of protest to the company after getting the letter of dismissal. T. R. Agarwala categorically stated in his examination in chief that no protest was made either by the workman concerned or by any trade union before the management protesting against the dismissal of Sunil Baran, the workman concerned. He was not even cross-examined on this point.

12. From the report of the Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central), Dhanbad-II to the Secretary to the Department of Labour and Employment, New Delhi dated the 2nd April, 1968, reporting the failure of the conciliation proceeding it is clear that an industrial dispute was raised by the union before the Assistant Labour Commissioner (C), Dhanbad-II. The Supreme Court has held in *Sindhu Resettlement Corporation Ltd. Vs. Industrial Tribunal, Gujrat*, (1968) 1 L.L.J. 334 that the Government is not entitled to make any reference on a mere demand made by the workmen to the Assistant Labour Commissioner. The relevant portion from the

judgment of the Supreme Court in the aforesaid case is quoted below: "It may be that the conciliation officer reported to the Government that an industrial dispute did exist relating to the reinstatement of respondent 3 and payment of wages to him from 21st February, 1958, but, when the dispute came up for adjudication before the Tribunal, the evidence produced clearly showed that no such dispute had ever been raised by the respondents with the management, any request sent by them to the Government would only be a demand by them and not an industrial dispute between them and their employer. An industrial dispute as defined, must be a dispute between employers and employees, employers and workmen and workmen and workmen. A mere demand to a Government without a dispute being raised by the workmen with their employer, cannot become an industrial dispute. Consequently, the material before the tribunal clearly showed that no such industrial dispute, as was purported to be referred by the State Government to the tribunal, had ever existed between the appellant-Corporation and the respondents and the State Government, in making a reference obviously committed and error in basing its opinion on material which was not relevant to the formation of opinion."

13. I, therefore, hold that the workman is not entitled to any relief in the present reference even if the workman succeed in establishing that the departmental enquiry was not properly held or that the charge against the workman concerned has not been proved on that the order of dismissal has not been passed in accordance with law. This is my award. But I make it clear that nothing contained in this award shall debar the workman from raising a proper dispute before the management and from procuring a fresh reference on such dispute.

14. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

(Sd.) A. C. SEN.
Presiding Officer.
[No. 2/49/68-LRII.]

S.O. 1815.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Hyderabad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Post Office Kothagudem, (Andhra Pradesh) and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 12th April, 1971.

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (CENTRAL) AT HYDERABAD

PRESENT:

Sri T. Chandrasekhara Reddy, B.A., B.L., Chairman, Industrial Tribunal, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 36 OF 1969

BETWEEN

Workmen of Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Kothagudem.

AND

The Management of Singareni Collieries Company, Limited, Kothagudem.

APPEARANCES:

Sri A. Lakshmana Rao, Advocate—for the Workmen.

Sri P. S. Jayachander Rao, Divisional Personnel Officer, Singareni Collieries Co., Ltd., Kothagudem and Sri K. Srinivasa Murthy, Advocate and Hony. Secretary of the Federation of Andhra Pradesh Chambers of commerce and Industry, Hyderabad—for the Management.

AWARD

By an Order, dated 15th October, 1969, the Government of India, Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) referred the following dispute between the Employers in relation to Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Kothagudem, and their Workmen for adjudication, to Sri Mohammad Najmuddin, my predecessor in office. After his retirement it was referred to me. The dispute referred is as follows:—

"With regard to the nature of duties performed by Sarvasri Golla Iyalu, Lambadi Somalu and Rapalli Bondiyalu as Trolley Attenders, whether

the management of Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Kothagudem is justified in placing these workmen in New Category II under the Central Wage Board Recommendations? If not, to what relief these workmen are entitled and from what date?"

2. The Workmen have filed a claims statement through the President, Andhra Pradesh Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, Kothagudem, alleging that the workmen Golla Iyaloo, Lambadi Somalu and Rapalli Bondyaloo were permanent employees of the Singareni Collieries Company and were working in V Incline, C.S.P. for several years; that they were engaged as conveyor drivers and were placed in old Category III under the Mazumdar Award on par with other conveyor drivers and were designated as trolley attendants as they were operating trolleys. But the management contended that they were fitter helpers at the time of implementing the Wage Board Recommendations. The Management changed the designation of the above workmen as fitter helpers in records of the Company with the ulterior motive of depriving them of their legitimate category of wages under the Wage Board Recommendations. Hence the action of the management was *mala fide* and illegal. The workmen in question were engaged in controlling the conveyor belts by means of trolleys from one end to another in order to fill the bunkers in C.S.P. and they had to control and operate the gear of the trolley as required in the process of filling the bunkers. Further, these workers were provided with mazdoors. According to the workmen, the above workers had to be placed in Category III as recommended by the Central Wage Board with retrospective effect and so they prayed that an award might be passed accordingly.

3. The Management filed a reply statement alleging that the reference of the dispute for adjudication had been made erroneously under the assumption that the workers involved in the dispute were not placed in the proper category under the Wage Board's recommendations; that the nature of duties performed by them were purely manual and unskilled and the appropriate category for the job was only Category I but in view of the circumstance that they were in old Category III they had been placed in New Category II even though workers on similar jobs in other coal screening plants were given Category I only. The Unions had claimed new Category III only to these workers in I.D. No. 30 of 1967 on the ground that no provision had been made in the Wage Board Recommendations as these workmen were not covered by the Wage Board Recommendations. As admitted by the Union, what the Union was seeking was only a modification of the category on the plea of special conditions. Hence there was no justification for any reference as the present one to the Tribunal as it was covered by I.D. No. 30/67 wherein the subject matter was regarding what further modifications and changes in the categorisation and wage structure recommended by the Wage Board for the West Bengal and Bihar Coal fields were necessary to make the said categorisation and wage structure applicable to the workmen of the Singareni Collieries Company Limited having regard to the special conditions obtaining in the Andhra Pradesh coal fields. The Management admitted without prejudice to the submissions already made, that the three workmen were permanent employees of the Company and were working in V Incline but denied that they were engaged as conveyor drivers. According to the Management, the trolley attendant had nothing to do whatsoever with the driving of the conveyor and there were conveyor operators/switch boys in Category III in the past as well as at present other than the above workmen to operate the belt passing through the trolley. The workmen in question had been placed in Category II as per the general formula adopted by equating the posts and the allotment of Category II was personal to them only. The Management further averred that to avoid unreasonable and vexatious claims, such as the present one, the Management suitably changed their designations as helpers who were also in old Category III and allotted to New Category II under the Wage Board Recommendations and it made no difference even if their designation was changed as trolley attendants as before. They denied that they had any ulterior motive in changing the designation. According to them, the workmen in question were required to adjust the wiper against the required bunker and the wiper was mounted on a travelling trolley which was moved manually against the required bunker placed in a row. The trolley was a chute mounted on wheels and threaded through a belt conveyor driven separately and away from the trolley and was adjusted against bunkers set out in a row. It had no driving mechanism of its own either electrical or mechanical and so the workmen's job was mainly unskilled manual labour and thus it was distinguishable from that of conveyor drivers or switch boys, whose job description was shown in Appendix V of the Report of the Central Wage Board Recommendations. They denied that the workmen in question were provided with mazdoors. According to them, there were some mazdoors working at the spot for cleaning of lifting spill over coal along the belt and the workmen in question

were not supervising the work of those mazdoors. So there was no justification for allotment of Category III to the workmen in question either on the basis of the Wage Board Recommendations or on the basis of the nature of the work carried out by them. The work of conveyor khalasis was entirely different and could not be compared with the work of the workmen in question. So they prayed that the claim of the workmen may be dismissed.

4. Each side has examined one witness. The workmen have exhibited Exs. W1 to W4, which are no other than those issued to Golla Iylu under Coal Mines Regulations 1957 and they are the bonus cards for the IVth quarter 1963, IIIrd Quarter 1964 and 2nd Quarter 1965 respectively.

5. The main question is: Having regard to the nature of the duties of the above workmen, whether the Management of the Singareni Collieries Company is justified in placing them in new Category II under the Central Wage Board Recommendations.

6. The duties of these three workmen are given out in detail by W.W.1 Golla Iylu, one of the claimants, and M.W. 1 the Junior Engineer. According to W.W. 1 trolley attender operates the trolley by a handle which has a mechanical appliance which had to be operated by the hand. There were 68 bunkers of 1000 feet in length each bunker 15' x 15' x 15' and there will be rails on the bunkers and the trolley runs on rails. The trolley attender operates the clutch lever once for each bunker. The duty of the workmen in question, according to W.W.1, is to see that the coal falls in the bunkers. If the coal lumps obstruct the line or if the bolts are not in proper condition, there is the danger of the trolley falling or going off without control. Then the workman in question (trolley attender) gives a signal to the mazdoor, who in turn gives a signal to the switch boy and the switch boy puts off the switch and then the movement of the trolley is stopped. He also added that if the conveyor belt is stopped the trolley also stops. According to him, the mazdoor works under him. The trolley moves if the switch is put on for the conveyor belt. The trolley does not have a switch or motor but it works by pressing the clutch. According to him, the work of a trolley attender like himself and the conveyor khalasis is the same. There are two conveyor belts and two trolleys and he operates the two trolleys. He also puts grease to the conveyor belts. The conveyor driver is also called conveyor khalasis whose duty is to switch on or switch off to operate the conveyor belt. Prior to the Wage Board the switch boy or the conveyor khalasi was in Category III and even after the Wage Board Recommendations are implemented, he is given the same category but he admitted in cross-examination that the conveyor khalasi operates 4, 5 or 10 switches.

7. M.W.1 the Junior Engineer, Central Screening Plant, V Incline, Rudrampur Division, Kothagudem, deposed that the trolley is a movable chute mounted on wheels and threaded to a belt conveyor and driven separately away from the trolley and adjusted against bunkers set out in a row and the trolley is not having any mechanism of its own. It cannot be driven independently of the conveyor belt. In other words, he says that, unless the conveyor belt runs the trolley does not move. It moves only with the aid of the belt. There are gears which are intended to change the direction of the trolley, i.e., towards front or back. The trolley attender merely stands on the trolley and operates the lever. According to him, conveyor belt need not be greased but a trolley had to be greased. It was the duty of the trolley attender to grease the trolley. He too stated that there are 68 bunkers each bunker being 15 feet long. There was one mazdoor for each shift who works along with the trolley attender to clear the spilled coal. According to him, the conveyor khalasi has to operate the electrical switches about 26 in number to run the prime movers of the conveyors and the other machinery. There are six switch boys in the C.S.P. at the rate of 2 per shift and they operate the switches. They are in Category III now. Even M.W.1 says that if the trolley is in operation the trolley attender attends to the work of signalling. He denied that it was the mazdoor that signals when the trolley is operated. According to him, if the trolley went wrong the trolley attender had to send for the fitter, who attends to the repairs. It is clear from the evidence of W.W.1 and M.W.1 that originally the three workmen in question were designated as trolley attenders and that they were designated as fitter-helpers later. M.W.1 has stated that even before he came to the C.S.P. V Incline, about 8 years ago, the three workmen in question were working as trolley attenders and it is not denied by the management that all of them were permanent employees of the Company.

8. Sri Lakshmanrao the learned advocate for the workmen contends that the three workmen in question were operating the trolleys, that a trolley attender was given the assistance of one mazdoor to give signals, that he has to see that 68

bunkers of 1000 feet length for filling with coal and that if the coal lumps obstruct the line or if the bolts are not in proper condition there is the danger of the trolley falling down and that in those circumstances the trolley attendant gives a signal to the mazdoor, who in turn gives signals to the conveyor driver also called switch boy, who puts off the switch. According to Sri Lakshmanrao, when the switch boy who has merely to put on or put off the switches and who was also previously placed in old Category III, was now given new Category III after the implementation of the Wage Board Recommendations, it is just and proper that the trolley attenders, whose duties had been mentioned above, should also be placed in new Category III, as recommended by the Wage Board. He drew my attention to the occupational nomenclatures and job description of Trammers, pump khalasis haulage and conveyor khalasis as found in Appendix 13 of the Mazumdar Award and submits that trolley attenders were also on par with them being in old Category III and referred me to the designation and job description of pump khalasis and conveyor khalasis as recommended by the Central Wage Board and urged that the trolley attenders should also be placed in new Category III as recommended by the Central Wage Board having regard to their nature of duties, vide Appendix V. He also submits that the trolley drivers in question were doing the work of fitters and not helping any one and that the designation of trolley attenders had been changed during conciliation as fitter-helpers deliberately with the object of depriving them of the category to which the three workmen were legally entitled. So he prayed that the trolley drivers should be given new Category III and that the Management was unjustified in placing them in new Category II. But Sri K. Srinivasamurthy argued with some insistence that the three workmen in question were described as trolley attenders and not trolley drivers as described in the claims statement, that there was no evidence on record to the effect that they were operating the switches, that the trolley attendant does not even start or stop the trolley as a fan khalasi in New Category III does. On the basis of the evidence of M.W.1 the Junior Engineer, he urged that the work done by the trolley attenders in question is purely unskilled work and that they were so designated as trolley attenders in the Wage Board Recommendations and that the job description of the trolley attenders in question was not equal to that of fan khalasis No. 4 in Category III, pump khalasis No. 5 in Category III and conveyor khalasis No. 13 in Category III, Appendix V as recommended by the Wage Board. He further submitted that no mazdoor is placed under the trolley attenders in question and that therefore their designation was changed to fitter-helper so that frivolous and unjust claims might not be made. The trolley had no independent motion that it moves with the conveyor belt and stops with it, that each of the workmen in question was to stand on the trolley and operate the gear to change its direction towards front or back as the case may be and adjust against the required bunker and that it had no mechanism whatsoever. He also argues that the duties of fan khalasis, pump khalasis and conveyor khalasis in new Category III were onerous as they had to start or stop the engine, or motor driving the fan or pump mechanism as the case may be besides remaining in attendance when it is in motion. Further, a fan khalasi had to take and record readings of instruments used in connection with the fans, and assist the fitter and electrician etc. when they were attending the fan and accessories, while a pump khalasis had to oil the necessary machine bearings besides starting the pump mechanism and remaining in attendance when the machinery was in motion. A conveyor khalasi according to the job description given by the Wage Board in Appendix V had to operate the driving gear of face or roadway conveyors of the belt, shaker (jigger) or scraper types. So Mr. Srinivasamurthy submits that they are rightly put in semi-skilled higher category III by the Wage Board and the company had allotted IIInd Category to them.

9. In the instant case the workmen in question, even according to W.W.1, were not operating the conveyor belts and were not putting on or putting off the switches which amounted to starting and stopping the conveyor belt. Admittedly a trolley had no independent motion of its own. It had no driving mechanism, either electrical or mechanical of its own and it is started and stopped with the conveyor belt. Thus it is dependent upon the conveyor belt. The trolley attendant was only to operate the lever and adjust it against the required bunkers which are set in a row. He had to operate the gear to change its direction forward or backward, and the trolley moves on the rails. According to M.W.1, there was no occasion when the trolley had fallen down. I do not see any reason to disbelieve the evidence of M.W.1 when he says that the trolley attendant was not given the assistance of the mazdoor. According to M.W.1 the mazdoor was working at the spot for cleaning or lifting spill coal along the belt. If the trolley went out of order the trolley attendant had to report to the fitter who had to attend to its repairs and it is not the case of even W.W.1 that he had to attend to the repairs. Even according to W.W.1, the duties of conveyor Khalasi or switch boy

were more onerous because he was assigned with the duty of starting or stopping the conveyor belt by putting on or putting off the switches as the case may be and it is needless to repeat that the trolley automatically stops when the conveyor belt stops. Hence the switch boy or the conveyor khalasi is categorised as semi-skilled higher according to the Central Wage Board Recommendations and is put in Category III new. Admittedly he is put in new category III after the wage board recommendations were implemented. Inasmuch as the duties of the trolley attenders were less onerous than those of the switch boy or conveyor khalasi, the three workmen in question had been rightly placed in new category II i.e., semi-skilled lower as they had gained some experience. The nature of the duties performed by trolley attenders are not equal to those of fan khalasis, pump khalasis and conveyor khalasis who were placed in category III by the Central Wage Board.

10. According to the Management, there was no designation of trolley attender either in the Coal Award or in the Central Wage Board Recommendations. So their designation had been changed as fitter-helpers as they were in old Category II and were allotted new Category II as they were semi-skilled lower and not with any ulterior motive. So the Management is justified in placing the three workmen in question in Category II and is not guilty of any unfair labour practice. Consequently the demand made by the workmen in question that they should be placed in new category III having regard to the nature of duties done by them does not appear to be justified.

11. Sri K. Srinivasamurthy urges that the Union is demanding to the trolley drivers i.e., to the persons like the three workmen in question. New Category III recommended by the Wage Board and wages of new category III in I.D. 30/67 wherein the subject matter of the dispute was what further modifications and changes in the categorisation and wage structure recommended by the Wage Board for West Bengal and Bihar coal fields are necessary to make the said categorisation and wage structure applicable to the workmen of Singareni Collieries Company Limited having regard to the conditions obtaining in the Andhra Pradesh coal fields. Admittedly the Central Wage Board recommendations do not mention the designation of trolley attenders. I am of the opinion that the subject matter of the present I.D. is confined only to the three workmen in question and the case of the management also is that the allotment of Category II (new) is personal to them. In I.D. No. 30 of 1967 all the trolley attenders along with others are claiming modifications in categorisation and wage structure in the recommended as of the wage board having regard to the special conditions existing in the respondent Company.

12. In view of the above discussion, I hold that the workmen in question are not entitled to any relief in this industrial dispute.

Award passed accordingly.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Tribunal, this the 31st day of March, 1971.

T. CHANDRASEKHARA REDDY,
Industrial Tribunal.

APPENDIX OF EVIDENCE

Witnesses examined for Workmen:	Witnesses examined for Employers:
W.W.1: Golla Iylu.	M.W.1: L. Viswanatham.
Documents exhibited for Workmen:	Documents exhibited for Employers:
Ex. W1: Authorisation of G. Iylu issued by the Management on 1-9-1969.	Nil.
Ex. W2: Bonus card of G. Iylu for IV quarter 1963 issued by the Management.	
Ex. W3: Bonus card of G. Iylu for III quarter 1964 issued by the Management.	
Ex. W4: Bonus card of G. Iylu for 2nd quarter 1965 issued by the Management.	

(Sd.) Illegible
Industrial Tribunal
(No. 7/1/69-LRII.)

New Delhi, the 19th April 1971

S.O. 1816.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Bankola Colliery, Post Office Ukhra, District Burdwan and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 13th April, 1971.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 9 OF 1971

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Bankola Colliery,
AND
Their workmen.

PRESENT:

Mr. B. N. Banerjee—Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers—Mr. S. B. Sanyal, Legal Adviser.

On behalf of Workmen—Absent.

STATE: West Bengal.

INDUSTRY: Coal Mines.

AWARD

By Order No. 6/99/70-LR.II, dated January 6, 1971, the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), referred the following industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Bankola Colliery and their workmen, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, namely:

"Whether the management of Bankola Colliery, Post Office Ukhra, District Burdwan are justified in stopping the work of S/Shri Choteylal Paswan and Taiyeb Hosan, Cleaning Mazdoors with effect from 13th May, 1970? If not, to what relief the workmen are entitled?"

2. The parties to the dispute filed their respective written statement. Thereafter, they entered into a compromise and filed a petition of compromise before this Tribunal, in token of the settlement. The compromise was proved by J. Sharan, personnel and Principal Officer of the Bankola Colliery. Now, that the dispute has been settled, I make an award in terms of the settlement. Let the petition of settlement form part of this award.

(Sd.) B. N. BANERJEE,
Presiding Officer.

Dated April 6, 1971.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 9 OF 1971

Management of Bankola Colliery of the Burrakur Coal Co. Ltd., P.O. Ukhra, Dt. Burdwan.

Vs.

Their workmen represented through the Khan Shramik Congress, P.O. Ukhra, Dt. Burdwan.

Most Respectfully sheweth:

1. That the parties to the dispute have settled and resolved the differences on the following terms:

- (a) That the concerned persons named in the order of Reference shall be paid a sum of Rs. 150 each as full and final settlement.
- (b) The Union shall have no further claim what-so-ever in relation to the dispute.
- (c) That in case of a vacancy of cleaning mazdoor arising in the colliery the concerned persons shall be given first preference for their employment.

For Workmen.
(Sd.) Illegible
Genl. Secy.,
Khan Shramik Congress,
Ukhra.

Dated April 5, 1971.

For Management.
(Sd.) Illegible
Personnel Officer
and
Principal Officer.

[No. 6/99/70-LR.II.]

S.O. 1817.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Lodna Colliery Company (1920) Limited, Post Office Kalipahari, District Burdwan, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 12th April, 1971.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT CALCUTTA
REFERENCE No. 6 OF 1971

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Lodna Colliery Company (1920) Limited,

AND

Their workmen.

PRESENT:

Mr. B. N. Banerjee—Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers—Mr. D. Basu Thakur, Advocate.

On behalf of Workmen—Absent.

STATE: West Bengal.

INDUSTRY: Coal Mines.

AWARD

By order No. 6/71/70-LRII, dated December 24, 1970, the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), referred an industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Lodna Colliery Company (1920) Limited and their workmen, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, namely:

"Keeping in view the recommendations of the Wage Board for Coal Mining Industry as accepted by the Government of India in their Resolution No. WB-16(5)/66, dated the 21st July, 1967, whether the management of Rana Colliery of Messrs Lodna Colliery Company (1920) Limited, Post Office Kalipahari, District Burdwan was justified in placing the following Coal Cutting Machine Drivers in Category V? If not, to what relief are these workmen entitled?"

Sl. No.	Name	Designation
1.	Nizam Mia	Coal Cutting Machine Driver
2.	Etwari	-do-
3.	Jaul Haque	-do-
4.	Amir Hajam	-do-
5.	Dhaneshwar Turi	-do-
6.	Sayub Mia	-do-
7.	Imamuddin	-do-
8.	Jasimuddin Khan	-do-
9.	Mangal Kora	-do-
10.	Nisar Ahmed	-do-
11.	Lachmi Singh	-do-
12.	Ramchandra Kora	-do-
13.	Madho Rout	-do-
14.	Ramashish Bin	-do-
15.	Kamal Khan	-do-
16.	Bashir Hossain	-do-
17.	Wahid Khan	-do-

2. The workmen adopted a strange attitude of non-cooperation. In spite of service of notice, they did not file their written statement. Then again, in spite of notice they did not appear on the date fixed for settling a date of hearing. Lastly, they did not appear today which was fixed as the date of peremptory hearing. In these circumstances, I think it is useless to wait further for the workmen. The circumstances are such as mean that there is no further dispute between the workmen and the management at the present moment.

3. I, therefore, pass a "no dispute" award in this reference,

(Sd.) B. N. BANERJEE
Presiding Officer.

Dated, April 1, 1971.

[No. 6/71/70-LRII]

New Delhi, the 21st April 1971

S.O. 1818.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Shankarpur Colliery of Messrs Ukhra Minerals Private Limited, Post Office Ukhra, District Burdwan, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 17th April, 1971.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT CALCUTTA.

REFERENCE No. 10 OF 1971

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Shankarpur Colliery of Messrs Ukhra Minerals Private Limited,

AND

Their workmen.

PRESENT:

Mr. B. N. Banerjee—Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employees—Shri N. Das, Advocate.

On behalf of Workmen—Absent.

STATE: WEST BENGAL.

INDUSTRY: COAL MINES.

AWARD

By Order No. 6/51/70-LR.II., dated December 11, 1970, the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), referred the following industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Shankarpur Colliery of Messrs Ukhra Minerals Private Limited and their workmen, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, namely:—

"Whether the management of Shankarpur Colliery of Messrs Ukhra Minerals Private Limited, Post Office Ukhra, District Burdwan was justified in dismissing Shri Kanta Harijan, Pickminer from the 19th May, 1970? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

2. The workmen, represented by the Organising Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sabha (AITUC), adopted an attitude of non-cooperation with this Tribunal. They did not appear at any stage before this Tribunal nor did they file their written statement. Today, which was fixed as the date of peremptory hearing, the workmen went unrepresented.

3. The management filed a written statement. It was alleged in the written statement that the designation of the concerned workman was wrongly stated in the order of Reference and that he was not a pick-miner but a bailing mazdoor, as appearing in the books of the employer company. The further case pleaded by the management will appear from paragraphs 4 and 5 of the written statement, which are set out hereinbelow:

"4. * * * that the said Kanta Harijan applied for 20 days leave with effect from 2nd March, 1970 to 21st March, 1970 and submitted his application before the Colliery Manager in the prescribed form on 16th February, 1970 and the said leave was sanctioned as will appear from the order of the Colliery Manager, passed on the aforesaid application and Leave slip (***) for 13 days with pay and 7 days without pay

5. That the workman was due to return on the expiry of his leave and resume his work on and from 23rd March, 1970 as 22nd March 70 was a Sunday and weekly day of rest in the Colliery but he overstayed his leave and came back on 26th March, 1970 and met the Manager on that day and offered explanation for his delay. The Manager directed him to resume his work from 27th March, 1970."

It was alleged, in the written statement, that the concerned workman did not resume his work on March 27th, 1970 and absented himself from that day without permission. As his unauthorised absence had exceeded 10 days, which was a misconduct as per Standing Order, he was charged with misconduct and a charge-sheet was issued against him on April 10, 1970. The workman received the

chargesheet and submitted his explanation on April 17, 1970. The explanation was found unsatisfactory and the management decided to hold a domestic enquiry against him, on April 28, 1970, with due notice to the workman. On the first date fixed for the domestic enquiry, the workman did not appear. Thereupon, the enquiry was adjourned and the notice of adjournment was also duly sent and received by the workman. The domestic enquiry was held on the adjourned date, namely May 9, 1970. The workman attended the enquiry. He was said to have been given all opportunities to cross-examine witnesses produced by the management and to produce his own witnesses but he did not avail of the opportunities. The Enquiry officer found that the charge was proved against him. The management accepted the enquiry report and ordered his dismissal.

4. The management examined Kajal Kr. Sarkar, Manager of the colliery, who proved the relevant documents, namely the leave application (Ex. 1), order upon the workman to resume his duty from March 27, 1970, even though he had overstayed his leave (Ex. 2), the chargesheet (Ex. 3), the explanation of the workman (Ex. 4), the first notice to the workman stating that a domestic enquiry would be held against him on April 28, 1970 and the postal acknowledgement receipt thereof (Exts. 5 and 5a), the second notice and postal receipt thereof (Ex. 6 and 6a), the proceedings of the domestic enquiry (Ex. 7), the enquiry report (Ex. 8), the order of the Director accepting the report of the Enquiry officer and ordering dismissal (Ex. 9), and the letter of dismissal (Ex. 10).

5. On the materials on record, I have little to doubt that the concerned workman had gone on leave, had overstayed his leave, was still then permitted to resume his duties but he did not do so, and as the unauthorised absence thereafter amounted to misconduct, he was charged therefor. I have also little to doubt that the chargesheet was duly served upon the workman, so also was the notice of enquiry. The only doubt that I feel is about the manner in which the domestic enquiry was conducted. Kajal Kumar Sarkar, the Manager of Shankarpur Colliery, the only witness on behalf of the management, did not appear to me to be a candid and truthful witness. In answer to a question put by the Tribunal, the witness at first stated that the workman had cross-examined the witnesses produced by the management. When he was confronted with the proceedings of enquiry (Ex. 7), he was forced to admit that the workman did not cross-examine the witnesses and even refused to make any statement before the domestic tribunal. The records of the enquiry proceedings do not bear the signature of the workman or his thumb impression. The manner in which the records and the proceedings were kept and the way in which Kajal Kumar Sarkar deposed raise a suspicion in my mind that the workman may not have been given all the opportunities at the domestic enquiry, which the rules of natural justice require. Mr. N. Das, learned counsel for the management, wanted to salvage the position with the argument that the workman was not really interested to proceed with the case. He invited my attention to Ex. 11 copy of a letter addressed by the workman himself to the Assistant Labour Commissioner, Asansol, which I set out hereinbelow:

"I beg to submit that an Industrial Disputes had been raised over my dismissal from my appointment in Sunkerpore Colliery, P.O. Ukhra by the General Secretary Colliery Mazdoor Sabha, G.T. Road Asansol before A.L.C. (C), Raniganj.

I learnt that the said Dispute registered as case No. COR-16(245)/70 has been transferred to you and you are going to take up it.

I beg to inform that I am no longer interested in the above dispute and I have accepted the Management's decision of dismissal and I have no further dispute. I have severed all connection with the Union, namely, Colliery Mazdoor Sabha G.T. Road, Asansol. Copy of this letter is also being sent separately to the Management and to the Union."

The thumb impression of the workman on the above letter bears the identification seal of the local Gram Sabha authorities. Mr. Das submitted that since the workman was not concerned with the dispute, he did not care to cross-examine witnesses although he attended the domestic enquiry.

6. It is difficult for me to place absolute reliance on Ex. 11. If the workman had communicated before the Assistant Labour Commissioner that he was not any further concerned with the dispute, I wonder how a reference could be made or a failure report submitted. Be that as it may, I cannot proceed on mere suspicion against the management. All other materials exhibited point to the fact that the concerned workman was dismissed for misconduct. The workman himself does

not contest. I have no materials before me to hold that the dismissal of Kanta Harijan was not justified.

7. In the view that I take, I hold that the management of Shankarpur Colliery of Messrs Ukhra Minerals Private Limited was justified in dismissing Kanta Harijan from 19th May, 1970 and he is not entitled to any relief.

This is my award.

(Sd.) B. N. BANERJEE,
Presiding Officer.

[No. 6/51/70-LR.II.]

New Delhi, the 22nd April 1971

S.O. 1819.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Goenka Kajora Colliery of Messrs Goenka Coal Company, Post Office Ukhra, District Burdwan and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 17th April, 1971.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 33 OF 1971

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Goenka Kajora Colliery.

AND

Their workmen

PRESENT:

Mr. B. N. Banerjee, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers—Shri S. N. Mishra, Personnel Officer.

On behalf of Workmen—Shri Benarashi Singh Azad, General Secretary of the Union.

STATE: West Bengal.

INDUSTRY: Coal Mines.

AWARD

By Order No. L/1912/3/71-LR.II, dated February 11, 1971, the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), referred an industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Goenka Kajora Colliery and their workmen to this Tribunal, for adjudication, namely:

"Whether the management of Goenka Kajora Colliery of Messrs Goenka Coal Company, Post Office Ukhra, District Burdwan was justified in stopping from work Shri Nageswar Prasad, Coal Cutting Machine Driver from the 4th July, 1969, to the 6th July, 1969, and the 16th July, 1969? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

2. This Reference is taken up out of turn on the joint request of the parties.

3. Neither the management nor the workmen filed their written statements. Parties filed a petition of settlement to-day, thereby compromising the dispute, which was referred to this Tribunal, on certain terms. Now that the parties have come to terms and resolved their dispute, I pass an award in terms of the settlement. Let the petition of settlement form part of this award.

(Sd.) B. N. BANERJEE,
Presiding Officer.

Dated, April 12, 1971.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 33 OF 1971

Management of Goenka Kajora Colliery of Goenka Coal Company, P.O. Ukhra,
Distt. Burdwan,

Vs.

Their workman represented through the Khan Shramik Congress, P.O. Ukhra,
Distt. Burdwan.

Most respectfully Sheweth

1. That the parties of the dispute have settled and resolved the difference of the following terms:—

- (a) That the Management agrees to pay the full wages to Shri Nageswar Prasad, C.C.M. Driver for the period from 4th July, 1969 to 6th July, 1969 and for dt. 16th July, 1969.
- (b) That the Union shall have no further claim whatsoever in relation to the dispute.

For workmen.
(Sd.) B. S. AZAD,
General Secretary,
Khan Shramik Congress,
P.O. Ukhra, Dt. Burdwan.

For Management.
(Sd.) S. N. MISHRA,
Personnel Officer, and Principal Officer
Goenka Kajora Colliery of
Goenka Coal Company.

Witness.
(Sd.) P. N. ACHARYA,
Khan Shramik Congress,
P.O. Ukhra (Burdwan).

[No. L-1912/3/71-LR.II.]

New Delhi the 26th April 1971

S.O. 1820.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Goenka Kajora Colliery of Messrs Goenka Colliery, Post Office Ukhra, District Burdwan and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 17th April, 1971.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 12 OF 1971

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Goenka Kajore Colliery.

AND

Their workmen

PRESENT:

Mr. B. N. Banerjee, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers—Sri S. N. Mishra, Personnel Officer.

On behalf of Workmen—Sri Benarashi Singh Azad, General Secretary of the Union.

STATE: West Bengal.

INDUSTRY: Coal Mines.

AWARD

By Order No. 6/41/70-LR.II, dated December 11, 1970, the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), referred an industrial dispute between the management of Goenka Kajora Colliery and their workmen, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, namely:

“Whether the management of Goenka Kajora Colliery of Messrs Goenka Coal Company, Post Office Ukhra, District Burdwan was justified in stopping from work Savashri Nageswar Prasad and Santanil Gope, Coal Cutting Machine Drivers and Dukhan Thakur, Coal Cutting Machine Mazdoor from the 17th April, 1970? If not, to what relief these workmen are entitled?”

2. One written statement was filed on behalf of the workmen. Employers did not file any written statement. To-day, which was fixed as the date of peremptory hearing, the parties filed a petition of compromise, thereby settling the dispute referred to this Tribunal, on certain terms. Now that the parties have come to

terms, I pass an award in terms of the settlement. Let the petition of settlement form part of this award.

Dated, April 12, 1971.

(Sd.) B. N. BANERJEE,
Presiding Officer.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL
CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 12 OF 1971

Management of Goenka Kajora Colliery of Goenka Coal Company, P.O. Ukhra,
Distt. Burdwan.

Vs.

The workmen represented through the Khan Shramik Congress, P.O. Ukhra,
Distt. Burdwan.

Most Respectfully sheweth

1. That the parties of the dispute have settled and resolved the difference on
the following terms:

- (a) That the concerned workmen, Shri Nageswar Prasad and Shri Satanlal Gope, C.C. Machine Drivers shall be reinstated on their original jobs from 21st April, 1971 and Shri Dukhan Thakur, C.C.M. Mazdoor of the dispute shall be paid a sum of Rs. 100/- as full and final settlement.
- (b) That the period of non-employment of the workmen Shri Nageswar Prasad and Shri Satanlal Gope shall be treated as leave without pay with the continuity of their services.
- (c) A sum of Rs. 100/- each shall be paid as *Ex-gratia* to the workmen, Shri Nageswar Prasad and Shri Satanlal Gope, C.C. Machine Drivers.
- (d) That the union shall have no further claim whatsoever in relation to the dispute.

For Workmen.

(Sd.) B. S. AZAD,
General Secretary,
Khan Shramik Congress,
P.O. Ukhra, Distt. Burdwan.

For Management:

(Sd.) S. N. MISHRA,
Personnel Officer,
and Principal Officer,
Goenka Kajora Colliery of
Goenka Coal Company.

Witness:

(Sd.) P. N. ACHARYA,
Khan Shramik Congress.
(Sd.) A. N. UPADHYAY,
G. K. Colly.

[No. 6/41/70-LR.II.]

S.O. 1821.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Goenka Kajora Colliery, Post Office Ukhra, District Burdwan, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 17th April, 1971.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 46 OF 1971

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Goenka Kajora Colliery.

AND

Their workmen

PRESENT:

Mr. B. N. Banerjee, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers—Sri S. N. Mishra, Personnel Officer.

On behalf of Workmen—Sri Benarashi Singh Azad, General Secretary of the Union.

STATE: West Bengal.

INDUSTRY: Coal Mines.

AWARD

By Order No. L/1912/17/71-LRII, dated March 11, 1971, the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), referred the following industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Goenka Kajora Colliery and their workmen, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, namely:

"Whether the management of Goenka Kajora Colliery Post Office Ukhra District Burdwan are justified in not providing employment to Shri Ajodhya Singh, Coal Cutting Machine Mazdoor with effect from the 23rd October, 1970? If not, to what relief the workmen is entitled?"

2. This reference is taken up out of turn on the joint request of the parties.

3. Neither the management nor the workmen filed their written statements. Parties filed a petition of settlement to-day, thereby compromising the dispute which was referred to this Tribunal, on certain terms. Now that the parties have come to terms and resolved their disputes, I pass an award in terms of the settlement. Let the petition of settlement form part of this award.

Dated, April 12, 1971.

(Sd.) B. N. BANERJEE,
Presiding Officer.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 46 OF 1971

Management of Goenka Kajora Colliery of Goenka Coal Company, P.O. Ukhra, Distt. Burdwan.

Vs.

Their workman represented through the Khan Shramik Congress, P.O. Ukhra, Distt. Burdwan.

Most respectfully sheweth

That the parties of the dispute have settled and resolved the difference on the following terms:

- (a) That the workman, Shri Ajodhya Singh, C.C.M. Mazdoor shall be reinstated on his original job from 21st April, 1971 and the management shall maintain the continuity of the services of the workman.
- (b) The management agrees to pay a sum of Rs. 50/- as *ex-gratia*.
- (c) The period of non-employment of the workman shall be treated as authorised leave without pay.
- (d) That the Union have no further claim whatsoever in relation to the dispute.

For workman.

(Sd.) B. S. AZAD,
General Secretary,
Khan Shramik Congress,
P.O. Ukhra, Burdwan.

For Management.

(Sd.) S. N. MISHRA,
Personnel Officer,
Goenka Kajora Colliery,
P.O. Ukhra (Burdwan).

Witness.

(Sd.) P. N. ACHARYA,
Khan Shramik Congress,
P.O. Ukhra, Burdwan.

[No. L-1912/17/71-LRII]

S.O. 1822.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Ghanshyam Colliery, Post Office Baktarnagar, District Burdwan and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 22nd April, 1971.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 11 of 1971

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Ghanshyam Colliery,

AND

Their workmen.

PRESENT:

Mr. B. N. Banerjee, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers—Sri S. N. Murarka, Managing Director.

On behalf of Workmen—Sri R. B. Singh, concerned workman.

STATE: West Bengal.

INDUSTRY: Coal Mines.

AWARD

By Order No. 6/25/70-LRII, dated December 21, 1970, the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), referred the following dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Ghanshyam Colliery and their workmen, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, namely:

"Whether the management of Ghanshyam Colliery, Post Office Baktarnagar, District Burdwan is justified in terminating the services of Shri R. B. Singh, Overman-in-charge with effect from the 10th April, 1970, and if not, to what relief is he entitled?"

2. Both the parties filed their respective written statement. On the date of hearing, however, parties settled their dispute and in token thereof filed a petition of compromise before this Tribunal. Now, that the dispute between the parties stands settled, I pass an award in terms of the settlement. Let the petition of settlement form part of this award.

(Sd.) B. N. BANERJEE,
Presiding Officer.

Dated April 16, 1971.

BEFORE THE HON'BLE PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CALCUTTA.

In the matter of:

REFERENCE No. 11 of 1971

BETWEEN

The employers in relation to the management of Ghanshyam Colliery.

AND

Their workmen represented by the Colliery Mazdoor Sabha (AITUC), P.O. Raniganj, Burdwan.

The parties, above named most Respectfully Sheweth:

Without prejudice to the factual contentions raised by either of the parties, it is agreed:

1. That the management will pay to Sri R. B. Singh, Overman, the concerned workman, and the workman will accept a sum of Rs. 1,107.69 P. (Rupees One thousand one hundred and seven and sixty-nine paise) in full and complete satisfaction of all the claims by the workmen against the management.
2. The above sum of Rs. 1,107.69 P. shall be deposited by the management with this Tribunal within April 16, 1971, and the workman shall be at liberty to withdraw the amount from this Tribunal.

The parties, therefore, pray that an award in terms of above be passed in the matter under reference.

For Management,
(Sd.) S. N. MURARKA,
Mg. Director.

(Sd.) R. B. SINGH,
Concerned workman.

Dated April 8, 1971.

[No. 6/25/70-LR-II]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 17th April 1971

S.O. 1823.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and sub-section (1) of section 33B of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby rescinds the order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 1175, dated the 24th December, 1970 published in the Gazette of India, Part-II Section 3, Sub-section (ii), dated the 20th March, 1971.

[No. 5/13/70-LR-II]

(श्रम और रोजगार विभाग)

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 17 अप्रैल 1971

का० आ० 1823—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 7-क और धारा 33ख की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार भारत सरकार के श्रम, रोजगार और पुनर्वास मंत्रालय (श्रम और रोजगार विभाग) के आदेश संख्या का० आ० 1175 तारीख 24 दिसम्बर, 1970 को जो भारत के राजपत्र के भाग, 2, खण्ड 3, उपखण्ड (ii) तारीख 20 मार्च, 1971 में प्रकाशित हुआ था, एतद्वारा विखण्डित करती है।

[सं० 5/13/70-एल० आर० 2]

New Delhi, the 19th April, 1971

S.O. 1824.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Central Coal Washing Plant of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited, Jamadoba, Post Office Jealgora, District Dhanbad and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, (No. 2) Dhanbad constituted under Section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"Whereas the dismissal of Shri Dwarika Prasad, Weighbridge Clerk by the management of Central Coal Washing Plant of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited, Jamadoba, Post Office Jealgora, District Dhanbad with effect from the 25th October, 1969 is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

[No. 2/114/70-LR-II]

नई दिल्ली, 19 अप्रैल, 1971

का० आ० 1824—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि इससे उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट विषयों के बारे में मैसर्स टाटा आयरन एण्ड स्टील कम्पनी लिमिटेड, जामादोबा, डाकघर जीलगोरा, जिला धनबाद के सेंट्रल कोल वाशिंग प्लांट के प्रबन्धतन्त्र से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच एक औद्योगिक विवाद विद्यमान है ;

और यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करना वांछनीय समझती है ;

अतः अब, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10 की उप-धारा (1) के खण्ड (घ) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा उक्त विवाद को उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7क के अधीन गठित केन्द्रीय सरकारी औद्योगिक अधिकरण, (नं० 2) धनबाद को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करती है ।

अनुसूची

“क्या मैसर्स टाटा आयरन एण्ड स्टील कम्पनी लिमिटेड, जामादोबा, डाकघर जीलगोरा, जिला धनबाद के सेंट्रल कोल वाशिंग प्लांट के प्रबन्धतन्त्र द्वारा श्री द्वारिका प्रसाद, बेन्जिज क्लर्क की 25 अक्टूबर, 1969 से पदच्युति न्यायोचित है ? यदि नहीं, तो कर्मकार किस अनुतोष का हकदार है ?”

[संख्या 2/114/70-एल० आर० 2]

S.O. 1825.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Equitable Coal Company Limited, Post Office, Dishergarh, District Burdwan and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexd;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

“Whether having regard to the duties performed by Shri S. P. Dey, Clerk Grade-II, the management of Equitable Coal Company Limited, Post Office Dishergarh, District Burdwan is justified in not placing him in Grade-I as per Wage Board recommendations? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled and from what date?”

[No. 6/70-LRIL]

का० आ० 1825—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि इससे उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट विषयों के बारे में इक्वीटेबल कोल कम्पनी लिमिटेड डाकघर दिशेगढ़, जिला बर्दवान के प्रबन्धतन्त्र से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच एक औद्योगिक विवाद विद्यमान है ;

और यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करना वांछनीय समझती है ;

अतः अब, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10 की उप-धारा (1) के खण्ड (घ) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा उक्त विवाद को उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7क के अधीन गठित केन्द्रीय सरकारी औद्योगिक अधिकरण, कलकत्ता को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करती है ।

अनुसूची

“क्या श्री एस० पी० डे, क्लर्क ग्रेड 2 द्वारा किए जाने वाले काम को ध्यान में रखते हुए, इक्वीटेबल कोल कम्पनी लिमिटेड, डाकघर दिशेरगढ़, जिला धनबाद का उसे मजदूरी बोर्ड की सिफारिशों के अनुसार ग्रेड 1 में रखना न्यायोचित है? यदि नहीं, तो कर्मकार किस अनुतोष का और किस तारीख से हकदार है?”

[संख्या 6/70/70-एल० आर० 2]

S.O. 1826.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Ena Colliery of Messrs North West Coal Company Limited, Post Office Dhansar, District Dhanbad and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, (No. 2) Dhanbad constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

“Whether the action of the management of Ena Colliery of Messrs North West Coal Company Limited Post Office, Dhansar, District Dhanbad in rendering Shri Damodar Sao, Munshi, idle with effect from the 16th March, 1970, was justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?”

[No. 2/110/70-LRIL]

का० आ० 1826—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि इससे उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में निर्दिष्ट विषय के बारे में मैसर्स नार्थ वेस्ट कोल कम्पनी लिमिटेड, डाकघर धनसार, जिला धनबाद की एना कोलियरी के प्रबन्धतन्त्र से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच एक औद्योगिक विवाद विद्यमान है ;

और यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करना वांछनीय समझती है ;

अतः, अब, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10 की उप-धारा (1) के खण्ड (घ) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा उक्त विवाद को उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7क के अधीन गठित केन्द्रीय सरकारी औद्योगिक अधिकरण (सं० 2), धनबाद को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करती है ?

अनुसूची

“क्या मैसर्स नार्थ वेस्ट कोल कम्पनी लिमिटेड, डाकघर धनसार, जिला धनबाद की एना कोलियरी के प्रबन्धतन्त्र की श्री दामोदर साहू, मुन्शी को 16 मार्च, 1970 से बेकार करने की कार्यवाही न्यायोचित थी? यदि नहीं, तो कर्मकार किस अनुतोष का हकदार है?”

[संख्या 2/110/70-एल० आर० 2]

New Delhi, the 21st April 1971

S.O. 1827.—Whereas an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Pyrites, Phosphates and Chemicals Limited, Amjhore, District Shahabad (Bihar) and their workmen represented by the Pyrites, Phosphates & Chemicals Staff Association;

And whereas the said employers and their workmen have by a written agreement, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), referred the said dispute to arbitration by the person specified therein, and a copy of the said arbitration agreement has been forwarded to the Central Government.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (3) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the said arbitration agreement which was received by it on the 13th April, 1971.

Agreement

(Under Section 10-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947)

BETWEEN

Name of the parties:

(1) Representing the Employers:

Shri T. N. Jaggi, Chief Mining Engineer.
Shri S. S. Gill, Mines Manager (Mines).
Shri M. L. Rajak, Administrative Officer.

(2) Representing the workmen:

(1) Shri A. Dey, President, PPC Staff Association.
(2) Shri R. Prasad, General Secretary, PPC Staff Association.

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following industrial dispute to the arbitration of Shri Sarju Prasad Singh, Retired High Court Judge, Patna.

(I) Specific matters in dispute and Terms of Reference:

1. What should be the criteria for absorbing (as monthly rated regular staff) the monthly rated ad-hoc staff and the daily rated staff working since long against the post of monthly rated staff.
2. Keeping in view the criteria, that may be decided by the Arbitrator, the demand of the PPC Staff Association for regularising as monthly rated staff all the ad-hoc staff and daily rated staff, is justified or not?

(II) Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of the establishment/Undertaking:

- (a) Management of Pyrites, Phosphates & Chemicals Ltd., Amjhore, Distt. Shahabad (Bihar); and
- (b) Monthly rated and regular staff represented by PPC Staff Association.

(III) Name of the Union, if any, representing the workmen in question:

P.P.C. Staff Association.

(IV) Total No. of workmen employed in the Undertaking affected:

About 2170.

(V) No. of the workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute:

About 35.

We further agree that the decision of the Arbitrator shall be binding on us.

The Arbitrator shall give his award within 3 months or within such further time as extended by mutual agreement in writing. In case the award is not made within the period aforesaid the reference to the arbitrator shall stand automatically cancelled and we shall be free to negotiate for fresh arbitration.

Management's Representatives:

- (1) (Sd.) T. N. JAGGI
- (2) (Sd.) S. S. GILL
- (3) (Sd.) M. L. RAJAK

Witness:

(Sd.) Illegible
Date: 29-1-71.
Place: Amjhore.

Representatives of the monthly rated workers:

- (1) (Sd.) A. DEY,
- (2) (Sd.) R. PRASAD,
General Secretary.

[No. L-29013/1/71-LRIV.]

नई दिल्ली 21 अप्रैल, 1971

क्र० भा० 1827.—यतः पाइराइट्स, फास्फेट्स एंड केमिकल्स लिमिटेड, अमरकोट जिला शाहाबाद (बिहार) के प्रबन्ध तब से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच, जिनका प्रतिनिधित्व पाइराइट्स फास्फेट्स एंड केमिकल्स स्टाफ एसोसियेशन करती है, एक औद्योगिक विवाद विद्यमान है ;

औः यतः उक्त नियोजकों और कर्मचारियों ने औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10—क की उपधारा (1) के उपबन्धों के अनुसरण में एक लिखित करार द्वारा उक्त विवाद को उसमें वर्णित व्यक्तिः माध्यम के लिए निर्देशित कर दिया है और उक्त माध्यस्थन् करार की एक प्रति केन्द्रीय सरकार को भेजी गई है ;

अतः, अब, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10—क की उपधारा (3) के उपबन्धों के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त माध्यस्थम् करार को, जो उसे 13 अप्रैल, 1971 को मिला था, एतद्द्वारा प्रकाशित करती है।

(करार)

(औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 की धारा 10—क के अधीन)

करार

पक्षधारकों के नाम :

निर्गोपनीयता का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले :

1—श्री टी० एन० जंगी, मुख्य खनन इंजीनियर।

2—श्री एस० एस० गिल, खान प्रबन्धक (खान)

3—श्री एम० एल० राजक, प्रशासन अधिकारी भा

कर्मचारियों का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले :

1—श्री ए० डे, अध्यक्ष, पी० पी० सी० स्टाफ एसोसियेशन।

2—श्री आर० प्रसाद महासचिव, पी० पी० सी० स्टाफ एसोसियेशन।

पक्षधारकों के बीच निम्नलिखित औद्योगिक विवाद को एतद्द्वारा श्री सरजू प्रसाद सिंह, उच्च न्यायालय के पटना के सेवानिवृत्त न्यायाधीश के माध्यस्थम् के लिए निर्देशित करने का करार किया गया है।

1— विनिर्दिष्ट के विवाद ग्रस्त।—विषय और विचारार्थ विषय:

सम्बन्धित समय से काम करने वाले मासिक दर के तदर्थ कर्मचारियों और दैनिक दर के कर्मचारियों को मासिक दर के कर्मचारियों के पदों पर रखने (मासिक दर के नियमित कर्मचारियों के रूप में) के लिए क्या कमीती होनी चाहिए?

2. उस कमीती को ध्यान में रखते हुए जो माध्यम द्वारा तय की जाए, क्या सभी तदर्थ कर्मचारियों और दैनिक दरों के कर्मचारियों को मासिक दर के कर्मचारियों के रूप में नियमित करने के लिए पी० पी० सी० स्टाफ एसोसियेशन को मान्य न्यायोचित है या नहीं?

2-क्रिया के पक्षकारों का विवरण, जिसमें अंतर्-
वर्तित स्थापन, उपक्रम का नाम और पता भी
सम्मिलित है।

क-पाइराइट्स, फ स्फेट एण्ड कैमिक्स,
लिमिटेड, अमझोर, जिला शाहाबाद (बिहार)
के प्रबंधक और

ख-मासिक दर के और नियमित कर्मचारी, जिनके
प्रतिनिधित्व पी० पी० सी० स्टाफ एसोसिएशन
करती है।

3-यदि कोई संघ प्रश्नगत कर्मचारों का प्रतिनिधित्व
करता हो तो उसका नाम।

पी० पी० सी० स्टाफ एसोसिएशन

4-प्रभावित उपक्रम में नियोजित कर्मचारों की कुल
संख्या

लगभग 2170

5-विवाद द्वारा प्रभावित या सम्भाव्यतः
प्रभावित होने वाले कर्मचारों की प्रावकलित
संख्या

लगभग 35

हम यह करार भी करते हैं कि माध्यस्थ का विनिश्चय हम पर आवबद्ध कर होगा।

माध्यस्थ अपना पंचाट तीन मास की कालावधि या इतने और समय के भीतर जो हमारे बीच
पारस्परिक विवाद का कारण बढाया जाय, देगा। यदि पूर्व वर्णित कालावधि के भीतर पंचाट
नहीं दिया जाता तो माध्यस्थ के लिए निदेश स्वतः रद्द हो जायगा और हम नए माध्यस्थ के लिए बात
चीत करने को स्वतंत्र होंगे।

नियोजकों का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले मासिक दर के कर्मचारों का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले

(ह०) (1) टी० एन० जग्गी

(ह०) (1) ए० डे, अध्यक्ष

(ह०) (2) एस० एस० गिल

(ह०) (2) अरु प्रसाद,

(ह०) (3) एम० एल० राजक

महासचिव।

1-ह०.....

2-ह०.....

अमझोर तारीख : 29-1-71

[सं एल-29013/1/71-एल० अर०-4]

S.O. 1828.—Whereas an industrial dispute exists between the employers in
relation to the management of Pyrites, Phosphates and Chemicals Limited,
Amjhore, District Shahabad (Bihar) and their workmen represented by the
Pyrites, Phosphates and Chemicals Staff Association;

And whereas the said employers and their workmen have by a written agree-
ment, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 10A of the In-
dustrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), referred the said dispute to arbitration
by the person specified therein, and a copy of the said arbitration agreement has
been forwarded to the Central Government;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (3) of section 10A
of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby
publishes the said arbitration agreement which was received by it on the 13th
April, 1971.

Agreement

(Under Section 10-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947)

BETWEEN.

Name of the Parties:

(1) Representing the Employers:
Shri T. N. Jaggi Chief Mining
Engineer.
Shri S. S. Gill. Mines Manager
(Mines).

(2) Representing the workmen:

(1) Shri A. Dev, President, PPC
Staff Association.
(2) Shri R. Prasad, General
Secretary, PPC Staff Associa-
tion.

Shri M. L. Rajak, Administrative
Officer.

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following industrial dispute to the arbitration of Shri Sarju Prasad Singh, Retired High Court Judge, Patna.

(I) *Specific matters in dispute and Terms of Reference:*

1. "Whether the demand of the PPC Staff Association that the following category of technical staff of the PPC Ltd., Amjhore Mining Project should be given the same scales of pay including interim relief, if any, as are applicable to their counter-parts working under NMDC is justified?

1. Mech. Foreman.
2. Head Mechanic.
3. Mechanic.
4. Electrical Supervisor.
5. Head Electrician.
6. Electrician.
7. Mine Foreman.
8. Mining Sirdar/Mate.
9. Shotfirer/Blaster.
10. Labour Assistant.
11. Assistant Surveyor.
12. Garage and Workshop Foreman.
13. Mine Surveyor.
14. Tyndal Supervisor.

2. If not, what should be their proper scale of pay as on 1st January, 1970?

The Arbitrator will be free to allow interim relief if he so thinks fit".

(II) Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of the establishment/Undertaking.

(a) Management of Pyrites, Phosphates and Chemicals Ltd., Amjhore, Distt., Shahabad (Bihar); and

(b) Monthly rated and regular staff represented by PPC Staff Association.

(III) Name of the Union, if any, representing, the workmen in question:

P.P.C. Staff Association.

(IV) Total No. of workmen employed in the Undertaking affected:

About 2170

(V) No. of the workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute:

About 100.

We further agree that the decision of the Arbitrator shall be binding on us.

The Arbitrator shall give his award within 3 months or within such further time as is extended by mutual agreement in writing. In case the award is not made within the period aforesaid the reference to the arbitrator shall stand automatically cancelled and we shall be free to negotiate for fresh arbitration.

Managements Representatives:

- 1.(Sd.) T. N. JAGGI
- 2.(Sd.) S. S. GILL
- 3.(Sd.) M. L. RAJAK

Representatives of the monthly rated workers.

- 1.(Sd.) A. DEY,
 - 2.(Sd.) R. PRASAD,
- General Secretary.

Witness:

Place:—Amjhore.

Date:—29-1-71

[No. L-29013/2/71-LRIV.]

कां०शां० 1828.—यतः पाइराइट्स, फोस्फेट्स एण्ड कैमिकल्स लि०, अमझोर, जिला शाहाबाद (बिहार) के प्रबन्धतंत्र से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, जिनका प्रतिनिधित्व पाइराइट्स फोस्फेट्स एण्ड कैमिकल्स स्टाफ एसोसियेशन करता है एक औद्योगिक विवाद विद्यमान है ;

और, यतः उक्त नियोजकों और कर्मचारों ने औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947) 1947 का 14) की धारा 10-क की उपधारा (1) के उपबन्धों अनुसरण में एक लिखित करार

द्वारा उक्त विवाद का उपाय उचित व्यक्ति के माध्यम के लिए निर्देशित करने का करार क लिया है और उक्त माध्यम, करार को एक प्रति केन्द्रीय सरकार को भेजी गई है ;

अतः, अब, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10-क की उपधारा (3) के उपबन्धों के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त माध्यम करार को, ज उसे 13 अप्रैल, 1971 को मिला था, एतद्द्वारा प्रकाशित करती है :

(करार)

(औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 की धारा 10-क के अधीन)

पक्षकारों के नाम :

नियोजकों का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले :

- 1 श्री टी० एन० जग्गी,
मुख्य खनन इंजीनियर
- 2 श्री एस० एस० गिल,
खान प्रबन्धक (खान) ।
- 3 श्री एम० एल० राजक,
प्रशासन अधिकारी ।

कर्मकारों का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले :

- 1 श्री ए० डे०,
अध्यक्ष, पी० पी० सी०, स्टाफ एसोसियेशन ।
- 2 श्री आर० प्रसाद,
महा सचिव,
पी० पी० सी० स्टाफ एसोसियेशन :

पक्षकारों के बीच निम्नलिखित औद्योगिक विवाद को श्री सरज प्रसाद सिंह सेवा-निवृत्त उच्च न्यायालय, न्यायाधीश, पटना के माध्यम के लिए निर्देशित करने का करार किया गया है :

1. विनिर्दिष्ट विवाद ग्रस्त विषय और विचारार्थ विषय

“क्या पी० पी० सी० स्टाफ एसोसियेशन की यह मांग न्यायोचित है कि पी० पी० सी० लि० अमझोर खनन प्रायोजना के निम्नलिखित वर्ग के तकनीकी कर्मचारियों को, अन्तरिम सहायता सहित यदि कोई हों, वही वेतन-मान दिए जाने चाहिए जो कि एन० एम० डी० सी० के अधीन काम कर रहे उनके प्रतिस्थानियों को दिए जाते हैं :—

1. मेक० फोरमैन ।
2. हेड मैकेनिक ।
3. मैकेनिक ।
4. इलेक्ट्रिकल सुपरवाइजर ।
5. हेड इलेक्ट्रिशियन ।
6. इलेक्ट्रिशियन ।
7. माइन फोरमैन ।
8. माइनिंग सिरदार/सेट ।
9. शोर्टफायरर/ब्लास्टर ।

10. लेब० एसिसटेंट ।
11. एसिसटेंट सर्वेयर ।
12. गैरेज एण्ड वर्कशॉप फोरमैन ।
13. माइन सर्वेयर ।
14. टिण्डल सूपरवाइजर ।

2. यदि नहीं, तो 1-1-1970 को उनका उचित वेतन मान क्या होना चाहिए ?

मध्यस्थ अन्तरिम सहायता देने में स्वतंत्र होंगे यदि वह इसे उचित समझें ।

2. विवाद के पक्षकारों का विवरण, जिसमें (क) पाइराट्स, फोस्फेट्स एण्ड कैमिकल्स लि०, अमझोर, जिला शाहाबाद (बिहार) के प्रबन्धक और

(ख) मासिक दर वाले और नियमित कर्मचारी जिनका प्रतिनिधित्व पी० पी० सी०, स्टाफ एसोसियेशन करती है ।

3. यदि कोई उच्च प्रशस्त कर्मचारों का प्रति- निधित्व करता हो तो उसका नाम । पी० पी० सी० स्टाफ एसोसियेशन ।

4. प्रभावित उपक्रम में नियोजित कर्मचारों की कुल संख्या लगभग 2170

5. विवाद द्वारा प्रभावित या सम्भाव्यतः प्रभावित होने वाले कर्मचारों की प्राक्कलित संख्या । लगभग 100

हम यह कारण भी करते हैं कि मध्यस्थ का विनिश्चय हम पर आबद्ध कर होगा ।

मध्यस्थ अपना पंचाट तीन मास की कालावधि या इतने और समय के भीतर जो हमारे बीच पारस्परिक लिखित करार द्वारा बढ़ाया जाय, देगा / यदि पूर्व वर्णित कालावधि के भीतर पंचाट नहीं दिया जाता तो माध्यस्थ के लिए निर्देश स्वतः रद्द हो जायगा और हम नए माध्यस्थ के लिए बातचीत करने को स्वतंत्र होंगे ।

1. ह०/- टी० एम० जग्गी
2. ह०/- एस० एस० गिल
3. ह०/- एम० एल० रजक

ह०/- ए० डे

मध्यस्थ,

ह०/-आर० प्रसाद

महा सचिव

नियोजकों का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले

मासिक दर पर काम करने वाले कर्मचारों का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले

साक्षी

1. ह०/-

स्थान : अमझोर

तारीख : 29-1-1971

[संख्या एल० 29013/12/71-एल० आर० 4]

New Delhi, the 26th April 1971

S.O. 1829.—Whereas an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Victory (G. L. Group) Colliery of Messrs Coal Products Private Limited, Post Office Gogla, District Burdwan (hereinafter referred to as the said Employees) and their workmen represented by the Colliery Mazdoor Sabha (A.I.T.U.C.), G.T. Road, Asansol, District Burdwan;

And whereas the said employers and workmen have by a written agreement, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), agreed to refer the said dispute to arbitration of the person specified therein and a copy of the said agreement has been forwarded to the Central Government;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (3) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby published the said arbitration agreement, which has been received by it on the 19th April, 1971.

Agreement

(Under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947)

BETWEEN

Name of the parties:

Representing employers:

Shri A. Rahim, Manager, Victory (G.L. Group) Colliery, M/s. Coal Products (P) Ltd., P.O. Gogla, Dist. Burdwan.

Representing the workmen:

Shri Sunil Sen, Organising Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sabha (AITUC), G.T. Road, Asansol.

It is agreed between the parties to refer the following industrial dispute to the arbitration of Shri R. J. T. D'Mello, Deputy Chief Labour Commissioner (Central), New Delhi.

(i) Specific matters in dispute:

"Keeping in view the recommendations of the Central Wage Board for the Coal Mining Industry as accepted by the Government of India in their Resolution No. WB-16(5)/66, dated the 21st July, 1967 as well as the financial position of Victory (G.L. Group) Colliery of M/s Coal Products (P) Ltd., P.O. Gogla, Dist. Burdwan (West Bengal) what should be the rate of D.A. payable to the workmen employed at Victory (G.L. Group) Colliery with effect from 1st April, 1970 and 1st October, 1970?"

- | | |
|--|--|
| (ii) Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of the establishment or undertaking. | Victory (G.L. Group) Colliery, M/s. Coal Products (P) Ltd., P.O. Gogla, Dist. Burdwan. |
| (iii) Name of the union, if any representing the workmen in question. | Colliery Mazdoor Sabha (A.I.T.U.C.), G.T. Road, P.O. Asansol, Dist. Burdwan. |
| (iv) Total number of workmen employed in the undertaking affected. | 425 |
| (v) Estimated number of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute. | 425 |

We further agree that the decision of the Arbitrator shall be binding on us.

The Arbitrator shall make his award within a period of one hundred thirty days or within such further time as is entered by mutual agreement between us in writing. In case the award is not made within the period aforementioned, the

reference to Arbitrator shall stand automatically cancelled and we shall be free to negotiate for fresh arbitration.

Signature of the parties:

(Sd.) A RAHIM, Manager.

Representing the employers:

(Sd.) SUNIL SEN, Organising Secretary,
Representing the workmen:

Witnesses:

1. (Sd.) S. K. MUKHERJEE,
26-3-71.

2. (Sd.) G. C. SHARMA,
26-3-71.

Dated, the 26th March, 1971.

[No. L/1913/7/71-LRIL]

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 1971

का० आ० 1829—यतः मैसर्स कोल प्रोडक्ट्स प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, डाकघर गोगला, जिला बर्दवान (इसके बाद उक्त कम्पनी के रूप में निर्देशित किया गया है) के प्रबन्धतन्त्र से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच जिनका प्रतिनिधित्व कोलियरी मजदूर सभा (अखिल भारतीय ट्रेड यूनियन कांग्रेस), जी० टी० रोड, आसनसोल, जिला बर्दवान (इसके बाद उक्त यूनियन के रूप में निर्देशित किया गया है) करती है, एक औद्योगिक विवाद विद्यमान है ;

और यतः उक्त नियोजकों और कर्मकारों ने औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10क की उपधारा (1) के उपबन्धों के अनुसरण में एक लिखित करार द्वारा उक्त विवाद को उसमें वर्णित व्यक्ति के माध्यमस्थ के लिए निर्देशित करने का करार कर लिया है और उक्त माध्यमस्थ करार को एक प्रति केन्द्रीय सरकार को भेजी गई है ;

अतः, अब, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10क की उपधारा (3) के उपबन्धों के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त माध्यमस्थ करार को जो उसे 19 अप्रैल, 1971 को मिला था एतद्वारा प्रकाशित करती है।

करार

(औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 की धारा 10क के अधीन)

पक्षकारों के नाम :

नियोजक का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले :

1. श्री ए० रहीम, प्रबन्धक विक्टरी, (जी० एल० ग्रुप) कोलियरी मैसर्स कोल प्रोडक्ट्स (प्रा०) लिमिटेड डाकघर गोगला, जिला बर्दवान।

कर्मकारों का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले :

श्री सुनील सेन, सं. ठान सचिव, कोलियरी मजदूर सभा (अखिल भारतीय ट्रेड यूनियन कांग्रेस), जी० टी० रोड, आसनसोल।

पक्षकारों के बीच निम्नलिखित औद्योगिक विवाद को श्री आर० जे० टी० डीमेल्ली, उप मुख्य अमायुक्त (केन्द्रीय), नई दिल्ली के माध्यमस्थ के लिए निर्देशित करने का करार किया गया है।

1. विनिर्दिष्ट विवाद प्रस्त विषय :

"भारत सरकार द्वारा अपने संकल्प संख्या डब्ल्यू० बी० 16(5)/166, तारीख 21 जुलाई

1967 में स्वीकृत कोयला खनन उद्योग सम्बन्धी केन्द्रीय मजदूरी बोर्ड की सिफारिशों और मैसर्स कोल प्राइवेट्स (प्रा०) लिमिटेड डाकघर गोगला, जिला बर्दवान (पश्चिम बंगाल की विक्टरी (जी० एल० ग्रुप) कोलियरी की वित्तीय स्थिति को ध्यान में रखते हुए विक्टरी (जी० एल० ग्रुप) कोलियरी में नियोजित कर्मकारों को देय महंगाई भत्ते की दर 1-4-1970 से 1-10-1970 तक क्या होनी चाहिए।”

2. विवाद के पक्षकारों का विवरण जिसमें अर्त-बलित स्थापन या उपक्रम का नाम और पता भी सम्मिलित है। विक्टरी (जी० एल० ग्रुप) कोलियरी मैसर्स कोल प्राइवेट्स (प्रा०) लिमिटेड, डाकघर गोगला, जिला बर्दवान।
3. यदि कोई संघ प्रश्नगत कर्मकारों का प्रतिनिधित्व करता है तो उसका नाम। कोलियरी मजदूर सभा (अखिल भारतीय ट्रेड यूनियन कांग्रेस) जी० टी० रोड, डाकघर आसनसोल, जिला बर्दवान।
4. प्रभावित उपक्रम में नियोजित कर्मकारों की कुल संख्या। 425
5. विवाद द्वारा प्रभावित या सम्भाव्यतः प्रभावित होने वाले कर्मकारों की प्राप्तकालित संख्या। 425

हम यह करार भी करते हैं कि मध्यस्थ का विनश्चय हम पर बाबद कर होगा।

मध्यस्थ अपना पंचाट एक सौ तीस दिन की कालावधि या इतने और समय के भीतर जो हमारे बीच पारस्परिक लिखित करार द्वारा बढ़ाया जाय, देगा। यदि पूर्वणित कालावधि के भीतर पंचाट नहीं दिया जाता तो माध्यस्थ के लिए निदेश स्वतः रद्द हो जायेगा और हम नए माध्यस्थ के लिए बातचीत करने का स्वतंत्र होंगे।

पक्षकारों के हस्ताक्षर

(ह०।) ए० रहीम

प्रबंधक

नियोजकों का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले।

ह०। सुनील सेन

कर्मकारों का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले।

साक्षी :

1. (ह०) एस० के० मुजर्जी

2. (ह०) जी० सी० शर्मा

तारीख : 26 मार्च, 1971

[संख्या एल० 1913 (7)/71 एल० आर० 2]

आर० कुंजीबापदम, अवर सचिव।

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 26th April 1971

S.O. 1830.—In the Order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) S.O. No. 4057, dated the 10th December, 1970 published on page 5776 of the Gazette of India Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii), dated the 26th December, 1970, in the Schedule for "Singareni Collieries Company Limited" read "No. 1 Incline Somagudem Mine of Belampalli Division of Singareni Collieries Company Limited."

[No. 1/39/70-LR.II]

R. KUNJITHAPADAM, Under Secy.

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 20th April, 1971

S.O. 1831.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Vijaya Bank Limited and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 16th April, 1971.

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL IN MYSORE, BANGALORE

Dated 7th April, 1971

PRESENT:

Sri Narayan Rai Kudoor, B.A., B.L., Presiding Officer.

REFERENCE NO. 4 OF 1969 (CENTRAL)

I Party

The workmen of the Vijaya Bank Ltd., Mangalore, South Kanara.

Vs.

II Party

The Management of the Vijaya Bank Ltd., Regd., Office, Light House Hill Road, Mangalore-2, South Kanara.

APPEARANCES:

For the I Party—Sri A. L. Hebbar, General Secretary, The Vijaya Bank Employees' Association, Coast Road, Coondapoor, South Kanara.

For the II Party—Sri V. S. Ganeshan.

Reference

Order No. 23/98/69/LR.III dated 27th September, 1969, of the Under Secretary to Government, Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment).

AWARD

The Central Government, as per their Order No. 23/98/69/LR.III dated 27th July, 1969, have referred an industrial dispute existing between the employers in relation to the Vijaya Bank Limited and their workmen to this Tribunal for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 7-A and Clause (d) of Sub-Section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act XIV of 1947) setting out the following point of dispute viz.

"Whether the management of the Vijaya Bank Limited, Mangalore-3, was justified in transferring Shri C. Gopinathan Nair, President of the Vijaya Bank Employees' Association, Coondapoor from their Kalpetta Branch (Kerala State) to Aldur Branch (Mysore State)? If not, to what relief is he entitled?"

2. The cause of the concerned workman has been sponsored and taken up by the Vijaya Bank Employees' Association, Coondapoor, represented by its General Secretary, Sri A. L. Hebbar. This Association, as representation the aggrieved workman is the I Party and the Management of the Vijaya Bank Limited, is the II Party in these proceedings.

3. After the reference was received in this Tribunal, notices were caused to be issued to both the Parties calling upon them to file their Statement of Demands. In pursuance of the notices, the I Party and the II Party have submitted their statement of demands dated 12th November, 1969, and 28th November, 1969, respectively.

4. The case put forward by the I Party in their statement, briefly stated, is as follows:—

Sri C. Gopinathan Nair, President of the I Party Association, working in Kalpetta Branch in Kerala State of the II Party was transferred to their Aldur Branch (Mysore State) by their order dated 25th June, 1969. He was elected as the President of the Association by the General Body held on 22nd December, 1968, and his election was duly communicated to the II Party on 26th December, 1968, to recognise him as a 'protected workman'. The I Party had vast membership in the State of Kerala. He was guiding the members of the I Party in his capacity as President. His activities connected with the I Party-Association incurred the displeasure of the II Party. With a view to prevent him from taking active part in his Trade Union Activities the II Party disturbed him from the Kalpetta Branch by transferring him to Aldur Branch in Mysore State. His transfer was a deliberate act of victimisation and unfair labour practice employed by the II Party with an ulterior motive of disrupting the I Party. He was a protected workman and his transfer was not connected with the business interests of II Party and exigency of service. The II Party has committed breach of Rule 61 of the Industrial Disputes (Central) Rules 1957. Besides, the II Party also has violated the directions contained in paragraph 535 of the Sastry Award. The transfer order in question suffers from other irregularities and illegalities. Besides, his transfer beyond the language area and made without his consent and against his will is a clear contravention of the directions contained in para 536 of the Sastry Award. In these circumstances, the I Party urged to set aside the order of transfer passed against Sri C. Gopinathan and also prayed for granting such other reliefs as it deems fit and proper under the circumstances of the case, with costs.

5. The II Party in their Statement dated 28th November, 1969, emphatically denied the allegation of victimisation and their employing unfair labour practice levelled against them. Further, they also questioned in the *locus standi* of Sri A. L. Hebbar to represent the I Party-Association in these proceedings. Their case on this aspect, is that they were informed by the Vijaya Bank Employees' Association in the latter part of the year 1968 that new office bearers have been elected to the I Party-Association at an Extraordinary General Body Meeting held on 6th October, 1968, replacing the previous Office Bearers among whom Sri A. L. Hebbar the General Secretary of the Association, was one. So he ceased to be the General Secretary of the I Party-Association since 6th October, 1968. That being so, he is not competent to represent the I Party-Association muchless the concerned workman in these proceedings. Dealing with the question of transfer of Sri C. Gopinathan Nair, it is contended by the II Party that his transfer was necessitated by the exigencies of service and administration. Transfers are normal incident of the working of the Bank and what was done by the II Party was within the ambit of their discretionary powers. In the communication addressed by Sri A. L. Hebbar to the II Party, he has not stated that Sri C. Gopinathan Nair falls within the category of 'Protected Workman'. The allegations that the II Party had effected the transfer of Sri C. Gopinathan Nair with a view to cripple his trade union activities connected with the I Party-Association and that it was a deliberate act of victimisation and unfair labour practice are all contrary to realities and facts. It is equally incorrect to say that the II Party had frequently violated the directions contained in paragraph 535 of the Sastry Award. In fact, the II Party at no time has contravened the directions contained either in paragraph 535 or 536 of the said Award. The award only prohibits the transfer of subordinate staff beyond the language area and does not prohibit the transfer of clerical staff beyond the language area. The dispute raised by the I Party does not fall within the ambit of industrial dispute inasmuch as Sri A. L. Hebbar cannot lawfully represent the I Party-Association. Finally, it is prayed that the claim of the I Party be rejected with costs of the II Party.

6. The I Party has filed a reply dated 28th December, 1969, reiterating their stand taken in the statement of claim.

7. On the above pleadings, my learned predecessor had framed the following Issues in addition to the points of dispute scheduled in the Order of Reference:—

"1. Whether the transfer of Sri Gopinathan Nair is *mala fide* and deliberate act of victimisation and unfair labour practice on the Part of the II Party-Management?

2. Whether the transfer of Sri Gopinathan Nair is in contravention of paragraph 536 of the Sastry Award?

3. Whether the II Party management has transferred Sri Gopinathan Nair without complying with and in violation of the directions contained in paragraph 535 of the Sastri Award?
4. Whether, by transferring Sri Gopinathan Nair, the II Party management has committed breach of Rule 61 of the Industrial Disputes (Central Rules) 1957?
5. Whether Sri A. L. Hebbar is incompetent to represent the Vijaya Bank Employees' Association or the employees of the II Party, as alleged in the counter statement?
6. Whether the dispute in question is an industrial dispute within the meaning of Sec. 2 (k) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947?
7. To what reliefs are the Parties entitled?"

8. After the Issues were settled, the case was posted for Enquiry and the Parties were informed of the date of hearing. When the case was pending enquiry, an application was filed by one H. Sudhakar Shetty styling himself as the General Secretary of the Vijaya Bank Employees' Association dated 20th February, 1970, requesting to implead him in the place of Sri A. L. Hebbar as General Secretary of the I Party Union as he is the duly authorised representative of the I Party Association to take part in these proceedings. In the circumstances of the case, I feel it unnecessary to detail the grounds on which the application in question is grounded. The I Party filed their counter dated 4th March, 1970, and opposed the impleading application. The Intervenor has filed his reply dated 10th April, 1970. The application for impleading was also set down for hearing. While the matter was pending enquiry, the II Party has filed an additional statement dated 29th September, 1970, bringing to the notice of the Tribunal certain developments that have taken place subsequent to the Reference. It is averred therein that subsequent to the Reference, Sri Gopinathan Nair has ceased to be the Member-of the I Party as he has joined the Vijaya Bank Employees Union (Registered) at a date earlier to 15th August, 1970, and the II Party has received the communication to that effect. According to the communication, the said Gopinathan Nair is the General Secretary (Aidur) of the Vijaya Bank Employees' Union which is a body affiliated to all India Bank Employees' Association. That being so, the I Party has no subsisting interest in pursuing the reference and as such, the Reference may be rejected. Thereafter, the I Party has filed a Memo dated 28th November, 1970, admitting the averments made by the II Party in their further statement and stated that the Issue is not pressed since the dispute referred for adjudication does not survive.

9. In view of the above memo filed by the I Party, I feel it unnecessary to consider the impleading application filed by the Intervenor, Sri H. Sudhakar Shetty or the main matter on merits, as the I Party has indicated in the Memo referred to above that the Issue is not pressed. That being so, the dispute involved in the reference does not survive for adjudication and hence the reference has to be rejected.

10. In the circumstances aforesaid, I pass an award rejecting the Reference. There will be no order as to costs.

(Sd.) NARAYANAN RAI KUDOOB,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, B'lore.
[No. F. 23/98/69/LR. III.]

S.O. 1832.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the National and Grindlays Bank Limited and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 13th April, 1971.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 5 OF 1971

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the National and Grindlays Bank Limited, Calcutta.
AND

Their workmen.

PRESENT:

Mr. B. N. Banerjee, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers—Mr. M. S. Bala.

On behalf of Workmen—Mr. A. D. Singh.

STATE: West Bengal

INDUSTRY: Banking.

AWARD

By Order No. 23/116/70-LR-III, dated December 10, 1970, the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), referred the following industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the National and Grindlays Bank Limited, Calcutta and their workmen, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, namely:—

"Whether the action of the management of the National and Grindlays Bank Limited, Calcutta in appointing Shri Narayan Chandra Behara, in the sub-staff category, with effect from the 15th May, 1970 was justified, having regard to the existing procedure of giving an opportunity to sons/dependents of retired, disabled and retiring employees of the bank for consideration. If not, what procedure, if any, should be adopted by the Bank to fill the vacancy afresh?"

2. The National and Grindlays Bank Staff Union filed one written statement. In paragraph 2 of the said written statement, it was stated that a vacancy had occurred in the main Calcutta office of the Bank and notices were displayed on the notice boards of all the branches in Calcutta on April 25, 1970, notifying that such a vacancy existed and inviting applications within April 29, 1970. The grievance as regards this notice was pleaded in paragraphs 3 and 4 of the written statement, which are set out below:

"3. That the period for putting in the application was so short that most of the sons/dependents of the retired employees could not get sufficient time to apply before the scheduled date.

4. That the limitation of time was wilfully kept very short so that the candidates could not apply within the specified time because the management had already decided to recruit Shri Narayan Chandra Behara, and the display of the notice was merely an eye wash."

Nevertheless, it was pleaded in paragraph 6 of the said written statement, one application on behalf of one Rajeshwar Singh and another on behalf of one Sarkar Bahadur Singh were submitted, in time, respectively by Ram Deo Singh and Sree Prasad Singh. In paragraph 8 of the written statement it was stated:

"That the management finally filled up the vacancy by recruiting Shri Narayan Chandra Behara on 15th May, 1970, Sarvashri Rajeshwar Singh and Sarkar Bahadur Singh were not called for interview, because the bank knew fully well that they were more qualified, competent and experienced than Shri Behara."

3. The management also filed a written statement. In paragraph 5 of the said written statement, they alleged that the appointment of Narayan Chandra Behara in the subordinate cadre was justified as he was the son of a retired employee of the Bank and that recruitment of staff was in the sole discretion of the management. In paragraph 8 of their written statement the Bank justified the sufficiency of the notice, in the following language:

"With regard to para 3 of the petition, Management states the question of 'short' notice does not arise, as candidates seeking employment in the Bank always apply in anticipation of vacancies and these applications are filed and considered at the appropriate time. It is a matter of absolute discretion of the Management to fix any date by which applicants should apply."

In paragraph 10 of the written statement, the management explained the reason why they did not consider the other applications with the statement that the management was under no obligation to consider the applications submitted on behalf of the applicants.

4. In the background of these pleadings, I have to consider the industrial dispute referred to this Tribunal.

5. The question of appointing relatives of present or past workmen of the Bank in vacancies as they occur has been coming up again and again before this Tribunal. In Reference No. 108 of 1969 between the same parties, the dispute that was referred to this Tribunal was couched in the following language:

"Whether the action of the management of the National and Grindlays Bank Limited, Calcutta in making appointment to seven posts in the subordinate category of staff during the period from 27th January to the 7th April, 1969 was justified, having regard to the established practice of notifying the vacancies for the benefit of the relatives of the workmen and understanding reached on the 17th August, 1967 between the bank and the National and Grindlays Bank Staff Union.***"

In paragraph 5 of the said award the following observations appear:

"I have now to see whether there was, as alleged in paragraph 5, a gentleman's assurance and understanding given by the Bank to the National Grindlays Staff Union on 17th August, 1967. That document is a report of the Conciliation Officer, on a previous dispute with the Bank management and the present trade union, marked Ex. D by consent, and reads as follows:

"Mr. A. S. Barrow, Accountant with Shri A. Roy Choudhury, Labour Adviser of the Bank on behalf of the management while S/Shri A. D. Singh and G. A. Singh, appeared on behalf of the union. The management agreed to ensure in future that union dues are not deducted from those employees who have not authorised the bank to do so. As regard recruitment to the sub-staff category, the bank should, whenever any vacancy arises, display a notice on the notice board for the general information of all eligible candidates. In the matter of recruitment the bank shall follow the provisions of the bipartite settlement dated 19th October, 1966 and their prevalent practice with regard to relations. The union is satisfied with this and in case there is any breach of such understanding they are free to take up the matter in accordance with law.

This case is not pursued further. "(Underlined by me for emphasis)."

I do not find from Ex. D that any agreement was made or any assurance was given by the Bank. The Assistant Labour Commissioner wished that whenever a new vacancy would arise, the Bank should display a notice on the notice Board for the general information of all eligible candidates. By not giving such a notice the Bank might have disregarded the wish of the Assistant Labour Commissioner but did not violate any agreement or disregarded any assurance."

I took note, in that award, of a letter, dated October 23, 1967 (marked Ex. E in that Reference), by which the Bank agreed:

"(1) In recruiting clerical staff the following criteria will be observed.

Other things being equal, preference will be given to one son or daughter or dependent; (a) of a retired employees, (b) any employee who has had to leave the service of the Bank through disablement/sickness, (c) an employee on point of retirement:

(2) In all such cases the applicant must sit with others and obtain a satisfactory pass and the otherwise fit for appointment.

In respect of recruitment of subordinate staff the conditions laid down in paragraphs 1(a), (b) and (c) will also apply."

About the aforesaid letter I made the following further observations:

"To do so is not to encourage hereditary claim to any office but when qualifications are equal, there is no reason why a particular candidate should not get the benefit of past loyal services put in by his predecessor relative or relatives."

In course of hearing of that Reference, the management of the Bank and the workmen showed a very reasonable frame of mind and basing upon their agreement the Tribunal awarded, "that in future the Bank would publish a notification of recruitment on the Notice Board of the local branches and on the Notice Board of the main office of the Bank it being distinctly understood that such notices will be published only in the branches of the particular locality. say for example, if the recruitment is for Calcutta the notification will be published at the Calcutta branches and at no other place. Mr. A. D. Singh, appearing for the workmen.

was willing to accept the procedure as a proper procedure. In my opinion, the best thing to do in the circumstances of the case is to direct the Bank to do so hereinbefore stated". The said award was published in the Gazette of India on May 23, 1970 and became effective under Section 17A of the Industrial Disputes Act on the expiry of 30 days from the date of its publication under Section 17. Thus, the award did not become effective prior to June 23, 1970. It appears from the letter dated May 13, 1970 (Ex. A) that Narayan Chandra Behara was selected for appointment as a member of the subordinate staff with effect from May 15, 1970. Thus, at the time when the bank published the vacancy notice or made the appointment, the award in Reference No. 108 of 1969 was not operative.

6. Nevertheless, the procedure for appointment, of a sub-staff, in a vacancy in the Bank, conformed substantially to the procedure set out in letter dated October 23, 1967 (already set out). It appears from Ex. B, the application for employment submitted by Narayan Chandra Behara, that he is son of one Sonakar Behara, an ex-employee of the Bank. Therefore, ultimately the son of an ex-employee of the Bank was appointed in the vacancy.

7. Although that is so, I have still then to consider several other grievances made by Mr. A. D. Singh, appearing for the trade union. He submitted, in the first place, that the notice of vacancy, which gave only 4 days to apply was much too short a notice. In this grievance Mr. Singh is justified. All notices must be reasonable notices unless there be a limit thereon by a statute. If relatives of employees or ex-employees are to apply, they may not be readily available in Calcutta. They have to be informed and may have to send their applications by post. Four days time to apply seems to be wholly insufficient time for that purpose.

8. Although that is so, the award in Reference No. 108 of 1969 was not binding on the Bank on the date when the appointment was made and there was no obligation on the part of the Bank to display a notice at all. That being so, I can only take of the future and may ask the Bank that in future all notices of vacancies must give at least 15 days time to prospective applicants to apply.

9. Mr. Singh further contended that the appointment of Narayan Chandra Behara was a foregone conclusion. The display of notice of invitation for applications and interview of candidates were all eye washes. The management had a closed mind and were determined to appoint Narayan Chandra Behara. He invited my attention to letter Ex. 1, addressed by the trade union to the General Manager of the Bank in London, dated April 30, 1970, from which I set out the material portion:

"That the dominant proof of the collusion is the present recruitment case, which is yet to be made. The management displayed a notice for recruitment on the notice-board on 25th of this month and the last date of submitting the applications was fixed 29th April 1970. This short and unreasonable period was decided in order to avoid the sons/dependents of the retired staff, hailing from far off places in other provinces of the country.

That we have reason to believe that the management has already decided to recruit Shri Narayan Chandra Behara, son of Shri Sonakar Behara, at the instance of the said union and the notice is a mere formality and an eye wash."

Mr. Singh contended that if the appointment was made with effect from May 15, 1970, there was no point in having already chosen Narayan Chandra Behara as far back as on April 30, 1970. He submitted that unless the choice had already been made, they could not write a letter like Ex. 1 to the General Manager, London on April 30, 1970. Mr. Bala submitted that an inference of malafides should not lightly be made against the management. According to him, the selection was made on 30th April although the letter of appointment was later on issued. The news might have leaked out and that explains how the letter could be written to the London Manager on April 30, 1970. In my opinion, letter Ex. 1, raises a good deal of suspicion against the conduct of the management but suspicion is not proof and on that evidence alone, I cannot hold that the management proceeded in the matter of selection of candidate with a forewarned mind.

10. The last grievance made by Mr. Singh was that the application filed on behalf of Sarkar Bahadur Singh by his grandfather Sree Prasad Singh was not considered. An application must be made by the applicant himself. The application may mention past services rendered by the applicants relatives, as a matter of special consideration, but if the applicant does not apply himself, an application

on his behalf by somebody else need not be considered. This is all the more so because it appears that there is a prescribed form of application in the Bank, in which form the application was not made. I, therefore, make little of this grievance made by Mr. Singh.

11. In the view that I take, I hold that the action of the management of National and Grindlays Bank Limited, Calcutta in appointing Narayan Chandra Behara in the subordinate category with effect from 15th May, 1970 was justified. I, further, hold that although justified, the notice inviting application was insufficient and in future all notices inviting applications for vacancies must give at least 15 days notice in writing to enable prospective applicants to apply. That should be the procedure adopted by the Bank to fill up vacancies, in addition to the procedure prescribed in the award of this Tribunal in Reference No. 108 of 1969.

This is my award.

Dated, April 5, 1971.

Sd./- B. N. BANERJEE,
Presiding Officer.

[No. F.23/116/70-LRIII]

S.O. 1833.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Vijaya Bank Limited and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 16th April, 1971.

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Dated 6th April, 1971

PRESENT:

Sri Narayan Rai Kudoor, B.A., B.L.,—Presiding Officer.

REFERENCE No. 2 OF 1969 (CENTRAL)

I Party:

The workmen of the Vijaya Bank Ltd., Mangalore, South Kanara.

Vs.

II Party:

The Management of the Vijaya Bank Ltd., Regd., Office, Lighthouse Hill Road, Mangalore-2, South Kanara.

APPEARANCES:

For the I Party.—Sri A. L. Hebbar, General Secretary, The Vijaya Bank Employees' Association, Coast Road, Coondapoor, South Kanara.

For the II Party.—Sri V. S. Ganeshan.

REFERENCE

Order No. 23/78/69/LRIII dated 8th September, 1969, of the Under Secretary to Government, Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment).

AWARD

The above Reference was received from the Central Government in exercise of the powers conferred upon them under Section 7-A and Clause (d) of Sub-Section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) for adjudication an industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of the Vijaya Bank Ltd., setting out the undermentioned point of dispute viz.

"Whether the management of Vijaya Bank Limited, Mangalore-3, was justified in transferring Shri A. P. Tinaikar, Joint Secretary of the Vijaya Bank Employees' Association, Coondapoor from their Dharwar Branch to K. G. Road, Bangalore Branch? If not, to what relief is he entitled?"

2. The cause of the concerned workman has been sponsored and taken up by the Vijaya Bank Employees' Association, Coondapoor, (South Kanara). This

association, as representing the workman, is I Party and the Management of Vijaya Bank Limited, is the II Party in these proceedings.

3. After the reference was received in this Tribunal, on notices being served, the I Party and the II Party have submitted their Statements of Demands dated 14th October, 1969, and 28th November, 1969, respectively.

4. The case put forward by the I Party in their Statement briefly stated is as follows:—

The concerned workman Sri A. P. Tinaikar was the Joint Secretary of the I Party-Association working in the Dharwar Branch of the II Party. He was an active Member of the I Party which was in its formative stage. His Trade Union activities incurred the displeasure of the II Party. In order to prevent him from taking interest in the activities of the I Party, the II Party had displaced him from Dharwar by transferring him to its Branch at K. G. Road, Bangalore, by an order dated 4th October, 1968. The transfer in question was a case of victimisation. It transpired that on his transfer to Bangalore, he was even manhandled by Sri B. N. R. Hegde who is an employee of the II Party in the presence of the other employees. The conduct of the II Party in transferring Sri A. P. Tinaikar is in contravention of Section 28K of the Indian Trade Unions Act, as the said act of theirs is nothing but an attempt to disrupt the activities of the Trade Union of their employees. The II Party is guilty of unfair labour practice and they had flagrantly violated the directions contained in paragraph 535 of Sastry Award. Notice of transfer was not posted on the Notice Board. It does not indicate the special circumstances in which he was transferred. The representation of the Union was not even considered by the II Party. In these circumstances, the I Party requested to set aside the order of transfer of Sri A. P. Tinaikar and to restore him to his position at Dharwar Branch with such other reliefs as it deems fit and proper, with costs.

5. The II Party in their statement dated 28th November, 1969, denied the allegations levelled against them *inter alia* contending as follows:—

They questioned the very right of Sri A. L. Hebbar who is representing the I Party as its General Secretary on the ground that he ceased to be the General Secretary of the Vijaya Bank Employees' Association. Their case is that they were informed by the Vijaya Bank Employees' Association in the later part of the year 1968 that new office-bearers had been elected at an extra ordinary General Body Meeting of the Association held on 6th October, 1968, replacing the previous office bearers among whom Sri A. L. Hebbar, the General Secretary of the Union was one and hence, he is not competent to represent the Association in these proceedings. Dealing with the question of transfer of Sri A. P. Tinaikar out of which the present reference was founded it is contended by the II Party that his transfer was necessitated by the exigencies of service and administration. Transfers are normal incidents of the working of the Bank and what was done by the II Party was within their discretionary powers. His transfer has nothing to do with his Trade Union Activities as alleged. He was transferred to the more important place wherein at present the Administrative Office of the II Party is situated. The allegation of victimisation and unfair labour practice are all unfounded and denied. Further it is urged that the dispute raised by the I Party does not fall within the ambit of the Industrial Dispute in as much as Sri A. L. Hebbar cannot lawfully represent the Association. Finally, it is prayed that the claim of the I Party be rejected with costs of the II Party.

6. The I Party has filed a reply statement dated 28th December, 1969, reiterating their stand taken in their statement of claim.

7. On the above pleadings, my learned predecessor had framed the following Issues in addition to the points of dispute scheduled in the Order of Reference:—

- "1. Whether the transfer of Sri A. P. Tinaikar was *mala fide* and a deliberate act of victimisation and unfair labour practice on the part of the II Party-Management?
2. Whether the II Party management has transferred Sri A. P. Tinaikar without following the directions contained in paragraph 535 of Sastry Award? And if so, is the said transfer bad?
3. Whether Sri A. L. Hebbar is incompetent to represent the Vijaya Bank Employees' Association or the employees of the II Party as alleged in the Counter Statement?
4. Whether the dispute in question is an industrial dispute within the meaning of Sec. 2(k) of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947?
5. To what reliefs are the Parties entitled?

8. After the Issues were settled, the case was posted for Enquiry and the parties were notified of the date of hearing. When the case was pending enquiry, an application was filed by one Sri H. Sudhakar Shetty styling himself as the General Secretary of the Vijaya Bank Employees' Association, dated 20th February, 1970, requesting to implead him in the place of Sri A. L. Hebbar as General Secretary of the I Party Union as he is the duly authorised representative of the I Party Association to take part in the proceedings. In the circumstances of the case, it is unnecessary to detail the grounds on which the application in question is grounded. The I Party has by their Counter dated 4th March, 1970, opposed the application. The Intervener has filed his reply dated 10th April, 1970. The application for impleading was also set down for hearing. In the meanwhile, on 29th September, 1970, the II Party has filed an additional statement bringing to the notice of the Tribunal certain developments that have taken place subsequent to the reference. It is averred therein that subsequent to the reference, Sri A. P. Tinaikar ceased to be the Member of the I Party as he has joined the Vijaya Bank Employees Union (Regd.) at a date earlier to 15th August, 1970, and the II Party had received a communication to that effect. According to the communication, the said A. P. Tinaikar is the Organising Secretary (Bangalore) of the Vijaya Bank Employees Union which is a body affiliated to All India Bank Employees' Association. That being so, the I Party has no subsisting interest in pursuing the reference and as such, the Reference may be rejected. Thereafter, the I Party has filed a Memo dated 28th November, 1970, admitting the averments made by the II Party in their further statement dated 29th September, 1970, and stated that the Issue is not pressed since the dispute does not survive.

9. In view of the above Memo filed by the I Party, there is no need to consider the impleading application filed by the Intervener, Sri H. Sudhakar Shetty, or the main matter on merits as the I Party has indicated in their Memo referred to above that the Issue is not pressed. That being so, the dispute involved in the Reference does not survive for adjudication and hence the reference has to be rejected.

10. In the circumstances aforesaid, I pass an Award rejecting the Reference. There will be no order as to costs.

(Sd.) NARAYAN RAI KUDOOB,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal Bangalore.
[No. F. 23/78/69/LRIII.]

New Delhi, the 21st April, 1971

S.O. 1834.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 4 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendments in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 1277, dated the 24th March, 1969, namely:—

In the Table annexed to the said notification for Sl. No. 24 and the entries relating thereto, the following Sl. No. and entries shall be substituted, namely:—

1	2	3
24.	Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central), Raipur.	The State of Madhya Pradesh.

[No. S. 11025/10/71-LRI.]

(श्रम और रोज़गार विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 21 अप्रैल, 1971

का. प्रा. 1834—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 4 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा भारत सरकार के श्रम,

रोजगार और पुनर्वास मंत्रालय (श्रम और रोजगार विभाग) की अधिसूचना संख्या का. आ. 1277 तारीख 24 मार्च, 1969 निम्नलिखित संशोधन और करती है—

उक्त अधिसूचना से उपाबद्ध सारणी में, क्रम संख्या 24 और उससे संबंधित प्रविष्टियों का स्थान पर, निम्नलिखित क्रम संख्या और प्रविष्टियां प्रतिस्थापित की जाएंगी, अर्थात् :—

1	2	3
"24	सहायक श्रम आयुक्त (केन्द्रीय) रायपुर।	म.य. प्रदेश राज्य।"

[सं. फा. एस. 11025/10/71-एल. आर. 1]

S.O. 1835.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Punjab National Bank Limited and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 17th April, 1971.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT DHANBAD.

In the matter of a Reference under Sec. 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 47).

REFERENCE No. 9 OF 1969

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Punjab National Bank Limited

AND

Their workmen.

PRESENT:

Shri A. C. Sen, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

For the Employers—Shri A. Roy Choudhary, Staff Officer.

For the workmen—Shri C. L. Bhardwaj, General Secretary of the Union.

STATE: Bihar

INDUSTRY: Bank.

Dhanbad, dated, the 30th March, 1971.

AWARD

The Central Government by its Order No. 23/39/68-LRIII dated New Delhi, the 20th December, 1968 referred to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Delhi, the following dispute: "Whether the management of the Punjab National Bank Limited was justified in reverting Shri Kalika Prasad, working in the Jayanagar Pay Office of the Bank to the post of clerk with effect from the 22nd August 1967 after having allowed him to work as supervisor with effect from the 30th July, 1962. If not, to what relief is the workmen entitled?"

2. By Order No. 23/39/68-LRIII dated the 17th February, 1969 S.O. No. 813 the above dispute was transferred to this Tribunal, and the order of transfer was received in this Tribunal on the 17th March, 1969, whereupon it was registered as Reference No. 9 of 1969 in this Tribunal.

3. The written statement of the Bank was filed at Bombay on the 16th April, 1969. The written statement on behalf of the workmen appears to have been filed at Delhi before the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Delhi on or about the 25th February, 1969.

4. In order to appreciate the nature of dispute it is necessary to state certain facts. Kalika Prasad, the workman concerned joined the service of the Bank as a Clerk-cum-Typist on 20th August, 1962 at the Jaynagar Branch, Darbhanga (vide Ext. M. 11). From 30th July, 1962 Rama Kanta Tewari, the permanent supervisor of the Pay Offices at Jaynagar, proceeded on medical leave and from the said date Kalika Prasad, the workman concerned, worked in his place as supervisor.

5. Rama Kanta Tewari, the permanent supervisor, died on 4th March, 1963 and Kalika Prasad, the workman concerned, continued to work as a supervisor in that vacancy. He worked as supervisor from 30th July, 1962 to 21st August, 1962, but from 22nd August, 1962 he has been working as a clerk.

6. The point for consideration is whether the Bank was justified in reverting the workman concerned to the post of clerk with effect from 22nd August, 1962 after having allowed him to work as supervisor with effect from 30th July, 1962. According to the Bank, the question of reversion cannot arise as Kalika Prasad was never promoted as a supervisor. It is for this reason that the Bank has taken the preliminary objection that the reference is bad in law and is liable to be dismissed in limine.

7. Let us see whether Kalika Prasad the workman concerned, was at any time from 30th July, 1962 to 21st August, 1962 was promoted as a supervisor. The Bank's case is that he joined service of the Bank as a clerk-cum-typist on 20th August, 1962 and that he was allowed to work temporarily as supervisor (now called special assistant) in stop gap arrangements at Jaynagar from time to time on payment of special allowance as provided under the Bank Award, as he was the seniormost clerk at that office.

8. Ext. M. 101, being Staff Bulletin no. 31 of the Punjab National Bank dated 30th August, 1962 shows that at the Jaynagar Branch of the Bank Kalika Prasad, Clerk, worked as supervisor in the leave arrangement of R. K. Tewari from the 30th July, 1962 to the 28th August, 1962. This entry appears in serial no. 5 under the heading: "A" Temporary changes in staff comprising holders of P.A.'s & Specific Powers. Ext. M. 3 is the application by Rama Kanta Tewari for leave on the ground of illness from the 30th July, 1962 to the 28th August, 1962. Ext. M. 3(c) is an endorsement on that application to the effect that "Kalika Prasad will work in his place and the arrangement will be the same as on last Friday". There is another application for one day's leave by Rama Kanta Tewari (Ext. M. 2) dated the 27th July, 1962. This application has been marked as Ext. M. 2. On this application of the 27th July, 1962 there is the following endorsement: "One day's C/L sanctioned:— Arrangement:— Shri Kalika Prasad. Duties of Tewari along with Despatch and Daily Extract." This endorsement has been marked as Ext. M. 2(a). These exhibits show that only a temporary arrangement was made on both the occasions when Tewari took leave and according to that temporary arrangement Kalika Prasad was to work in the place of Tewari. No distinction was made between a leave of one day and a leave of nearly one month in making the temporary arrangement.

9. The evidence on record shows that such temporary arrangements were made by the officer-in-charge of the Jaynagar Branch. For instance, office order no. 9/62 dated 5th June, 1962 in Ext. M. the order Book runs thus: "The undersigned, under instructions of the Parent Branch Manager, has handed over the charge of the office to Shri R. K. Tewari, and is proceeding to Darbhanga. With effect from tomorrow Shri R. K. Tewari will work as Officer incharge and Shri Kalika Prasad as supervisor till further arrangements....." This order was passed by N. D. Gupta, Officer Incharge. The endorsements referred to in the next preceding paragraph were also made by N. D. Gupta. It is, therefore, clear that the concerned workman was allowed to work as supervisor in the place of R. K. Tewari purely as a temporary measure. Ext. M. 100, being Bulletin no. 23 of the Bank, dated the 21st June, 1962 shows that R. K. Tewari, Supervisor and Kalika Prasad, Clerk, were working in the Jaynagar Branch as Officer Incharge and Supervisor respectively in place of Shri N. D. Gupta deputed to the Branch Office, Darbhanga from 5th June, 1962 to 24th June, 1962.

10. Ext. M. 101, being Bulletin no. 31 dated the 30th August, 1962 indicates that in the Jaynagar Branch Kalika Prasad, the concerned workman, worked as Supervisor in the leave arrangement of R. K. Tewari from 30th July, 1962 to 28th August, 1962. It transpires from Ext. M. 102, being Bulletin no. 33 of the Bank, dated the 17th October, 1962 that R. K. Tewari, Supervisor had been granted extension in leave on the existing arrangement upto 27th October, 1962. Kalika

Prasad, Clerk, was to work as Supervisor in leave arrangement of R. K. Tewari upto 31st March, 1963 (vide Ext. M. 103).

11. Ext. M. 104, being Bulletin no. 14 of the Bank dated 4th April, 1963 reveals an interesting thing. B. N. Sinha, Clerk, was to work in place of late R. K. Tewari, Supervisor, since expired from 25th March, 1963 to 17th April, 1963. Evidently Kalika Prasad did not work as supervisor from 25th March, 1963 till the 4th April, 1963 when Ext. M. 104 was issued. Hence workmen are not justified in saying, as they did in their written statement, that Kalika Prasad worked as a Supervisor from the 30th July, 1962 to the 21st August, 1967 without any break. From Ext. M. 105, being Bulletin no. 20, dated the 15th May, 1963 it appears that Kalika Prasad, Clerk was working as Supervisor with effect from 18th April, 1963. If Exts. M. 104 and M. 105 are read together it will be found that Kalika Prasad did not work as Supervisor from 25th March, 1963 to 17th April, 1963.

12. Exts. M. 37 to M. 41 are the Staff Statements of the Jaynagar Branch from October, 1962 to February 1963. R. K. Tiwari was alive during this period. All these Exhibits show that the substantive post of Kalika Prasad, the concerned workman, during this period was that of Clerk-cum-typist his officiating post was that of Supervisor and that he was officiating in the vacancy of R. K. Tiwari, Supervisor, who was on leave Exs. M. 12 to M. 36 are the Staff Statements of the Jaynagar Branch extending from September, 1963 to July, 1967; they also show that the substantive post of Kalika Prasad all these years was that of clerk-cum-typist and that he was holding the post of Supervisor in place of late R. K. Tiwari. All these office statements, Exts. M. 12 to M. 41 bear the signature of Kalika Prasad himself as Supervisor. All these exhibits show that the arrangement that was made during the leave vacancy of R. K. Tiwari continued without any change upto July, 1967. In other words from the 30th July, 1962 to July 1967 with a break from 25th March, 1963 to 17th April, 1963 he was officiating as the Supervisor of the Jaynagar Branch in the place of R. K. Tiwari, partly during the life time of Tiwari and partly after his death. Kalika Prasad too in his deposition admits that there is no arrangement recorded in the two registers, Exts. M. and M. 1, containing office orders of the Jaynagar Branch from 30th November, 1966 to 6th June, 1964 relating specifically to any arrangement for working as Supervisor in R. K. Tewari's place after the arrangement of 30th July, 1962.

13. Exhibits M. 43 to M. 54 are the leave applications of Kalika Prasad from 16th February, 1965 to 4th August, 1968. In all these applications for leave Kalika Prasad had described himself as clerk-cum-typist. He had, therefore, no illusion in his mind that he, during that period, held the substantive post of Supervisor. These Exhibits, M. 12 to M. 41 and M. 43 to M. 54, so also the Exts. 100 to 105 lend support to the contention of the management in their statement that Kalika Prasad continued to work temporarily as a Supervisor in the vacancy caused by the death of R. K. Tiwari, a permanent Supervisor, on 4th March, 1963.

14. It has been stated in preliminary objection no. (ii) in the reply of the Bank that in terms of clause 21 of the settlement dated 24th April, 1962 between the Bank and the All India Punjab National Bank Employees Association no clerk could be promoted as a supervisor permanently after 1st January, 1962 till the finalisation of the case of 338 Officers Grade II in respect of whom the aforesaid settlement dated 24th April, 1962 was arrived at. The workmen too in their statement of claim admit that the posting of Kalika Prasad was governed by clause 21 of settlement dated 24th April, 1962 and that his posting had to be treated as temporary till the finalisation of the Officers Grade II Dispute (O. Gr. II Dispute). The workmen further admit that as a result of the settlement dated 24th April, 1962 the O.G. II Dispute was finalised in December, 1965. The Bank too admits in its reply that the case of 338 O.G. II was finalised in December, 1965. Therefore it is the common case of the management and the workmen that Kalika Prasad was a temporary Supervisor till December, 1965.

15. It is also the common case of both the parties that the position of Kalika Prasad was governed by clause 21 of the settlement dated 24th April, 1962. The above settlement was brought about by the Chief Labour Commissioner (Central) New Delhi between the Bank and the two unions of the Bank employees under the following circumstances. The workmen of the Bank represented by two unions, namely the All India Bank Employees Association and the All India Punjab National Bank Employees Association alleged that the Management of the Bank had not properly implemented the judgment dated 6th December, 1960 of the Supreme Court of India on appeal against the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Delhi, dated 3rd October, 1958.

16. The case of the workman was that the Management should have set aside the promotion of 338 Officer Grade II employees and thereafter made a re-selection for these posts on the lines of para 529 of the Sastry Award. They also contended that the Management was not, entitled, as a result of Supreme Court Judgment, to make recoveries from or reduce the emolument of the employees to whom the Bank of its own accord had paid emoluments according to their own rules and scales.

17. The Management on the other hand contended that it had rightly implemented the judgment of the Supreme Court and had made proper re-selections and that it was within its rights to adjust the salaries of the staff concerned under the Award. It further contended that the Bank had paid arrears retrospectively where they were due and was likewise entitled to recover any sums due to it, and that reduction, where there was any, was a sequel to the judgment of the Supreme Court.

18. The Chief Labour Commissioner (Central), New Delhi, arranged a series of meetings between the parties. Elaborate discussions were held whereafter formal conciliation proceedings were initiated by him as a result of which the settlement dated 24th April, 1962 was arrived at Under clause 1 of the Memorandum of Settlement dated 24th April, 1962 the 338 employees promoted as Officers Grade II, during the period from 18th April, 1957 to 4th October, 1958 were to be reverted to their original positions i.e., the clerical positions and such reversions were to be enforced not later than 7th May, 1962. Under clause 2, those promoted supervisors or officers Grade II from 5th October, 1958 till 31st December, 1961 were not to be reverted. Clauses 5 to 20 of the Memorandum of Settlement laid down the manner in which the 338 vacancies were to be filled up.

19. Some interim arrangements were made to fill up the 338 vacancies pending finalisation of selection according to clauses 5 to 20. Clause 4 of the Memorandum of Settlement dealt with such interim arrangements. Sub-clause (a) of clause 4 provided that those having highest "priority marks" on 1st January, 1958 in the branch or office where vacancy would occur on account of the reversions of 338 Officers Grade II would be given officiating chances. Sub-clause (b) of clause 4 clearly laid down that officiating arrangements were only to be a stop-gap measure, pending finalisation of selection in stages as detailed in clause 5 to 20 of the Memorandum of Agreement dated 24th April, 1962.

20. Clause 21 of the said Memorandum of Agreement reads as follows: "Promotions to the posts other than those caused by reversion of 338 O.G. IIs made or to be made by the Bank after 1st January, 1962 shall be regarded as temporary. After the conclusion of the final selection to be made as per clauses 5 to 20 above, the Bank will be free to make permanent promotions in place of the above temporary arrangements from amongst all the eligible clerks including those who failed to get promoted under the procedure as per the settlement."

21. In the Jaynagar Branch of the Bank the post of the Supervisor fell vacant on account of the death of the permanent supervisor, R. K. Tiwari on 4th March, 1963. Even if the Bank promoted the concerned workman, Kalika Prasad to the post of the Supervisor, the promotion would have been regarded by reason of clause 21 of the agreement dated 24th April, 1962 as temporary, and the Bank would have been, after the conclusion of the final selection to be made as per clauses 5 to 20 of the settlement dated 24th April, 1962, free to make permanent arrangements from amongst all the eligible clerks including those who failed to get promoted under the procedure as per the settlement Kalika Prasad could not have objected to the appointment on a permanent basis of some other person from among the eligible clerks. But in fact Kalika Prasad was not promoted, he was only allowed to officiate in the vacancy caused by the death of R. K. Tiwari, the permanent Supervisor of the Jaynagar Branch. His position cannot be better than what it would have been had he been promoted temporarily.

22. The Bank formulated rules for the promotion of clerks as supervisors on a permanent basis and also rules for allowing them to work temporarily in stop-gap arrangements and a staff Department Circular, being circular No. 561 dated 3rd March, 1964 was issued. There is no dispute between the parties that the aforesaid circular containing the rules for promotion etc. was circularised to all the offices of the Bank. In terms of the said Circular dated 3rd March, 1964 all supervisory vacancies had to be filled up on the basis of priority marks as on the 1st October, each year.

23. The rules relating to promotion of clerks as Supervisors were revised in accordance with an agreement dated 25th February, 1964 entered into between the

Bank and the All India Punjab National Bank Employees Federation. The terms of the agreement were circulated to all offices of the Bank by the aforesaid circular No. 561, dated 3rd March, 1964. Clause 3 of the Circular deals with the priority list. It provides as follows. A priority list shall be maintained region-wise on the basis of marks obtained under clauses (1) and (2) above as on the 1st October, each year and list of employees highest in the priority list numbering the three times the number of anticipated vacancies in each region shall be circulated to the branches in the respective regions. Clause 5 deals with promotion. Under that clause, an employee coming highest in the priority list and not assessed as "below average" shall be promoted subject to certain conditions, one of the conditions being that he has continuously served in clerical cadre for a minimum period of 7 years which period may be reduced suitably in regions where persons of this minimum service are not available. Clause 7 deals with officiating chances.

24. In paragraph 2(h) of the statement of claim filed by the workmen it has been stated that prior to the issuance of Bank's Circular No. 561, dated 3rd March, 1964, all temporary and/or permanent vacancies of Supervisors were filled up on the basis of items I, II and III of Bank's Circular no. 201 dated 8th August, 1956, and that in terms of the said circular after the sad demise of R. K. Tiwari the concerned workman was posted as officiating supervisor against the said permanent vacancy with effect from 30th July, 1962, but no specific period was conveyed to him against his signature that his posting was temporary for such and such specific period. The Circular No. 201, dated 8th August, 1956 is not on the record. It is not possible for me to say what were the provisions of items I, II and III of that circular. The workman concerned has said nothing in his deposition as to those provisions. Nor has he said that he was posted as officiating supervisor on the basis of items I, II and III of the Circular dated 8th August, 1956. R. K. Tiwari died on 4th March, 1963. There could not be any permanent vacancy in the post of the supervisor in the Jaynagar Branch before that date. Hence Kalika Prasad could not have been posted as an officiating Supervisor against any permanent vacancy before 4th March, 1963. The settlement dated 24th April, 1962 came into force long before Kalika Prasad was posted as an officiating supervisor against the permanent vacancy caused by the death of R. K. Tewari on 4th March, 1963. The settlement of 24th April, 1962 was followed by the settlement of 3rd March, 1964. Whatever might have been the provisions of items I, II and III of the Circular dated 8th August, 1956, Kalika Prasad's position as an officiating supervisor was governed by clause 21 of the settlement dated 24th April, 1962.

25. As a matter of fact Kalika Prasad was allowed to work temporarily as a supervisor with effect from the 30th July, 1962 in the leave vacancy of R. K. Tewari, the permanent supervisor, on whose death on 4th March, 1963 Kalika Prasad continued to work temporarily as a supervisor in the said vacancy. In his examination in chief he said so on this point: "I officiated for Ramakant Tewari when he went on one month's leave. The period of one month expired on 4th March, 1963. I continued to work as supervisor after the expiry of that period until 22nd August, 1967. No statement was taken from me after 30th August, 1962 that I would officiate as supervisor for such and such period."

26. No permanent arrangement could be made in view of clause 21 of the settlement dated 24th April, 1962. After the issuance of circular No. 561, dated 3rd March, 1964 he continued to work temporarily in terms thereof. The exhibits on record, discussed above, clearly show that he was fully aware of the continuance of this arrangement and that he was also aware that his substantive position during this officiating period was that of clerk-cum-typist. It is true that he was not told that his posting was temporary for such and such specific period. But as the arrangement was to continue until a permanent arrangement would be made as per settlements dated 24th April, 1962 and 3rd March, 1964 it was not possible to specify the period during which he was to officiate. In my opinion, nothing turns on that.

27. After the finalisation of the case of 338 O.G. II employees in terms of the settlement dated 24th April, 1962, a regional priority list as on 1st October, 1965 of clerks working in outside Calcutta offices in Eastern Circle was published in terms of the staff Department Circular No. 561 dated 3rd March, 1964 (Ext. M. 9). Kalika Prasad, the concerned workman having only 12 priority marks was holding the 60th position in the list though he being the senior most at Jaynagar office was allowed to officiate temporarily at this office as stated above. It is clear that he being very low in the regionwise priority list could not be selected for filling up on a permanent basis the vacancy in the post of supervisor caused by the death of R. K. Tiwari. H. R. Khurana of the Branch Office at Monghyr, holding the 18th position in the priority list with 13 priority marks was selected to fill up the

vacancy permanently at the Jaynagar Branch (Ext. M. 62). The relevant portion of Ext. M. 62, being a letter dated the 20th June, 1966 addressed to the Manager, B.O. Monghyr, runs thus: "...it has been decided to post Shri Khurana as provisional supervisor at P.O. Jaynagar in a permanent vacancy..... You may, therefore, relieve him immediately with instruction to report to the officer incharge P.O. Jaynagar"

28. Khurana, on receipt of promotion and transfer order approached the Bank for deferring his posting at Jaynagar Office on the ground of his wife's illness. His request was not granted and he was told that he would risk losing the chance of promotion if he did not accept the post (Ext. M. 73).

29. Since Khurana still expressed his inability to move on transfer on promotion from Monghyr to Jaynagar he was debarred from promotion and the next senior employee, Narain Prasad, also of the Monghyr Branch, was offered the post (Ext. M. 82). Narain Prasad also declined the offer (Ext. M. 85). He was also debarred from promotion.

30. Ultimately Khurana appealed to the management by a letter dated the 24th May, 1967 (Ext. M. 88) for re-consideration of his selection for Jaynagar and offered to proceed to that Station. His request was granted and orders were issued for the transfer of Khurana on promotion as Supervisor to Jaynagar (Ext. M. 62). He was relieved by the Monghyr Branch on the 19th July, 1967 (Ext. M. 93). Ext. M. 96 a memorandum dated 22nd August, 1967 shows that Khurana joined the Jaynagar Branch as special Assistant on 22nd August, 1967. He was told by a letter dated the 12th September, 1967 (Ext. M. 95) that he would be required to serve a period of probation for one year and that his work would be watched by the authorities before he would be allowed to continue as special Assistant i.e. Supervisor.

31. From what has been stated above it is clear that in filling up permanently the vacancy created by the death of R. K. Tiwari the Bank merely followed the procedure laid down in the settlement dated 24th April, 1962 and in the circular No. 561, dated 3rd March, 1964, and there is no dispute that both are applicable to the concerned workmen, Kalika Prasad. It has been shown above that Kalika Prasad had no illusion in his mind about his real status during the period in which he officiated as Supervisor. The management too was alive to the fact that the time for filling up the vacancy on a permanent basis was long over due and that Kalika Prasad was working as Supervisor only on the basis of a temporary arrangement. In this connection reference may be made to Ext. M. 71, being a letter dated the 22nd August, 1966 written by the Asstt. General Manager, Eastern Circle, Calcutta to the Manager of the Branch Office at Monghyr. The relevant portion of the letter runs thus: "Permanent vacancies of Supervisors at branches are to be filled up by approved clerical staff as per Head Office directions. We cannot leave the vacancy of Supervisor left unfilled for an indefinite period. You will appreciate that P.O. Jaynagar is without a permanent Supervisor since long. To fill up the vacancy, Shri Khurana.... has been selected by us.... If he ultimately does not accept his promotion at Jaynagar some other person approved by Head Office shall have to be selected by us".

32. It has been stated in para 2(1) of the statement of claim by the workmen that the management filled up the undermentioned minimum permanent vacancies of Supervisors by eligible clerks of different offices in Bihar: (a) 1964.... at least 22 vacancies; (b) 1965.... at least 20 vacancies; (c) 1966.... at least 15 vacancies. In reply the Bank has categorically denied that the management filled up any permanent vacancy of Supervisors in 1964 or 1965. The workmen have not produced any evidence, either documentary or oral in support of their statement regarding permanent posting of Supervisors in 1964 and 1965. When it is remembered that the case of the 383 Officers, Grade II was finalised in December, 1965, it is highly improbable that any vacancy of a Supervisor was filled up permanently in 1964 and 1965 in disregard of clause 21 of the settlement dated 24th April, 1962.

33. It now remains to examine the validity of the contentions of the workmen as embodied in clauses (m) and (n) of para 2 of their statement of claim. Clause (m) is to the following effect: "That right from 30th July, 1962 to 22nd August, 1967 number of clerks were promoted as Supervisor in different offices of Bihar Pradesh but the management did not fill up the vacancy of Supervisor of B/O Jaynagar against which Shri Kalika Prasad was working continuously from 30th July, 1962, was simply because he had attained the status of permanent supervisor in view of his having officiated as such beyond one year and also because of the fact that Jaynagar being deficit area office management allowed the subject to

officiate as supervisor beyond one year and in view of Shri Prasad's working continuously for more than five years as Supervisor against a permanent sanctioned vacancy, he has attained the status of a permanent supervisor more so when while allowing him to work as Supervisor no specific period for which he had to officiate was conveyed to him." There is nothing on record to show that the management did not fill up the vacancy of Supervisor of B/O Jaynagar simply because Kalika Prasad had attained the status of permanent supervisor. He was never promoted to the rank of a supervisor, hence there cannot be any question of his becoming permanent automatically officiating under a temporary arrangement in that post for more than five years. After the death of R. K. Tiwari, there was no doubt a permanent vacancy, but it cannot be said that Kalika Prasad became permanent by officiating not as a promotee but under a temporary arrangement for more than five years against a permanent vacancy. There is no hard and fast rule as to how long a temporary arrangement may continue. A temporary arrangement does not cease to be temporary simply because it continues for more than five years. In the instant case no permanent arrangement could be made upto December, 1965 by reason of clause 21 of the settlement dated 24th April, 1962. Kalika Prasad was allowed to continue till the 21st August, 1967, because Khurana and Narain Prasad, who were selected one after another on the basis of the priority list, refused to accept the promotion, offered to them. Hence there was cogent reason why the vacancy at the Jaynagar Branch could not be filled up permanently before the 22nd August, 1967. In the circumstances of the case it cannot be said that Kalika Prasad became permanent in the usual course by being allowed to officiate for more than five years.

34. Clause (n) of para 2 of the statement of claim filed by the workmen reads as follows: "That in view of Kalika Prasad's having worked continuously as Supervisor for more than one year, in terms of Banks own Cir. No. 20 dated 20th October, 1952, Cir. No. 198 dated 28th July, 1956, A.G.M. Office Calcutta Circle No. 194 dated 5th May, 1967 read with Staff Dept. Circle No. 561 of 3rd March, 1964 Shri Kalika Prasad stood confirmed as supervisor as a result of which he was paid special Allowance which had become part of his basic pay, I/Fund of the Special Allowance which had become part of his service conditions and after more than five years enjoyed all these benefits which the management now cannot abruptly withdraw without any cogent reason in utter violation of the provisions of the I.D. Act." None of the circulars mentioned in this clause have been filed by the workmen, circular No. 20, dated 20th October, 1952 has, however, been filed by the management and has been marked as Ext. M. 8. There is nothing in Ext. M. 8 from which it can be said that Kalika Prasad stood confirmed as supervisor by reason of his having worked continuously for more than one year. Circular No. 198 dated 28th July, 1956 has not been filed by the management, nor was it called for from the management by the workmen. I am, therefore, not in a position to say to what extent, if at all, it supports the case of the workmen as made out in this clause. Circular No. 561 of 3rd March, 1964 is definitely against the case made out by the workmen. As to the Circular of 1967, it is not at all material for the purpose of deciding the issue involved in this case. The complaint of the workmen that the Bank has violated the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 by sending the concerned workman back to his substantive post as clerk is without foundation. Mr. Bhardwaj appearing on behalf of the workmen tried to convince me that the Bank was guilty of violating sec. 9A of the Act. But I confess that I remain unconvinced. Kalika Prasad used to get certain extra benefits while officiating as supervisor; if he goes back to his substantive post as clerk, he will naturally lose those benefits. That does not amount to a change in his service conditions. Besides, the question whether the order passed by the Bank for the reversion of the concerned workman to his substantive post as clerk is hit by sec. 9A of the Industrial Disputes Act is not within the scope of the reference and hence does not call for any answer. Moreover in this case the concerned workman has not been told anything as to the extra allowances and other benefits that he used to get during the officiating period. It is for this reason also it may be said that sec. 9A is not attracted.

35. From the above discussion the irresistible conclusion is that the management of the Bank was justified in sending him back to his substantive post as clerk with effect from the 22nd August, 1967. According to the Bank, as Kalika Prasad was never promoted either temporarily or on a permanent basis to the rank of a Supervisor, the question of reversion cannot arise at all. It therefore contends that the reference is liable to be dismissed in limine. I am not prepared to accept this preliminary objection for the simple reason that the word 'reverting' has been used in the reference not in the sense of demotion but in the sense of sending back to the previous post. Another preliminary objection on the ground that the dispute is merely individual was waived by the Bank on 7th August, 1969 in